

Gliadin induced oxidative stress and altered cellular responses in human intestinal cells: An in-vitro study to understand the cross-talk between the transcription factor Nrf-2 and multifunctional APE1 enzyme

Kunj Bihari Gupta¹ | Monisha Dhiman¹ | Anil Kumar Mantha² 

¹Department of Microbiology, School of Biological Sciences, Central University of Punjab, Bathinda, Punjab, India

²Department of Zoology, School of Biological Sciences, Central University of Punjab, Bathinda, Punjab, India

Correspondence

Anil Kumar Mantha, Department of Zoology, School of Biological Sciences, Central University of Punjab, VPO Ghudda, Bathinda, Punjab 151 401, India.

Email: anil.mantha@cup.edu.in

Monisha Dhiman, Department of Microbiology, School of Biological Sciences, Central University of Punjab, VPO Ghudda, Bathinda, Punjab 151 401, India.

Email: monisha.dhiman@cup.edu.in

Abstract

The present study examined the wheat protein gliadin-induced oxidative and nitrosative stress and its downstream responses in human intestinal HCT-116 and HT-29 cells. The beneficial role of dietary phytochemical curcumin and role of multifunctional enzyme Apurinic/aprimidinic endonuclease 1 (APE1) a major player involved in the base excision repair (BER)-pathway in gliadin intolerant intestinal HCT-116 and HT-29 cell lines were evaluated as an in vitro model study. The cultured cells were exposed to gliadin protein, H₂O₂, and curcumin followed by the assessment of oxidative stress and the consequences were measured using spectrophotometric, PCR, flow cytometer, Western blotting, confocal microscopy, and other methods. Results demonstrate that a 3 h pretreatment of curcumin, followed by the treatment of gliadin protein for 24 h time period protected both the HCT-116 and HT-29 cells via: (i) decreasing the ROS/RNS, restoring the mitochondrial transmembrane potential; (ii) re-establishing the cellular antioxidant defense system (superoxide dismutase, catalase, and GSH); (iii) enhancing the functions of APE1 viz. endonuclease activity and redox activation of transcription factor Nrf-2, the later binds with the antioxidant response elements (ARE) and activates downstream targets involved in cell survival. The cross-talk between APE1 and Nrf-2 was also established using immunofluorescence imaging and co-immunoprecipitation assays. In conclusion, gliadin protein induces oxidative/nitrosative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction and it damages cellular biomolecules in the intestinal cells. Hence it can be attributed to the tissue damage and disease pathogenesis in wheat intolerance-associated intestinal diseases. The gliadin-induced stress and its consequences are significantly reduced by the pretreatment of curcumin via BER-pathway and ARE-pathway; which is evident through the interaction between these two essential proteins. Hence suggesting for the intervention of curcumin and other natural dietary phytochemicals-based disease management and treatment of gliadin intolerance associated intestinal diseases like celiac disease.

KEYWORDS

antioxidant response, APE1, curcumin, gliadin protein, Nrf-2, oxidative stress, wheat allergies

1 | INTRODUCTION

Wheat is a staple food in many countries, including India, and provides about 20% of calories intake from the total food consumption. It is an important source of dietary proteins, carbohydrates, and other essential nutritional components. Despite their plenty of benefits, wheat proteins are the main cause of many diet-induced health issues like wheat protein-induced allergies, celiac disease (CD), non-celiac gluten sensitivity (NCGS), wheat intolerance, and so forth. Wheat grain proteins are of two types, metabolic proteins and storage proteins. Metabolic proteins are albumin and globulin; whereas storage protein is gluten, which includes gliadin and glutenin. These storage proteins are responsible for the unique viscoelastic characteristics of wheat dough and provides rheological properties to the wheat flour, which is essential for food processing and bakery.^[1] The stored proteins are the main trigger for different allergic reactions and can induce autoimmune responses in genetically susceptible individuals. In the recent years, the prevalence of wheat-related allergies has drastically increased in the general population, where the prevalence of CD is about 1% worldwide,^[2] NCGS is about 0.5%–13%,^[3] and other wheat allergies/hypersensitivity is about 0.1%–3.6%.^[4] One of the key players of these diseases are the excessive production of ROS/RNS, oxidative stress, and imbalance in the antioxidant defense system.^[5,6] Oxidative stress due to deficiencies in cellular repair processes or change in mitochondrial redox potential can result in persistently high levels of oxidative base damage (lesions) in the DNA. APE1, a primary enzyme responsible for recognition and incision of apurinic/aprimidinic (AP) sites in the DNA; and is also responsible for the redox activation of multiple cellular transcription factors (TFs) like nuclear factor-kappa B (NF- κ B), activator protein 1 (AP-1), hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF-1 α), Nrf-2, and so forth.^[7–9] Nrf-2, a redox-sensitive TF and the master regulator of phase-II antioxidant enzymes; controls the expression of a range of antioxidant response element (ARE) dependent genes to regulate the physiological and pathological consequences.^[10] There are many phytochemicals with antioxidant properties out of which one of the most commonly used in daily life is curcumin; a most studied and primary active curcuminoid of turmeric which is derived from the rhizome of *Curcuma longa*.^[11] Curcumin scavenges the endogenous ROS and RNS by modulating the Nrf-2, NF- κ B, and AP-1 proteins.^[12,13] In the present study, we have examined the gliadin (a main storage protein of the wheat grain) induced oxidative and nitrosative stress and its consequences in the human colon cancer cell lines HCT-116 and HT-29. The role of phytochemical curcumin to modulate the harmful effects of gliadin via functional association between APE1 and Nrf-2 are studied, advocating for the functional role, and the cross-talk between these two critical cellular bio-molecules is an essential modality for therapeutic interventions for the wheat protein-induced diseases.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Cell culture

Human colon cancer HCT-116 and HT-29 cell lines were purchased from the National Centre for Cell Sciences (NCCS), Pune, India. Both cell lines were cultured in high glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium (DMEM; Gibco/HyClone) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Gibco or Sigma-Aldrich), 1X antibiotic solution (penicillin-streptomycin; HiMedia) in tissue culture flasks (Corning) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ incubator (New Brunswick, Galaxy® 170S). Up to 70% to 80%, confluent cells were trypsinized using 1X trypsin-EDTA and sub-cultured for further experiments.^[14]

2.2 | Treatment of cells

The HCT-116 and HT-29 cells were seeded in 60/100 mm culture dishes at a cell density $1 \times 10^5/1 \times 10^6$ per plate. Overnight cultured cells were treated with gliadin (Sigma-Aldrich) protein at a concentration of 160 μ g/ml,^[14] H₂O₂ (MP Biomedicals; 100 μ M), curcumin (Sigma-Aldrich; 10 μ M), and pretreated with 10 μ M curcumin for 3 h followed by 160 μ g/ml gliadin treatment for 24 h time period.

2.3 | Preparation of whole-cell lysates, cytoplasmic extracts, and nuclear extracts

The whole-cell lysates were prepared using the RIPA buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X 100, and 10% SDS) supplemented with the protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific).^[15] Nuclear extracts were prepared by resuspending the cell pellets in a low salt buffer (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.9], 10 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 10% glycerol and protease inhibitor cocktail) and incubated on ice for 10 min followed by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant (cytosolic fraction having mitochondria) was collected in fresh tubes and stored at –20°C until further use. The pellet was washed twice with the low salt buffer and re-suspended in high salt buffer (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.9], 10 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 250 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40, 1 mM PMSF, 10% glycerol and protease inhibitor cocktail) and incubated on ice for 30 min with intermediate vortexing by the centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, the supernatant (nuclear extracts) was collected in fresh tubes and small aliquots were stored at –20°C for further experiments.^[16]

2.4 | Detection of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS)

(a) H₂DCFDA assay: H₂DCFDA is a cell-permeable molecular probe used for the detection of ROS in cells. HCT-116 and HT-29 cells

were cultured in 96 well plates at a density of 1×10^4 cells per well and overnight cultured cells were treated with different treatment for 24 h. After completion of treatment, cells were incubated with $1 \mu\text{M}$ of H_2DCFDA (Molecular Probes™ Invitrogen) for 1 h at 37°C in the dark. The unbound dye was removed, and cells were washed with PBS. The fluorescence intensity was recorded at an excitation/emission 485/535 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek® Synergy H1). Percent change in the level of ROS was calculated considering control as absolute. (b) Nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) based assay: An alternate method for estimation of ROS, NBT assay was used where HCT-116, and HT-29 cells were cultured in 96 well plates at a cell density 1×10^4 cells per well, and overnight cultured cells were treated for 24 h. After completion of treatment, cells were incubated with freshly prepared NBT solution (0.1% w/v) for 3 h at 37°C .^[17] The cells were washed twice with PBS and once with chilled methanol, the NBT deposited inside the cells was then dissolved in 2 M KOH and DMSO. The absorbance was read at 570 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek® Synergy H1).^[18] (c) DAF-FM assay: The intercellular RNS level in the HCT-116 and HT-29 cells treated with gliadin, curcumin, and their combinations and untreated as control cells were measured using cell-permeable fluorescent probe-based DAF-FM dye. Briefly, both HCT-116 and HT-29 cells were cultured in 96 well plates at a cell density 1×10^4 per well, and after the treatments, these cells were incubated with $20 \mu\text{M}$ DAF-FM (Molecular Probes™ Invitrogen) in the dark at 37°C for 1 h, the unbound dye was removed, and cells were washed with PBS. Fresh PBS was added, and fluorescence intensity was recorded at an excitation/emission wavelength at 478/515 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek® Synergy H1) again the plate was incubated in the dark at 37°C for 30 min, and another fluorescence intensity was recorded and the data represented as percentage change concerning control.^[14,19] (d) Nitric oxide (NO) level: The secretory/extracellular nitric oxide (NO) levels were measured in the culture supernatants using Griess assay. Briefly, equal volumes of culture supernatant and Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide and 0.1% of NEDD) were mixed. The absorbance of formed chromophore was recorded at 543 nm in a microplate reader (BioTek® Synergy H1). The nitrite content of each sample was evaluated from a standard curve obtained after linear regression made with the known concentration of sodium nitrate (0–100 μM) and represented as the percent change in NO levels considering control as absolute.^[14,20]

2.5 | Assays to examine the mitochondrial health

(a) Estimation of mitochondrial ROS: HCT-116 and HT-29 cells were cultured on coverslips and treated for 24 h. After the treatment, cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated with $5 \mu\text{M}$ MitoSOX red (Molecular Probes™ Invitrogen) for 30 min, which specifically detects mitochondrial ROS; ex/em: 510/580 nm and 200 nM MitoTracker™ Red CMXRos (Molecular Probes™ Invitrogen) which specifically detect mitochondria; ex/em: 579/599 nm. Cells were washed three times with PBS, and images were captured on

Olympus SV-1200 Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope.^[21] (b) Determination of mitochondrial trans-membrane potential (MTP): MTP in both the cells lines HCT-116 and HT-29 after treatment was determined by using JC-1 dye (5,5',6,6'-tetrachloro-1,1',3,3'-tetraethylbenzimidazolylcarbocyanine iodide) (Molecular Probes™ Invitrogen). The cells were washed with PBS and incubated with $10 \mu\text{M}$ JC-1 dye for 30 min at 37°C in the dark. Cells were then washed three times with PBS and re-suspended in 100 μl of PBS. The JC-1 monomers (green) and JC-1 aggregates (red) were detected by FL1 and FL2 channels, respectively, using BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer. MTP is calculated as a change in the green/red fluorescence intensity ratio.^[21]

2.6 | Status of antioxidant enzymes

(a) Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity: SOD activity was measured using pyrogallol auto-oxidation. Briefly, the reaction was started in a mixture having 0.1 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 8), 3 mM EDTA, and 8.1 mM pyrogallol with cell lysate. The change in absorbance was recorded at 0 and 3 min at 420 nm wavelength using Shimadzu double-beam spectrophotometer against buffer as blank. SOD activity is expressed as Unit/mg of protein where one unit of SOD activity is defined as the amount of enzyme that causes half-maximal inhibition of auto-oxidation of pyrogallol. The final graph was plotted by converting it in to percentage concerning untreated control cells.^[22] (b) Catalase activity: The activity of catalase enzyme was measured using the method as described by Aebi (1984) with slight modifications. Briefly, the reaction was started by adding the cell lysate into the reaction mixture having 10 mM H_2O_2 (substrate for Catalase) and 25 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). The activity was recorded for the degradation of H_2O_2 at 240 nm over 1 min using spectrophotometer.^[23] The activity of the enzyme was expressed as $\mu\text{M}/\text{min}/\text{mg}$ protein using $39.4 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ molar extinction coefficient.^[24] (c) Total glutathione content: Amount of total glutathione in the treated and untreated cells was measured using DTNB [(5,5'-Dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid)] also known as Ellman's reagent. DTNB reacts with the glutathione and forms a yellow-colored 5-thionitrobenzoic acid (TNB) product.^[25] Briefly, 100 μg of total cell lysates were precipitated using 25% trichloroacetic acid (TCA), after centrifugation supernatant was mixed with 0.6 mM DTMB and incubated in the dark for 15 min at room temperature. Absorbance was recorded at 412 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek® Synergy H1). The total content of glutathione was estimated using linear regression mode with the known concentration of glutathione (0–50 μM).^[22]

2.7 | Determination of oxidative stress

(a) Protein oxidation: Oxidative stress induces carbonyl (CO) groups on side chains of proteins, which are chemically stable, it can be derivatized with 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) into a

detectable hydrazone product.^[26] The protein lysates were mixed with DNPH solution (10 mM prepared in 2 N HCl) and incubated in the dark for 90 min with intermittent vortexing. The derivatized samples were precipitated with 20% TCA, followed by 2-3 times washing with ethanol/ethyl acetate (1:1, v/v). The pellet was dissolved in 6 M guanidium hydrochloride and absorbance were recorded at 385 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek® Synergy H1). The carbonyl content was calculated by applying the molar extinction coefficient of $22,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.^[22] (b) Lipid peroxidation: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) assay is one of the most widely used assays for measuring lipid peroxidation. During the persistent oxidative stress, polyunsaturated fatty acids get converted into malondialdehyde (MDA) via lipid peroxidation which is highly reactive and in the presence of thiobarbituric acid (TBA) it forms pink colored product.^[27] Briefly, cell lysates (100 µg) were mixed with 15% TCA, 0.375% TBA, and 0.25 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) followed by heating at 95°C for 45 min and cooling on ice for 30 min. The samples were then centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, the absorption was recorded at 532 nm using microplate reader (BioTek® Synergy H1), and the TBARS content was calculated by using $1.56 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ molar extinction coefficient, and results were expressed as nM/mg of protein.^[22] (c) DNA damage detection: The most common marker for oxidative stress-induced DNA damage is 8-oxo deoxyguanosine (8-oxo-dG) which is formed by the oxidation of guanine base. The oxidized DNA damage was detected with 8-oxo-dG antibody (Trevigen®), the cells were cultured on coverslips and treated with gliadin protein, curcumin, and its combination. The cells were then fixed with chilled methanol and acetone (1:1 ratio) for 15 min and airdried. The RNA was digested with RNase enzyme followed by in-situ DNA denaturation (0.15 N NaOH in 70% ethanol for 5 min). The cells were washed with 70% ethanol containing 4% formaldehyde (v/v), 50% ethanol, 35% ethanol, and finally PBS for 2 min each. Cells were treated with protease K (TE buffer, pH 7.5) to digest the remaining proteins. The coverslips were blocked with 5% FBS for 1 h at 37°C, then incubated with the primary antibody of 8-oxo-dG (Trivegen®, 1:250 dilution) in a humidified chamber. Cells were then incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 tagged secondary antibody (Invitrogen, 1/500 dilution) and nucleus was counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen). The images were captured using the OLYMPUS FV1200 Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope.^[28]

2.8 | Apurinic/aprimidinic endonuclease 1 (APE1) activity assay

A 5' FAM-labeled, 52 mer oligonucleotide having tetrahydrofuran (THF; analog of AP site) at 31st nt (5'-GATCTGATTCCCCAT CTCCTCAGTTTCACTTHFAGTGAAGGCATGCACCTTCT-3') and its complementary strand with 'A' opposite THF was procured from Imperial Life Sciences (ILS), India. These oligonucleotides are annealed in annealing buffer (10 mM Tris base, 50 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA). 4 pM duplex probe was incubated with freshly prepared nuclear lysates in a reaction buffer (50 mM Tris base

[pH 8.5], 8 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 1 mg/ml BSA, 4% glycerol) at 37°C for 3 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 10 µl of stop buffer (10 mM EDTA, 3%–4% Formaldehyde, 0.01% BPB, 30% glycerol) followed by heating at 95°C for 5 min and kept it on ice till resolution of denaturing gel. The substrate and cleaved product were resolved on 12% polyacrylamide gel having 7 M urea in 1X TAE buffer. The fluorescence images were captured using the Bio-Rad Chemi Doc™ MP system, and densitometry analysis was done using Image Lab™ software of Bio-Rad version 5.2.^[29]

2.9 | DNA-protein interaction study: Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

8 pM of duplex DNA probe (5' FAM-labeled) containing the ARE sequence (5'-GATCTTTTATGCTGTGTCATGGTTT-3') was incubated with freshly prepared nuclear extracts (15 µg) in EMSA buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM KCl, 5 mM EDTA, and 0.2 mM DTT) having 1 µg of acetylated BSA and 0.1 µl of poly (dI-dC) at 37°C for 15 min followed by electrophoresis on 6% native polyacrylamide gel in 1X TAE buffer. The fluorescence images were captured using the Bio-Rad Chemi Doc™ MP system, and densitometric analysis was done using Image Lab™ software of Bio-Rad version 5.2.^[29,30]

2.10 | Isolation of total RNA and semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA from the treated and untreated cells of HCT-116 and HT-29 was isolated using TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen) as per manufacturer's protocol. The quality of isolated RNA was quantified on NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific) and on denaturing agarose gel. Genomic DNA contamination was removed by using the TURBO DNA-free™ Kit (Invitrogen), followed by synthesis of cDNA using iScript™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). The cDNA was used as a template for the amplification of the various genes by using gene-specific primer pairs (*SOD-1*, *SOD-2*, *Catalase*, *GPx*, *NOS-2*, *NOS-3*, *Nrf-2*, and *APE1*) along with housekeeping genes (*β-actin* and *GAPDH*) as an endogenous control (Table 1). The PCR product was separated on 1.5% agarose gel contains ethidium bromide (EtBr) along with 100 bp DNA ladder (TrackIt™ 100 bp DNA ladder, Invitrogen). The gel images were captured using the Bio-Rad Gel Doc™XR system, and densitometric analysis was done using Image Lab™ software of Bio-Rad version 5.2.^[14]

2.11 | Western blot analysis

The whole-cell lysates were resolved on 10% denaturing SDS-PAGE and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). Ponceau S staining was performed to confirm equal protein loading and transfer. The membrane was blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk (NFDm) in PBS

TABLE 1 List of primers used in the present study.

Sr. No.	Gene name	Forward primer sequence (5'-3')	Reverse primer sequence (5'-3')
1	SOD-1	GAAGGTGTGGGAAGCATT	ACATTGCCCAAGTCTCCAAC
2	SOD-2	CGTCACCGAGGAGAAGTACC	CTGATTTGGACAAGCAGCAA
3	Catalase	CGTGCTGAATGAGGAACAGA	AGTCAGGGTGGACCTCAGTG
4	GPx	CCAAGCTCATCACCTGGTCT	TCGATGTCAATGGTCTGGAA
5	NOS-2	CCAACAATGGCAACATCAGG	CGATGCACAGCTGAGTGAAT
6	NOS-3	GGCATCACCAGGAAGAAGA	CATGAGCGAGGCGGAGAT
7	Nrf-2	GAGAGCCCAGTCTTCATTGC	TGCTCAATGTCCTGTTGCAT
8	APE1	TGGAATGTGGATGGGCTTCGAGCC	AAGGAGCTGACCAGTATTGATGA
9	β -actin	CTAAGTCATAGTCCGCTAGAAGCA	TGGACCCAGCACAAATGAA
10	GAPDH	GCACCGTCAAGGCTGAGAAC	ATGGTGGTGAAGACGCCAGT

having 0.1% Tween-20 (PSBT) for 2 h. Membranes were then incubated with primary antibody for anti-Nrf-2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1000 dilution), anti-APE1 (Biogenix, 1:1000 dilution), anti-LaminB1 (Invitrogen, 1:750 dilution) and anti- β -actin (Invitrogen, 1:5000 dilution) in 5% NFDM-PSBT for overnight at 4°C. After three washes with PBST membrane was further incubated with respective horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated anti-mouse/rabbit IgG secondary antibody (GeNei™, 1:5000) in 5% NFDM-PSBT. Peroxidase activity was captured using enhanced chemiluminescence reagent of Bio-Rad, images were visualized using Bio-Rad Chemi Doc™ MP system, and densitometric analysis was done using Image Lab™ software of Bio-Rad version 5.2.

2.12 | Immunofluorescence based subcellular distribution and co-localization studies of APE1 and Nrf-2

Subcellular distribution and co-localization of APE1, as well as Nrf-2, were examined using immunofluorescence-based confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM), at the Central Instrumentation Facility (CIL), Central University of Punjab, Bathinda. The HCT-116 and HT-29 cells were grown on sterile coverslips and treated as described in the previous section. After treatment, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 10 min at room temperature followed by three times wash with PBS. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min at room temperature. After washing with PBS, cells were blocked with 10% FBS for 1 h at 37°C followed by overnight incubation with primary antibodies against anti-APE1 (Biogenix, 1:100 dilution) and anti-Nrf-2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:100) in a humidified chamber at 4°C. The glass coverslips were washed with PBS three times and incubated at room temperature for 1 h with respective secondary antibodies, Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG or Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen, 1:100 dilution). After washing three times, the coverslips were incubated in 0.1% solution of Hoechst 33342

(Invitrogen) at 37°C for 10–15 min. The glass coverslips were washed with PBS and mounted with a mounting solution.^[18] The fluorescence images were captured using a Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope (Olympus FV1200).

2.13 | Co-Immunoprecipitation for confirming the interaction between APE1 and Nrf-2

In-vitro interaction of APE1 and Nrf-2 was established by a co-immunoprecipitation reaction of APE1 and Nrf-2 using magnetic beads coated with protein A/G from Bio-Rad (SureBeads™). Briefly, 100 μ l of SureBeads™ were taken in 1.5 ml tube after thorough resuspension, magnetized beads, and by discarding the supernatant and washing with PBST three times. The beads were incubated with anti-APE1 antibody (10 μ g) for 2 h at 4°C on rotation. After incubation beads were again magnetized and the supernatant was discarded followed by 3 times washing with PBST and again incubation with whole-cell lysates (about 300 μ g) and rotated for overnight at 4°C. Beads were magnetized and discarded the supernatant and washed three times with PBST. Then, eluted it in fresh Laemmli buffer having β -mercaptoethanol by heating at 70°C for 10 min followed by magnetization and collection of supernatant in a fresh tube. Again, heated it at 95°C for 5 min and performed Western blotting as described above (in Western blotting section) against primary antibody anti-Nrf-2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology at 1:1000 dilution). After taking image again, the blot was stripped and reprobed with anti- β -actin antibody (Invitrogen at 1:5000 dilution).^[31,32]

2.14 | In-silico studies for understanding the interactions between APE1 and Nrf-2

The possible interacting partners of APE1 protein was predicted in-silico by using the STRING database (version 11.0). The database shows the direct and indirect association of proteins in over 2000

organisms. This database critically assesses the known interactions (from experimentally proven, curated database), and predict/possible interactions (from text mining, protein homology study, gene neighboring, co-occurrence, and co-expression analysis).^[18]

2.15 | Statistical analysis

Experiments were performed in triplicates unless it specified, and data is represented as the mean value ± standard deviation (S.D.), and




FIGURE 1 (See caption on next page)




FIGURE 1 (See caption on next page)

statistical analysis of obtained data was done using student's t-test. The values of $p \leq 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Measurement of ROS

Fluorescence probe-based H₂DCFDA assay show increase in ROS level in gliadin and H₂O₂ treated HCT-116 and HT-29 cells. Whereas the cells pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin show decrease in ROS levels when compared with only gliadin treated cells in both the cell lines (Figure 1A, i & ii). NBT assay results show that in HCT-116 cells and HT-29 cells, ROS level increase significantly in gliadin and H₂O₂ treated cells whereas the cells were pretreated with curcumin followed by gliadin showed decrease in ROS levels when compared with only gliadin treated cells in both the cell lines (Supporting Information: Figure S1).

3.2 | Measurement of RNS and expression of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) genes

The intracellular RNS level was measured using fluorescence probe-based DAFFM assay, extracellular NO was estimated using Griess assay and semi-quantitative RT-PCR based NOS genes expression were also analyzed in the HCT-116 and HT-29 cell lines after 24 h treatment of gliadin, curcumin, and their combinations. In both the cell lines (HCT-116 and HT-29) no change in intracellular RNS level was observed in gliadin, and H₂O₂ treated cells when compared with untreated control by using DAF-FM assay (Figure 1B, i & ii). The extracellular NO level detected by Griess assay shows a slight increase in gliadin treated HCT-116 cells when compared with untreated control cells. The cells when pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin protein a significant decrease in NO level was observed when compared with only gliadin treated cells (Figure 1C, i); whereas no change was observed in HT-29 cells (Figure 1C, ii).

The mRNA expression of *NOS-2/inducible NOS* gene in the HCT-116 cells as well as in HT-29 cells is increased by 2 folds and 1.5 fold when cells are treated with gliadin protein and H₂O₂, respectively, as compared with untreated control. The cells when pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin showed a significant decrease as compared with only gliadin treated cells. Nearly the same trends were observed in HT-29 cells. The mRNA expression of *NOS-3/endothelial NOS* gene in the HCT-116 and HT-29 cells has increased significantly when cells are treated with gliadin protein and H₂O₂, respectively. Whereas, when cells were pretreated with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin, a significant decrease in NOS-3 expression was observed when compared with only gliadin treated cells (Figure 1D, i & ii).

3.3 | Assessment of mitochondrial ROS and trans-membrane potential

The ROS produced within the mitochondria upon treatment with gliadin in the HCT-116, and HT-29 cell lines were estimated via MitoSox™ dye (green), and mitochondria were tracked by MitoTracker™ dye (red) using fluorescence microscopy. Green fluorescence intensity is directly proportional to the ROS production within the mitochondria. The microphotographs obtained using confocal fluorescence microscope showed that the fluorescence intensity of green dye is high in gliadin treated HCT-116 and HT-29 cells, curcumin, and H₂O₂ treated cells also show an increase in green fluorescence intensity when compared with untreated control cells. In the cells pretreated with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin show relatively low green fluorescence signal as compared to only gliadin treated cells (Figure 1E, i & ii). Mitochondrial Transmembrane Potential (MTP) ($\Delta\Psi_m$), is a robust parameter to sense the mitochondrial health which can be estimated using JC-1 dye. This dye forms orange-red aggregates in healthy cells and remain green-colored monomers in unhealthy cells. The ratio of red to green fluorescence indicates mitochondrial health. The results show that red to green ratio decreases by 70%–80% in HCT-116 and HT-29 cells when treated with gliadin protein and H₂O₂ as compared with

FIGURE 1 Estimation of oxidative and nitrosative stress. (A) Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in both HCT-116 and HT-29 cells was estimated by using a molecular probe (H₂DCFDA) based assay. The relative fluorescence unit (RFU) converted to percent ROS in (i) HCT-116 cells and (ii) HT-29 cells after the treatment of gliadin, curcumin, and their combinations (3 h pretreatment of curcumin) and H₂O₂ for 24 h. (B) Intracellular reactive nitrogen species (RNS) was estimated using molecular probe DAF-FM. The RFU converted to percent RNS in (i) HCT-116 cells and (ii) HT-29 cells. (C) Extracellular NO estimation through Griess assay in (i) HCT-116 cells and (ii) HT-29 cells. (D) The mRNA expression profile of *NOS-2 (i-NOS)* and *NOS-3 (e-NOS)* was estimated through semi-quantitative RT-PCR using β -actin as an internal control, (i) representative agarose gel images, and (ii) densitometric analysis after the normalization with the expression of β -actin. The results are expressed as the mean of percent change $\pm$ standard deviation ($n = 3$). *** $p \leq 0.005$, * $p \leq 0.05$ (control vs. gliadin/curcumin/H₂O₂ in both the cell lines), # $p \leq 0.05$ (gliadin vs. gliadin + curcumin in both the cell lines). (E) Mitochondrial ROS was detected through MitoSox™ probe and mitochondrial membrane potential was estimated through MitoTracker and JC-1 dye. Representative confocal microscopy image of Mitochondria stained with MitoTracker (red color) and mitochondrial ROS (green color) in (i) HCT-116 cells, (ii) HT-29 cells after the treatment of gliadin, curcumin and their combinations (3 h pretreatment of curcumin) and H₂O₂ for 24 h; (iii) flow cytometry-based analysis of the mitochondrial transmembrane potential by using JC-1 dye in both HCT-116 and HT-29 cells, and (iv) bar graph showing the ratio of Red/Green JC-1 dye after the treatment of gliadin, curcumin, and their combinations (3 h pretreatment of curcumin) and H₂O₂ for 24 h.

untreated control cells; whereas the cells pretreatment with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin show about 2–4 folds increase in red to green fluorescence ratio as compared to only gliadin treated cells (Figure 1E, iii & iv).

3.4 | Assessments of cellular antioxidant enzymes status

3.4.1 | SOD levels

The SOD enzyme activity was measured spectrophotometrically and was found to be increased by 37–40% in gliadin protein treated HCT-116 and HT-29 cells whereas, in H_2O_2 treated cells the SOD activity is increased by 34% when compared with untreated control cells. The cells, when pretreated with curcumin followed by the treatment with gliadin a significant decrease in SOD activity, was observed (Figure 2A, i & ii). The mRNA expression of SOD-1 gene was evaluated in both the cell types. In HCT-116 cells, the expression is increased by 1.5 folds and 1.2 folds when cells are treated with gliadin protein and H_2O_2 , respectively, when compared with untreated control. The HCT-116 cells when pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin protein show a decrease of 28% in SOD-1 expression was observed when compared with only gliadin treated cells. In HT-29 cells, the SOD-1 gene expression was increased by about 3 folds and 2 folds when cells are treated with gliadin protein and H_2O_2 , respectively, as compared with untreated control cells. The HT-29 cells, when pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin, show a decrease of 49% in SOD-1 expression when compared with only gliadin treated cells (Figure 2D, i & ii).

3.4.2 | Catalase levels

The catalase enzyme activity in the HCT-116 cells was increased 3 folds in gliadin, and H_2O_2 treated cells when compared with untreated control cells. The HCT 116 cells, when pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin a significant decrease of 38% in catalase activity was observed as compared with only gliadin treated HCT-116 cells (Figure 2B, i). In HT-29 cells, catalase activity was increased by 2 folds in gliadin-treated cells, and 77% in H_2O_2 -treated cells as compared with untreated control cells. The cells pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by the treatment with gliadin, a significant decrease of 25% in catalase activity was observed in HT-29 cells (Figure 2B, ii). The mRNA expression of catalase gene in the HCT-116 and HT-29 cells showed augmented levels in cells treated with gliadin and H_2O_2 whereas no change in catalase expression was observed in both the cell lines when pretreated with curcumin followed by gliadin treatment (Figure 2D, i & ii).

3.4.3 | Total glutathione content

The glutathione content which functions both as a free radical scavenger as well as a substrate for glutathione peroxidase, was measured in both the cell lines. In HCT-116 cells, 32% and 30% upsurge of glutathione was observed in cells treated with gliadin protein and H_2O_2 , respectively, when compared with untreated control HCT-116 cells. The cells when pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin showed a significant decrease of 23% in glutathione level when compared with only gliadin treated cells (Figure 2C, i). In HT-29 cells, glutathione was found to be increased by 20% after gliadin treatment, and a 34% increase in H_2O_2 treated cells when compared with untreated control HT-29 cells. The cells pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin protein showed a significant decrease of 22% in glutathione level HT-29 cells (Figure 2C, ii). The mRNA expression of *glutathione peroxidase gene* in the HCT-116 cells, as well as HT 29 cells, increase by 2 folds when cells are treated with gliadin protein and H_2O_2 . The cells when pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin showed a decrease of 42%–59% when compared with only gliadin treated cells (Figure 2D, i & ii).

3.5 | Expression analysis of antioxidant responsive element (ARE) regulatory TF: Nrf-2

Nrf-2 is an important TF which regulates the ARE genes and controls the associated pathways. Activation of this pathway protects cells from oxidative stress-induced diseases and maintains cellular homeostasis. The mRNA expression of *Nrf-2 gene* in the HCT-116 cells augmented by 48% and 86% when cells are treated with gliadin and H_2O_2 , respectively, whereas in cells pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin a decrease of 20% was observed when compared with only gliadin treated HCT-116 cells, a similar pattern in the of *Nrf-2 gene* expression was observed in HT-29 cells (Figure 2D, i & ii).

3.6 | Assessment of the oxidative stress as a consequence of gliadin intolerance

Protein oxidation was estimated by measuring carbonyl content which is formed due to the oxidative damage of protein molecules. The carbonyls content was estimated by DNPH based spectrophotometric method. In HCT-116 cells, 14% and 17% increase in protein carbonyls was observed in the gliadin, and H_2O_2 treated cells, respectively, when compared with untreated control cells. The cells when pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin protein showed a decrease of 14% in carbonyl content when compared with only gliadin treated cells (Figure 3A). In HT-29 cells 17% and 24% increase in protein carbonyls was observed when cells were treated with gliadin protein and H_2O_2 , respectively, and




FIGURE 2 Cellular antioxidant status. The expression profile of antioxidant enzymes and their enzyme activities were determined after the treatment of gliadin, curcumin, and their combinations (3 h pretreatment of curcumin) and H₂O₂ for 24 h. (A) Spectrophotometry-based total SOD activity in whole-cell lysates of (i) HCT-116 cells and (ii) HT-29 cells. (B) Spectrophotometry-based catalase activity in (i) HCT-116 cells and (ii) HT-29 cells. (C) Spectrophotometry-based glutathione (GSH) content in the whole-cell lysates (i) in HCT-116 cells and (ii) in HT-29 cells were examined. (D) The mRNA expression profile of different antioxidant genes viz. *SOD-1*, *SOD-2*, *Catalase*, *GPx*, and *Nrf-2* were evaluated through semi-quantitative RT-PCR method by keeping β -actin as an internal control; (i) representative agarose gel images, and (ii) densitometric analysis of gel images after the normalization with the expression of β -actin. Results were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation ($n = 3$). *** $p \leq 0.005$, * $p \leq 0.05$ (control vs. gliadin/curcumin/H₂O₂ in both the cell lines), # $p \leq 0.05$ (gliadin vs. gliadin + curcumin in both the cell lines).

compared with untreated control cells whereas in the cells pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin no change in protein carbonyls was observed when compared with only gliadin treated cells (Figure 3B).

Lipid molecules of the cells (including cell membrane) get oxidized into MDA during oxidative stress, which reacts with TBA and forms TBARS. By quantifying the TBARS content, the cellular lipid peroxidation was estimated in both the cell lines. In the case of HCT-116 cells, 53% and 40% increase in lipid peroxidation content was observed in gliadin, and H₂O₂ treated cells,

respectively, when compared with untreated control cells. The cells, when pretreated with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin a significant decrease of 29% in lipid peroxidation content, was observed when compared with only gliadin-treated cells (Figure 3C). In HT-29 cells, 50% and 54% increase in lipid peroxidation was observed in cells were treated with gliadin and H₂O₂, respectively, when compared with untreated control cells, whereas in curcumin pretreated cells followed by treatment with gliadin 31% decrease in TBARS content when compared with only gliadin treated cells (Figure 3D).

DNA damage due to oxidative stress leads to the formation of 8-oxo-dG by the oxidation of guanine residue which is detected by using a primary antibody against it and secondary antibody labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 (green fluorescence signal). The microphotographs from confocal fluorescence microscopy show that the intensity of green fluorescence is high in gliadin and H_2O_2 treated

HCT-116 cells as compared to untreated control HCT-116 cells. In HCT-116 cells, when pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin protein showed a significant decrease in green fluorescence intensity (Figure 3E). In HT-29 cells, the gliadin protein and H_2O_2 treated cells showed high green fluorescence intensity as compared with untreated control HT-29 cells; whereas the HT-29




FIGURE 3 (See caption on next page)

cells when pretreated with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin protein showed a significant decrease in green fluorescence intensity (Figure 3F).

3.7 | Transcriptional and translational expression profiling of APE1: A key protein for the oxidized DNA damage repair via BER-pathway

ROS generated within the cells typically damage DNA by creating the AP sites by oxidizing the bases. These oxidized bases get repaired via BER-pathway which is facilitated by a multifunctional APE1 enzyme. The change in the mRNA expression of *APE1* gene was evaluated by semi-quantitative RT-PCR method. The expression of *APE1* gene increased by 2 fold and 1.5 times in the gliadin protein and H_2O_2 treated cells, respectively. The pretreatment with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin showed a significant decrease of 76% in the mRNA level of APE1 in both HCT-116 and HT-29 cells (Figure 3G,H). Western blotting was performed to detect the APE1 protein level. In HCT-116 cells as well as in HT 29 cells its expression was increased by 2 folds when the cells were treated with gliadin and H_2O_2 whereas cells when pretreated with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin decreased APE1 level by 80% in HCT116 cells and 46% in HT 29 cells when compared with only gliadin treated cells (Figure 3I,J).

3.8 | AP endonuclease activity

For further conformation, the endonuclease activity of APE1 was performed using nuclear lysates of both the cells. In HCT-116 cells, AP endonuclease activity increased by 65% to 3 folds in dose dependent manner after the treatment of 50, 100, and 150 μM H_2O_2 , respectively. The AP endonuclease activity increased by 1.57 to 3 folds after the treatment of 80, 160, 240 $\mu g/ml$ gliadin protein and slight increase was observed after the treatment of 5, 10, 15 μM of curcumin when compared with untreated control. The cells when pretreated (3 h) with different concentrations of

curcumin (5, 10, and 15 μM) followed by treatment of gliadin (160 $\mu g/ml$) 1.5 fold decrease in APE1 activity was observed in all the treatments (Figure 3K,L). In HT-29 cells, AP endonuclease activity was increased by 82% to 3 folds after the treatment of 50, 100, and 150 μM H_2O_2 , respectively, and increased by 1.2–3 folds after the treatment of 80, 160, 240 $\mu g/ml$ gliadin protein. The activity was found to be increased by 27%–83% after the treatment of 5, 10, and 15 μM of curcumin whereas various curcumin concentrations (5, 10, 15 μM for 3 h) followed by treatment of gliadin (160 $\mu g/ml$) about 60%–100% decrease in AP endonuclease activity was observed when compared with only gliadin treated cells (Figure 3M,N).

3.9 | Subcellular co-localization of APE1 and Nrf-2 proteins

APE1 and Nrf-2 are predominantly cytosolic proteins which translocate to the nucleus during oxidative stress condition. To observe the sub-cellular co-localization of these two proteins, immunofluorescence-based confocal microscopy was performed. The microphotographs show that in both cells HCT 116 and HT 29 the expression of APE1 (red) and Nrf-2 (green) are high and yellow (a mixture of both red and green) color appear when cells are treated with gliadin and H_2O_2 . The co-localization (yellow color) is less intense in cells pretreated (3 h) with curcumin followed by treatment with gliadin protein when compared with only gliadin treated cells (Figure 4A,B). From the immunofluorescence-based co-localization studies, it was found that during stress conditions, the expression of APE1 (red) and Nrf-2 (green) are high, and the protein gets translocated to the nucleus. For further confirmation, Western blot analysis was performed with subcellular fractions (cytosolic and nuclear lysates) along with whole-cell lysates. The Western blot results advocate that both APE1 and Nrf-2 translocate from the cytoplasm to nucleus during gliadin stress but the cells when pretreated with curcumin followed by treatment of gliadin the translocation was found to be reduced (Figure 4C,D).

FIGURE 3 Detection of oxidative stress as consequence of gliadin treatment induced stress responses. The adverse effects of these oxidative/nitrosative stress on different cellular macromolecules was estimated after the treatment of gliadin, curcumin, and their combinations (3 h pretreatment of curcumin) and H_2O_2 for 24 h. Protein carbonylation (a marker for protein oxidation) content (nM/mg of protein) in (A) HCT-116, and (B) HT-29 cells was measured spectrophotometrically. Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS; a marker of lipid oxidation) content (nM/mg of protein) in (C) HCT-116, and (D) HT-29 cells was measured spectrophotometrically. Immunofluorescence-based detection of oxidative stress-induced DNA base damage (8-oxo-dG sites) was performed using confocal microscopy. The representative images are shown for (E) HCT-116 and (F) HT-29 cells. The mRNA expression profile of *APE1* gene (a key enzyme of DNA base damage repair) was performed by semi-quantitative RT-PCR using *GAPDH* as an internal control, (G) representative agarose gel image for *APE1* gene expression, and (H) densitometric analysis of gel images after the normalization with the expression of *GAPDH* in both the cell lines. (I) The protein expression profile of APE1 protein was performed by Western blot using β -actin as an internal control, and (J) densitometric analysis of after normalization with the expression of β -actin in both the cell lines. The ability to abasic (AP) site repair of different nuclear extracts prepared after different treatments of both the cell lines was estimated using AP-endonuclease activity assay: (K) the representative gel images, and (L) densitometric data of cleaved product for HCT-116 cells, and (M) The representative gel images, and (N) densitometric data of the cleaved product for HT-29 cells. The results were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation ($n = 3$). *** $p \leq 0.005$, * $p \leq 0.05$ (control vs. gliadin/curcumin/ H_2O_2 in both the cell lines), # $p \leq 0.05$ (gliadin vs. gliadin + curcumin in both the cell lines).




FIGURE 4 Sub-cellular localization and cross-talk of APE1 and Nrf-2. The subcellular co-localization of APE1 and Nrf-2 in both the cells (HCT-116 & HT-29) after the treatment of Gliadin, Curcumin and their combinations (3 h pretreatment of curcumin) and H₂O₂ for 24 h was performed. Immunofluorescence-based confocal study was performed after staining of these two proteins and counterstaining the nucleus with Hoechst 33342. The representative microscopic images for (A) HCT-116 cells and (B) HT-29 cells. Western blot-based analysis of sub-cellular translocation of APE1 and Nrf-2 was studied using different sub-cellular fractions (whole cell lysates, cytoplasmic extracts, and nuclear extracts); (C) the representative Western blot image, and (D) densitometric data after normalization with the respective fraction marker. EMSA based in-vitro DNA-protein interaction for the ARE binding protein (Nrf-2) was studied using the nuclear extracts prepared after different treatments as mentioned above, (E) the representative gel image for HCT-116 cells, and (F) densitometric analysis of DNA-protein complex in HCT-116 cells, (G) the representative gel image for HT-29 cells, and (H) densitometric analysis of DNA-protein complex in HT-29 cells. Protein-protein interaction for APE1 and Nrf-2 proteins were studied: (I) STRING (an *in-silico* database-based online tool) was used to elucidate the possible interaction between APE1 and Nrf-2. Co-Immunoprecipitation based in-vitro interaction between APE1 and Nrf-2 was established in both the cell lines and (J) representative images of Co-IP experiments ($n = 2$).




FIGURE 4 Continued

3.10 | Interaction of Nrf-2 with ARE DNA fragment

EMSA is an in-vitro technique used to study the physical interaction of DNA and protein. In this study, the interaction of ARE DNA fragment with Nrf-2 protein was studied which indicated that Nrf-2 (nuclear extracts) interact with the ARE-DNA fragment and the

interaction gets stronger with an increase in oxidative stress. In case of HCT-116 cells, the interaction between Nrf-2 and ARE-DNA was found to be increased by 50% to 3 folds after the treatment of 50, 100, and 150 μM H₂O₂ and increase by about 100% to 2.5 folds after the treatment of 80, 160, and 240 μg/ml gliadin; whereas a marginal increase was observed after the treatment of 5, 10, 15 μM of curcumin as compared with the untreated control cells. When cells

were pretreated with different concentrations of curcumin (5, 10, 15 μ M, for 3 h) followed by treatment of gliadin (160 μ g/ml) 87%, 64%, and 36% decrease in the interaction was observed (Figure 4E,F). In HT-29 cells, the interaction of Nrf-2 with ARE element increased by 68% to 2 folds after the treatment of 50, 100 and 150 μ M H_2O_2 , respectively, and increased by about 90% to 3 folds after the treatment of 80, 160, 240 μ g/ml gliadin; whereas no change was observed after the curcumin treatment alone (5, 10, 15 μ M). When cells were pretreated with different concentrations of curcumin (5, 10, 15 μ M, for 3 h) followed by the treatment of gliadin (160 μ g/ml) 100%, 74%, and 12% decrease in the interaction was observed when compared only with the gliadin treated cells (Figure 4G,H).

3.11 | Interaction study for APE1 and Nrf-2

The interaction between the APE1 and Nrf-2 protein was retrieved in-silico by using STRING database and found that the interaction of APE1 (APEX1) with Nrf-2 (NFE2L2) protein was mediated through some intermediate proteins like FEN1, RBX1, MCM2, KEAP1, CDKN1A and others (Figure 4I). For further confirmation of the physical interaction of APE1 and Nrf-2 protein co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) reaction was performed in both the cell lines which showed that APE1 and Nrf-2 are potentially interacting partners and they physically interact with each other. As presented above with supporting data, this interaction gets stronger by the gliadin stress, and the pretreatment of curcumin can be attributed that normalizes this interaction to the basal level in both the cell lines studied (Figure 4J).

4 | DISCUSSION

Gluten is the main seed storage protein of wheat, barley, and rye, which are consumed worldwide. It has complex protein networks and composed of gliadin and glutenin parts.^[33] Out of these two, gliadin is the main causative factor for vigorous antigenic responses in the human intestine and play a key role in many wheat-induced autoimmune diseases like CD, NCGS, and other wheat associated allergies.^[14,34,35] Gliadin protein triggers the excess production of ROS, RNS, disturbing cellular antioxidant defense system and chronic inflammation in the human intestine, which ultimately leads to the autoimmunity by inducing immune system.^[36] In disease condition, the mucosa of the small intestine gets disrupted by autoantibodies generated after the exposure of gliadin immunogenic peptides, and create avillus condition, which finally results in malabsorption, diarrhea, and hematochezia eventually resulting in colorectal cancer.^[37,38] Currently, the only effective treatment for these diseases is a strict lifelong gluten-free diet, that is, excluding all wheat, rye, and barley-containing food products from the diet. The pathophysiology of wheat intolerance is not fully elucidated, and the beneficial role of phytochemical curcumin (herbal products) which is having a wide

array of beneficial effects in human health is also not studied so far in these diseases.

The present study attempts to explore the molecular pathways/mechanisms of harmful effects of gliadin protein and also the role of curcumin (one of the most used spices) in maintaining the cellular homeostasis using human intestinal cell lines (HCT- 116 and HT-29) as an experimental model.^[14,39,40]

ROS/RNS are produced in the cells during normal metabolic pathways even they are the important secondary messengers and are involved in many important cellular processes like vasodilation, killing the foreign pathogens, and others. Studies show that in human gastrointestinal diseases, oxidative/nitrosative stress are one of the key factors for pathophysiology and disease severity.^[6,41–43] Curcumin, an herbal supplement and principal constituent of turmeric (commonly used spice in Asian culinary), is a strong free radical scavenger and prevent ROS generation within the microenvironment of the cell.^[11,44] Based on our previous lab publications and preliminary results during standardization phase, a 3 h pretreatment with curcumin was selected for further study.^[45] In the present study, it was found that ROS level was significantly reduced near to the level of untreated control HCT-116 and HT-29 cells when these cells were pretreated with the curcumin (10 μ M) for 3 h followed by the exposure/treatment of gliadin. The pretreatment of curcumin also significantly diminished the production of NO against gliadin exposure.

Gliadin protein is rich in proline and glutamine amino acids (a member of prolamin family) and the deficiency of prolyl endopeptidases enzyme (PEPs); its digestion is not completed.^[46] Small under-digested gliadin proteins enter into the intestinal epithelial cells and lead to the activation of free radical activation pathways^[5] and resulting in an elevation in the level of free radical production in the intestinal cells. The free radicals result in the formation of ROS/RNS; their augmentation increases the level of many enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidant defense system to protect the cells from detrimental effects of ROS. In the present study, the 1st line of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, catalase, and glutathione) were estimated using biochemical and PCR-based assays. The mRNA level and protein level expression of Nrf2 (regulator of ARE) were also estimated. The results show that the levels of antioxidant enzymes are high in gliadin-treated HCT-116 and HT-29 cells as compared with the untreated control cells whereas their levels are significantly decreased in the curcumin pretreated cells followed by the treatment of gliadin as compared to only gliadin treated HCT-116 and HT-29 cells. These results are found to be in accordance of previous reports in which SOD levels reported to be increased tremendously in celiac patients^[47,48], and increased levels of reduced glutathione in active celiac patients.^[49]

The persistent oxidative stress adversely affects the cellular macromolecules by protein carbonylation, lipid peroxidation, and oxidative DNA damage. These consequences of oxidative stress were estimated and found that the level of protein carbonylation and lipid peroxidation (MDA content) significantly increased in gliadin challenged HCT-116 and HT-29 cells. These results are in accordance

with the previous results, which show that the level of protein carbonylation and lipid peroxidation was significantly elevated in the blood of celiac patients.^[6] The content of protein carbonylation and MDA was significantly reduced in the curcumin pretreated HCT-116 and HT-29 cells as compared to only gliadin treated cells. Based on these in-vitro results it is clear that pretreatment of phytochemical curcumin significantly reduces the gliadin induced oxidative stress.

Nucleic acid, that is, DNA plays a critical role in normal cellular function and prone to interact with free radicals. These free radicals damage the DNA typically by creating the AP sites, oxidized purines, double-strand breaks (DSBs), and others. Out of this 8-oxodG (8-oxo-deoxyguanosine) are the major oxidative DNA base damage marker. In previous studies, the presence of 8-oxo-dG was reported in the blood and urine samples of celiac patients.^[50,51] In the present study, the elevated level of 8-oxo-dG was found in both the cell lines HCT-116 and HT-29 after the treatment of gliadin which was significantly masked by the pretreatment of curcumin.

AP sites, the DNA damage which is created by oxidative stress, is mainly repaired via BER-pathway by using APE1 enzyme along with other BER enzymes. APE1 is a multifunctional enzyme, along with its role in DNA base repair, cleaving of AP sites, it also helps in maintaining the cellular redox homeostasis by interacting and activating many key TFs like Nrf-2, AP-1, NF- κ B, p53, HIF1 α , and others.^[18,52,53] In the present study, transcriptional and translational levels (expression profiling) of APE1, endonuclease activity, and its redox function were performed in HCT-116 and

HT-29 cells in the presence of gliadin, curcumin, and their combinations. The level of APE1 was increased upon gliadin stress along with increased endonuclease activity. These results support pre-existing knowledge of multifunctional nature of APE1 upon gliadin-induced oxidative stress its expression increased which might be associated with helping in DNA repair mechanism, enhanced expression, activation, and subcellular translocation of different key TFs like Nrf-2.^[36]

Nrf-2 also known as NFE2L2, which regulates many aspects of cellular homeostasis mainly against the oxidative stress and inflammation.^[54] It activates ARE, which is a promoter of phase-II antioxidant enzyme responsible for scavenging the excess of ROS/RNS, prevent the oxidative stress and protect against the cellular damage.^[55,56] The transcriptional and translational expression level profiling of Nrf-2 examined in the present work was increased in both the HCT-116 and HT-29 cell lines after treatment of gliadin protein whereas it was found be decreased in curcumin pretreated HCT-116 and HT-29 cells as compared to only gliadin treated cells. Nrf-2 is present in the cytoplasm as an inactive complex attached with Kelch-like ECH-associated protein-1 (Keap-1), during oxidative stress; this complex gets dissociated and Nrf-2 translocate to the nucleus.^[57] In the nucleus, Nrf-2 gets attached with the Maf protein and activate ARE. Reports suggest that it also interacts with the multifactorial enzyme APE1 and helps in managing the organophosphatepesticides (OPPs)-induced oxidative stress in neuronal as well as non-neuronal cells^[18,32,52] to further confirm it in gliadin intolerance confocal microscopy,




FIGURE 5 A cartoon model summarizing the role of phytochemical curcumin against gliadin-induced and activated oxidative/nitrosative stress-mediated signaling pathways and effect on various cell survival macromolecules.

Western blotting, EMSA, and co-immunoprecipitation were performed. From the confocal microscopy data, it is apparent that upon gliadin-induced oxidative stress the nuclear translocation of APE1 and Nrf-2 increases which is further confirmed by the Western blot analysis of subcellular fractions using nuclear lysates, cytosolic fractions, and whole-cell lysates. Nrf-2 is a TF which directly regulates many other proteins associated with the oxidative stress by binding with ARE.^[56,58] The EMSA results show that Nrf-2 protein directly interacts with the ARE-DNA sequence and its binding efficiency observed is directly proportional to the oxidative stress in both the HCT-116 and HT-29 cell lines.

From the literature and STRING database analysis, it can be predicted that these two critical proteins are directly interacting with each other. Further, the physical interaction was confirmed in-vitro conditions using co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) experiment. Previous reports have also identified an interaction between these two pathways in primary pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma tumor cells and suggested to be playing a crucial role in overcoming the resistance against experimental drugs targeting APE1/Ref-1 activity. The present study and other studies from our group^[18,32] advocate the physical interaction between APE1 and Nrf-2 which is associated with regulation of many cell survival pathways leading to the re-establishment of cellular homeostasis against oxidative stress. However, the specific TFs and their downstream translational implications need to be elucidated further in the human pathophysiological diseases including wheat protein-induced allergies.

5 | SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The experimental data presented suggests that pure gliadin protein induces oxidative stress by increasing ROS/RNS and disturbs the antioxidant system which damages the cellular macromolecules in both the human colon cancer HCT-116 and HT-29 cell lines. Additionally, the pivotal role of APE1 and Nrf-2 proteins along with their sub-cellular localization during the oxidative stress being demonstrated. This study also establishes the interaction of these two proteins and their key role in maintaining the cellular homeostasis against gliadin induced oxidative stress (Figure 5). Further, the role of curcumin was investigated which significantly revitalizes the colon cancer cells against gliadin induced stress and modulated its adverse effects via DNA repair pathways and oxidative stress which is evident through the cross-talk between the two crucial proteins of these pathways involving APE1 and Nrf-2; hence suggesting for the role of curcumin based management of wheat intolerance diseases like CD.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: Monisha Dhiman. **Methodology, investigation writing original draft:** Kunj Bihari Gupta. **Editing, funding acquisition, and supervision:** Monisha Dhiman and Anil Kumar Mantha.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Kunj Bihari Gupta acknowledges the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), New Delhi for providing financial assistance in the form of JRF/SRF. Central Instrumentation Laboratory (CIL), Central University of Punjab, Bathinda for providing instrumentation facility being thankfully acknowledged. Kunj Bihari Gupta has been supported by Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), New Delhi for the financial assistance in the form of JRF/SRF.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original data is with the corresponding author and can be provided as and when required.

ORCID

Anil Kumar Mantha  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6310-725X>

REFERENCES

- [1] L.Liu, T. M. Ikeda, G. Branlard, R. J. Peña, W. J. Rogers, S. E. Lerner, M. A. Kolman, X. Xia, L. Wang, W. Ma, R. Appels, *BMC Plant Biol.* **2010**, 10(1), 1.
- [2] P. Singh, A. Arora, T. A. Strand, D. A. Leffler, C. Catassi, P. H. Green, C. P. Kelly, V. Ahuja, G. K. Makharia, *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2018**, 16(6), 823.
- [3] J. Molina-Infante, S. Santolaria, D. S. Sanders, F. Fernández-Bañares, *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2015**, 41(9), 807.
- [4] K. A. Scherf, *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* **2019**, 25, 35.
- [5] E. Monguzzi, L. Marabini, L. Elli, V. Vaira, S. Ferrero, F. Ferretti, F. Branchi, G. Gaudioso, A. Scricciolo, V. Lombardo, L. Doneda, *Dig. Liver. Dis.* **2019**, 51(1), 47.
- [6] S. Moretti, S. Mrakic-Spota, L. Roncoroni, A. Vezzoli, C. Dellanocce, E. Monguzzi, F. Branchi, F. Ferretti, V. Lombardo, L. Doneda, A. Scricciolo, *Clin. Transl. Gastroenterol.* **2018**, 9, 6.
- [7] K. K. Bhakat, A. K. Mantha, S. Mitra, *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* **2009**, 11(3), 621.
- [8] G. Tell, F. Quadrifoglio, C. Tiribelli, M. R. Kelley, *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* **2009**, 11(3), 601.
- [9] S. Thakur, M. Dhiman, G. Tell, A. K. Mantha, *Cell Biochem. Funct.* **2015**, 33(3), 101.
- [10] Z. Sun, S. Zhang, J. Y. Chan, D. D. Zhang, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2007**, 27(18), 6334.
- [11] A. Amalraj, A. Pius, S. Gopi, S. Gopi, *J. Tradit. Complement. Med.* **2017**, 7(2), 205.
- [12] S. González-Reyes, S. Guzmán-Beltrán, O. N. Medina-Campos, J. Pedraza-Chaverri, *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longevity* **2013**, 2013, 801418.
- [13] R. Pinkus, L. M. Weiner, V. Daniel, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1996**, 271(23), 13422.
- [14] K. B. Gupta, S. Upadhyay, R. G. Saini, A. K. Mantha, M. Dhiman, *J. Cereal Sci.* **2018**, 81, 91.
- [15] R. P. Cholia, M. Dhiman, R. Kumar, A. K. Mantha, *Metab. Brain Dis.* **2018**, 33(4), 1307.
- [16] S. Levrant, B. Pesse, F. Feihl, B. Waeber, P. Pacher, J. Rolli, M. D. Schaller, L. Liaudet, *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, 280(41), 34878.
- [17] H. Sim Choi, J. Woo Kim, Y. N. Cha, C. Kim, *J. Immunoassay Immunochem.* **2006**, 27(1), 31.
- [18] B. Sarkar, M. Dhiman, S. Mittal, A. K. Mantha, *Metab. Brain Dis.* **2017**, 32(6), 2045.
- [19] M. Dhiman, N. J. Garg, *PLoS Pathog.* **2014**, 10(12), e1004516.

- [20] M. Dhiman, X. Wan, V. L. Popov, G. Vargas, N. J. Garg, *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **2013**, 2(5), e000302.
- [21] S. Gupta, V. Bhatia, J. J. Wen, Y. Wu, M. H. Huang, N. J. Garg, *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2009**, 47(10), 1414.
- [22] I. Gill, S. Kaur, N. Kaur, M. Dhiman, A. K. Mantha, *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **2017**, 60(s1), S25.
- [23] H. Aebi, in *Methods in Enzymology*, 105 (Ed: L. Packer), **1984**, pp. 121–126.
- [24] A. de Sousa, J. Teixeira, M. T. Regueiras, M. Azenha, F. Silva, F. Fidalgo, *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* **2013**, 107(2), 235.
- [25] I. Rahman, A. Kode, S. K. Biswas, *Nat. Protoc.* **2006**, 1(6), 3159.
- [26] I. Dalle-Donne, R. Rossi, D. Giustarini, A. Milzani, R. Colombo, *Clin. Chim. Acta* **2003**, 329(1–2), 23.
- [27] Y. H. Siddique, G. Ara, M. Afzal, *Dose Response* **2012**, 10(1), 1–10.
- [28] S. Upadhyay, A. K. Mantha, M. Dhiman, *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2020**, 258, 112690.
- [29] A. K. Mantha, N. Oezguen, K. K. Bhakat, T. Izumi, W. Braun, S. Mitra, *J. Mol. Biol.* **2008**, 379(1), 28.
- [30] C. Q. Li, M. Y. Kim, L. C. Godoy, A. Thiantanawat, L. J. Trudel, G. N. Wogan, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2009**, 106(34), 14547.
- [31] A. K. Mantha, M. Dhiman, G. Taglialatela, R. J. Perez-Polo, S. Mitra, *J. Neurosci. Res.* **2012**, 90(6), 1230.
- [32] S. Thakur, B. Sarkar, M. Dhiman, A. K. Mantha, *J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol.* **2021**, 35(2), e22640.
- [33] H. Wieser, *Food Microbiol.* **2007**, 24(2), 115.
- [34] M. V. Barone, R. Troncone, S. Auricchio, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2014**, 15(11), 20518.
- [35] A. Makharia, C. Catassi, G. K. Makharia, *Nutrients* **2015**, 7(12), 10417.
- [36] S. Perez, R. Talens-Visconti, S. Rius-Perez, I. Finamor, J. Sastre, *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2017**, 104, 75.
- [37] J. Lasa, A. Rausch, L. F. Bracho, J. Altamirano, D. Speisky, de M. T. Dávila, A. Iotti, I. Zubiaurre, *Gastroenterol. Res. Pract.* **2018**, 2018, 6150145.
- [38] I. Pasha, F. Saeed, M. T. Sultan, R. Batool, M. Aziz, W. Ahmed, *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2016**, 56(1), 13.
- [39] S. Drago, R. El Asmar, M. Di Pierro, M. Grazia Clemente, A. T. Sapone, M. Thakar, G. Iacono, A. Carroccio, C. D'Agate, T. Not, L. Zampini, *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* **2006**, 41(4), 408.
- [40] A. Luciani, V. R. Vilella, A. Vasaturo, I. Giardino, M. Pettoello-Mantovani, S. Guido, O. N. Cexus, N. Peake, M. Londei, S. Quarantino, L. Maiuri, *Gut* **2010**, 59(3), 311.
- [41] E. Benedetti, A. Viscido, V. Castelli, C. Maggiani, M. d'Angelo, E. Di Giacomo, A. Antonosante, A. Picarelli, G. Frieri, *J. Cell. Physiol.* **2018**, 233(6), 4383.
- [42] M. A. Daulatzai, *CNS Neurol. Disord. Drug Targets* **2015**, 14(1), 110.
- [43] M. Kaplan, I. Ates, M. Yüksel, Y. O. Ozin, M. Y. Akpınar, C. Topcuoglu, E. Kayaçetin, *J. Med. Biochem.* **2017**, 36(3), 243.
- [44] P. K. Sahu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2016**, 121, 510.
- [45] K. B. Gupta, A. K. Mantha, M. Dhiman, *Inflammation* **2021**, 44(3), 873.
- [46] T. Marti, Ø. Molberg, Q. Li, G. M. Gray, C. Khosla, L. M. Sollid, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **2005**, 312(1), 19.
- [47] P. Patlevič, J. Vaškova, Jr P. Švorc, L. Vaško, P. Švorc, *Integr. Med. Res.* **2016**, 5(4), 250.
- [48] V. Stojiljković, A. Todorović, S. Pejić, J. Kasapović, Z. S. Saičić, N. Radlović, S. B. Pajović, *Clin. Biochem.* **2009**, 42(13–14), 1431.
- [49] V. Stojiljković, S. A. Pejić, J. Kasapović, L. Gavrilović, S. Stojiljković, D. Nikolić, S. A. Pajović, *An. Acad. Bras. Cienc.* **2012**, 84(1), 175.
- [50] A. Szaflarska-Popławska, A. Siomek, M. Czerwionka-Szaflarska, D. Gackowski, R. Różalski, J. Guz, A. Szpila, E. Zarakowska, R. Oliński, *Biomarkers* **2010**, 19(8), 1960.
- [51] G. Ferretti, T. Bacchetti, S. Masciangelo, L. Saturni, *Nutrients* **2012**, 4(4), 243.
- [52] S. Thakur, M. Dhiman, A. K. Mantha, *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **2018**, 441(1), 201.
- [53] R. Manguinhas, A. S. Fernandes, J. G. Costa, N. Saraiva, S. P. Camões, N. Gil, R. Rosell, M. Castro, J. P. Miranda, N. G. Oliveira, *Antioxidants* **2020**, 9(6), 550.
- [54] K. Taguchi, H. Motohashi, M. Yamamoto, *Genes Cells* **2011**, 16(2), 123.
- [55] E. Kansanen, S. M. Kuosmanen, H. Leinonen, A. L. Levonen, *Redox Biol.* **2013**, 1(1), 45.
- [56] H. Zhang, W. Zheng, X. Feng, F. Yang, H. Qin, S. Wu, D. X. Hou, J. Chen, *Molecules* **2019**, 24(4), 708.
- [57] J. L. Shan, H. T. He, M. X. Li, J. W. Zhu, Y. Cheng, N. Hu, G. Wang, D. Wang, X. Q. Yang, Y. He, H. L. Xiao, *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2015**, 78, 11.
- [58] S. E. Lacher, M. Slattery, *Curr. Opin. Toxicol.* **2016**, 1, 71.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: K. B. Gupta, M. Dhiman, A. K. Mantha, *J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol.* **2022**;36:e23096.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jbt.23096>