

Cumulative Pollen Concentration Curves for Pollen Allergy Diagnosis

Hoffmann TM¹, Travaglini A^{2,3}, Brighetti MA², Acar Şahin A⁴, Arasi S⁵, Bregu B⁶, Caeiro E^{7,8}, Caglayan Sozmen S⁹, Charpin D¹⁰, Delgado L^{11,12,13}, Dimou M¹⁴, Fiorilli M¹⁵, Fonseca JA^{12,13,16}, Goksel O¹⁷, Kalpaklioglu F¹⁸, Lame B⁶, Mazon A¹⁹, Mesonjesi E⁶, Nieto A¹⁹, Öztürk A²⁰, Pajno G²¹, Papadopoulos NG^{14,22}, Pellegrini E²³, Pereira AM^{12,13}, Pereira M^{12,13}, Pinar NM⁴, Pinter E¹⁴, Priftanji A⁶, Sackesen C²⁴, Sfika I²⁵, Suarez J²⁶, Thibaudon M²⁷, Tripodi S²⁵, Ugus U²⁸, Vilella V²⁵, Matricardi PM¹, Dramburg S¹ and the @IT.2020 study team

¹Department of Pediatric Pneumology, Immunology and Intensive Care Medicine, Charité Medical University, Berlin, Germany

²Department of Biology, Tor Vergata University, Rome, Italy

³Italian Aerobiology Monitoring Network - Italian Aerobiology Association, Italy

⁴Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey

⁵Pediatric Allergology Unit, Department of Pediatric Medicine, Bambino Gesù Children's research Hospital (IRCCS), Rome, Italy

⁶Department of Allergology and Clinical Immunology, UHC Mother Teresa, Medical University Tirana, Albania

⁷Mediterranean Institute for Agriculture, Environmental and Development, University of Évora, Évora, Portugal

⁸Portuguese Society of Allergology and Clinical Immunology, Lisbon, Portugal

⁹Department of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology, Okan University Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

¹⁰Department of Pneumonology and Allergy, La Timone Hospital, APHM, Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France

¹¹Basic and Clinical Immunology Unit, Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

¹²CINTESIS, Center for Health Technology and Services Research, Porto, Portugal

¹³Allergy Unit, Instituto & Hospital CUF Porto, Porto, Portugal

¹⁴Allergy Department, 2nd Pediatric Clinic, Athens General Children's Hospital "P&A Kyriakou," University of Athens, Athens, Greece

¹⁵Department of Translational and Precision Medicine, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy

¹⁶MEDCIDS-Department of Community Medicine, Information, and Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

¹⁷Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Division of Immunology, Allergy and Asthma, Faculty of Medicine, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey

¹⁸Kırıkkale University School of Medicine, Department of Chest Diseases, Division of Immunology and Allergic Diseases, Turkey

¹⁹Pediatric Allergy and Pneumology Unit, Children's Hospital La Fe; Health Research Institute La Fe, Valencia, Spain

²⁰Department of Allergy and Immunology, Koç University Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey

²¹Department of Pediatrics- Allergy Unit, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

²²Division of Infection, Immunity & Respiratory Medicine, Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

²³ARPACal- Regional Agency for Environmental Protection, Department of Reggio Calabria, Italy

²⁴Division of Pediatric Allergy, Koç University School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

²⁵Pediatric Allergy Unit, Sandro Pertini Hospital, Rome, Italy

²⁶Department of Biology of Organisms and Systems, Area of Botany, University of Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

²⁷Réseau National de Surveillance Aérobiologique, Brussieu, France

²⁸Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey

J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2021; Vol. 31(4): 340-343
doi: 10.18176/jiaci.0646

Key words: Pollen. Seasonal allergic rhinitis. Pollen allergy. Allergy diagnosis. Pollen concentration.

Palabras clave: Polen. Rinitis alérgica estacional. Alergia a polen. Diagnóstico alergológico. Concentración de polen.

In the Mediterranean area, patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis are often sensitized to a wide array of grasses, trees, and weeds [1] due to pollen types that are prominent in regions with a Mediterranean climate [2]. Global warming has further increased the duration of pollen seasons, resulting in wide overlaps between several clinically relevant taxa [3].

Given this scenario, pollen calendars are becoming more and more complex as a tool for aerobiologists and physicians [4,5]. Daily symptom monitoring via e-Diaries and graphical representations of airborne pollen data are increasingly used to improve the precision of diagnostic work-ups for pollen allergy [6]. Unfortunately, diagrams illustrating daily pollen concentrations from many different sources in parallel make the interpretation of each of these curves very difficult, ultimately hampering clinicians' attempts to identify the culprit pollen and, therefore, the prescription of specific allergen immunotherapy [7].

This problem may be solved by using curves based on the cumulative transformation of pollen data. Cumulative pollen concentrations were described over 40 years ago [8], although they are rarely used in aerobiology [9]. To our knowledge, the use of cumulative pollen concentration curves in clinical practice has not been reported to date.

In this study, we aimed to investigate whether the graphical representation of cumulative pollen concentrations over time helps to identify single pollen concentrations in a complex diagram and whether this is perceived as clinically useful by physicians. To this end, we constructed pollen concentration curves as daily cumulative vs absolute values based on pollen data collected during the @IT.2020 study, a multicenter project on pollen allergy and allergen immunotherapy in 9 southern European cities. In this context, aerobiological monitoring was performed from January 1 to December 31, 2018 on pollen

from the Cupressaceae, Fagales, Oleaceae, Poaceae, and Urticaceae families, as well as from *Ambrosia* and *Artemisia* species. Complete aerobiological data were available for 6 cities (Valencia, Marseilles, Rome, Messina, Istanbul, and Izmir) during the study period (Tables e1 and e2). Data from the coordinating study center for aerobiological monitoring in Rome were used as a reference (Figure).

The graphical representation of pollen concentrations was based on 2 approaches. First, individual daily pollen concentration values were represented for 7 taxa in 1 diagram. Second, the cumulative pollen concentration was calculated for each day by adding the daily average concentration to the sum of previously counted pollen from the same source.

A workshop with specialized allergists and physicians undergoing training in allergology (Table e3) was held in 4 locations (Rome, Lisbon, Ankara, and Tirana) between March and May 2019. In the framework of the workshop, participants completed a survey on the clarity and usability of absolute and cumulative pollen concentration curves, based on the airborne pollen data obtained in Rome during the year 2018 (Figure and Figure e1). After a short training session on the correct interpretation of graphs based on absolute and cumulative data, physicians completed a questionnaire structured according to the individual perception of daily and cumulative pollen concentration curves, the usability of both curves, and usefulness in a clinical setting.

Overall, 112 physicians completed the survey. Most physicians correctly interpreted information on daily absolute and cumulative pollen concentrations taken from both curves ($n_{abs}=94$, 83.9%; $n_{cum}=90$, 80.4%) (Table e4). When asked to evaluate the usefulness of the curves in combination for clinical decision-making, over two-thirds ($n=80$, 71.4%) of the local physicians judged the information provided as useful from a clinical standpoint. By interpreting each curve alone, only 4 of the physicians (3.6%) judged the information provided by the cumulative values to be useful, while 28 (25%) considered the information given by the diagram portraying only daily absolute values as useful (Table e4). Information on the highest peak daily pollen concentration was sought and found using a combination of both curves. However, the cumulative curve was considered




Figure. Daily absolute (yellow) and cumulative (brown) pollen concentrations of Cupressaceae in Rome 2018. Blue areas indicate linearly interpolated data for missing values.

the only graphical tool able to identify the highest annual cumulative pollen concentration.

In summary, we found that the graphical representation of cumulative pollen concentrations in addition to daily absolute concentration curves was intuitively interpretable for most study participants with regard to the start of the pollen season, trend, and conclusion, as well as for monthly growth and annual cumulative levels (annual total integral). In addition, the curves were clearly differentiated, even when those for various pollen types with overlapping seasons were represented together in the same diagram.

Our results make it possible to visualize pollen data for many allergenic pollen types in aerobiologically complex areas by combining detailed information easily and effectively in a single diagram. This is particularly relevant for the management of patients with IgE to pollen extracts whose seasons overlap. Given that pollen-allergic patients are often polysensitized [10], our data suggest a wide area of application for these complementary methodologies in clinical practice. The characteristics of cumulative pollen concentrations open up a range of possible uses in the analysis of the interaction between exposure to allergenic pollen and data recorded in clinical practice, such as patients' symptom curves acquired via e-Diaries [11].

The present study is subject to several limitations. Despite having data from 6 Mediterranean centers, we selected Rome as a reference, even though it is not representative of all aerobiological conditions in the participating centers. This strategy aimed to keep the physician assessment short, thus limiting attrition and tiredness, which could have lowered answer quality if data from all cities had been assessed independently. Moreover, although we acknowledge that aerobiological conditions differ between centers/cities and that this could lead to diverse perceptions on the usefulness of daily absolute or cumulative pollen curves, our proof-of-concept study is the first to compare these 2 data representations and show that both provide relevant information to physicians caring for pollen-allergic patients. Furthermore, the study was performed based on pollen data for 1 year exclusively. However, we believe that the conclusions reached by our study are relatively independent of the year of data collection.

Altogether, our study shows that in southern European countries, the graphical representation of pollen data based on cumulative pollen concentrations is efficient, informative, and perceived as useful in the diagnostic work-up of pollen-allergic patients. Our approach can act at the basis for future investigations, for example, on the role of individual thresholds of absolute and cumulative pollen concentrations eliciting symptoms in most sensitized patients.

Acknowledgments

We thank Ms. Theresa Lipp for revising the English language in the manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by a grant from Euroimmun (code 118583).

Conflicts of Interest

P.M. Matricardi. Funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG; grant number MA 4740/2-1). Consultancy work for Hycor Biomedical, Euroimmun, Novartis. Research funding from the Hycor Biomedical, Euroimmun and reagents for research from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Speaker's fees from Euroimmun, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Stallergenes-Greer, and HAL Allergy.

L. Delgado. Personal fees from Laboratórios Vitória, SA outside the submitted work.

N. Papadopoulos. Personal fees from Novartis, Nutricia, HAL, Menarini/Faes Farma, Sanofi, MYLAN/MEDA, Biomay, Astra Zeneca, GSK, MSD, ASIT Biotech, and Boehringer Ingelheim. Grants from Gerolymatos International SA, Capricare, outside the submitted work.

S. Tripodi is a cofounder of TPS Production srl.

J.A. Fonseca. Personal fees from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Menarini, Novartis, and Teva. Grants from Mundipharma. Research contracts/licensing fees from ALK, AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, and Mundipharma, outside the submitted work. Cofounder of a company developing mHealth technologies.

J. Suárez-Pérez. Personal fees from Laboratorios LETI, outside the submitted work.

The remaining authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. D'Amato G, Liccardi G. Pollen-related allergy in the European Mediterranean area. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 1994;24:210-9.
2. D'Amato G, Lobefalo G. Allergenic pollens in the southern Mediterranean area. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 1989;83:116-22.
3. Barnes CS. Impact of Climate Change on Pollen and Respiratory Disease. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*. 2018;18:59.
4. Camacho IC. Airborne pollen in Funchal city, (Madeira Island, Portugal) – First pollinic calendar and allergic risk assessment. *Ann Agric Environ Med*. 2015;22:608-13.
5. Katotomichelakis M, Nikolaidis C, Makris M, Zhang N, Aggelides X, Constantinidis TC, et al. The clinical significance of the pollen calendar of the Western Thrace/northeast Greece region in allergic rhinitis. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*. 2015;5:1156-63.
6. Bastl K, Kmenta M, Berger M, Berger U. The connection of pollen concentrations and crowd-sourced symptom data: new insights from daily and seasonal symptom load index data from 2013 to 2017 in Vienna. *World Allergy Organ J*. 2018;11:24.
7. Dondi A, Tripodi S, Panetta V, Asero R, Businco AD, Bianchi A, et al. Pollen-induced allergic rhinitis in 1360 Italian children: comorbidities and determinants of severity. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2013;24:742-51.
8. Pathirane, L. Graphical determination of the main pollen season. *Pollen et Spores*. 1975;17:609-10.
9. Jato V, Rodríguez-Rajo FJ, Alcázar P, De Nuntiis P, Galán C, Mandrioli P. May the definition of pollen season influence aerobiological results? *Aerobiologia*. 2006;22:13-25.

10. Ciprandi G, Incorvaia C, Puccinelli P, Soffia S, Scurati S, Frati F. Polysensitization as a challenge for the allergist: the suggestions provided by the Polysensitization Impact on Allergen Immunotherapy studies. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2011;11:715-22.
11. Alvarez-Perea A, Sánchez-García S, Muñoz Cano R, Antolín-Amérigo D, Tsiolochristou O, Stukus D. Impact of "eHealth" in Allergic Diseases and Allergic Patients. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol*. 2019;29:94-102.

■ *Manuscript received September 14, 2020; accepted for publication September 22, 2020.*

Stephanie Dramburg

Department of Pediatric Pulmonology, Immunology and
Critical Care Medicine
Charité Universitätsmedizin - Berlin
Augustenburgerplatz, 1
13353 Berlin, Germany
E-mail: stephanie.dramburg@charite.de

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Legend to Figures

Figure 1. Daily absolute pollen concentrations (A) and cumulative pollen concentrations (B) of Cupressaceae, Fagales, Oleaceae, Poaceae, Urticaceae, *Ambrosia* spp., and *Artemisia* spp. in Rome, 2018. Blue areas indicate linearly interpolated data for missing values.




Figure 2. Number (n) of physicians using the curves of daily absolute or cumulative pollen concentrations to identify (A) the highest peak of daily pollen count and (B) the cumulative pollen counts in 2018, by center.




Table e1 - Characteristics of aerobiological monitoring in six different centers in four countries.*

Centers	Climate		Aerobiologists			Pollen Traps			Missing days	
	mean temp (°C)	annual rainfall (mm)	affiliation	experience (y) [^]	types of pollens detected (n)	pollen trap	altitude (m)	coordinates	n	longest period (n) [#]
Istanbul Turkey	15.6	803	Ankara University	29-8	> 50	Burkard	73	41°01'26.11" N 28°55'02.34" E	0	0
Izmir Turkey	19.6	565	Ege University	13	> 50	Lanzoni	27	38°27'32.9" N 27°0'13'18.6" E	0	0
Marseille France	15.5	515	R.N.S.A.	29	> 75	Lanzoni	28	43°17'12.48" N 05°22'44.76"E	29	7
Messina Italy	18.1	547	ARPACal	5	44	Burkard	85	38°10'8.375" N 15°40'5.25" E	8	4
Rome Italy	16.6	1007	R.I.M.A.-A.I.A.	21	70	Lanzoni	80	41°51'16" N 12°36'19" E	14	10
Valencia Spain	18.2	475	University of Oviedo	21	50	Burkard	50	39°28'44" N 0°21'42" W	4	1

* pollen counts collected from 01.01 to 31.12 2018 (365 days); all readings were done with a continuous stripe, field diameter 0.5mm, magnification 400x

[^] aerobiological and pollen monitoring experience of the correspondent aerobiologist (years)

[§] number of tape-stripes changed per month; [#] number of consecutive missing days

Table e2 - Cumulative and peak pollen concentration (pollen grains/m³) in six Southern European cities during 2018.

Pollen	Valencia		Marseille		Rome		Messina		Istanbul		Izmir	
	peak	cum*	peak	cum*	peak	cum*	peak	cum*	peak	cum*	peak	cum*
Cupressaceae	720	7622	3444	20590	226	4331	134	1723	618	3341	6830	38755
<i>Fagales</i>	42	161	679	3951	531	7880	81	331	12	160	17	158
Oleaceae	174	1657	168	2846	78	721	67	899	25	219	237	7262
Poaceae	53	797	113	1755	115	2147	20	775	12	340	167	8257
Urticaceae	45	1296	121	3419	193	4197	634	21867	23	377	14	1026
<i>Ambrosia</i> spp.	0	0	105	650	1	11	1	4	147	859	0	0
<i>Artemisia</i> spp.	5	68	57	229	2	112	14	97	8	82	4	152

* cumulative pollen concentration (pollen grains/m³) from 1st January to 31st December, 2018.

Table e3. - Characteristics of the doctors participating in the survey, by center.

	Rome n=26		Ankara n=25		Tirana n=34		Lisbon n=27		all centers n=112	
Male gender (n;%)	9	34,6	6	24,0	2	5,9	5	18,5	22	20,5
Age (years) (median, IQR)	47	28-74	33	26-48	36	28-71	32	27-64	34	26-74
with specialization (n;%)	17	65,4	24	96,0	21	61,8	15	55,6	77	68,8
allergology	14	53,8	15	60,0	21	61,8	15	55,6	65	58,0
others	17	65,4	20	80,0	8	23,5	2	7,4	47	42,0
work environment (n;%)										
hospital	18	69,2	25	100,0	22	64,7	24	88,9	89	79,5
private practice	8	30,8	0	0,0	7	20,6	10	37,0	25	22,3
other	4	15,4	0	0,0	8	23,5	2	7,4	14	12,5
clinical experience (years) (n;%)										
< 6	10	38,5	8	32,0	13	38,2	9	33,3	40	35,7
6-10	4	15,4	8	32,0	5	14,7	7	25,9	24	21,4
≥ 11	11	42,3	9	36,0	16	47,1	10	37,0	46	41,1
use of pollen calendar* (n;%)	16	61,5	12	48,0	7	20,6	19	70,4	54	48,2
use of pollen curves* (n;%)	9	34,6	9	36,0	6	17,6	7	25,9	31	27,7

* ever used pollen calendars or pollen curves for allergic rhinitis diagnosis

Table e4. Quality of the participants' answers and perceived clinical relevance (daily absolute vs cumulative curves)

	Rome		Ankara		Tirana		Lisbon		all	
	n=26		n=25		n=34		n=27		centers	
appropriate interpretation (n;%)										
daily absolute curves	20	76.9	23	92.0	32	94.1	19	70.4	94	83.9
cumulative curves	23	88.5	16	64.0	31	91.2	20	74.1	90	80.4
both	19	73.1	16	64.0	29	85.3	16	59.3	80	71.4
perceived usefulness for clinical decisions (n;%)										
daily absolute curves	4	15.4	3	12.0	12	35.3	9	33.3	28	25.0
cumulative curves	1	3.8	1	4.0	2	5.9	0	0.0	4	3.6
both	21	80.8	21	84.0	20	58.8	18	66.7	80	71.4