

Proposal of 0.5 mg of protein/100 g of processed food as threshold for voluntary declaration of food allergen traces in processed food—A first step in an initiative to better inform patients and avoid fatal allergic reactions: A GA²LEN position paper

Torsten Zuberbier , Tamara Dörr, Werner Aberer, Montserrat Alvaro, Elizabeth Angier, Stefania Arasi, Hasan Arshad, Barbara Ballmer-Weber, Joan Bartra, Lisa Beck, Philippe Bégin ... [See all authors](#) 

First published: 06 November 2021

<https://doi-org.bibliosan.clas.cineca.it/10.1111/all.15167>

Funding information:

The study was funded by GA² LEN. This review is registered in PROSPERO as CRD42018110170

Abstract

Background

Food anaphylaxis is commonly elicited by unintentional ingestion of foods containing the allergen above the tolerance threshold level of the individual. While labeling the 14 main allergens used as ingredients in food products is mandatory in the EU, there is no legal definition of declaring potential contaminants. Precautionary allergen labeling such as “may contain traces of” is often used. However, this is unsatisfactory for consumers as they get no information if the contamination is below their personal threshold. In discussions with the food industry and technologists, it was suggested to use a voluntary declaration indicating that all declared contaminants are below a threshold of 0.5 mg protein per 100 g of food. This concentration is known to be below the threshold of most patients, and it can be technically guaranteed in most food production. However, it was also important to assess that in case of accidental ingestion of contaminants below this threshold by highly allergic patients, no fatal anaphylactic reaction could occur. Therefore, we performed a systematic review to assess whether a fatal reaction to 5mg of protein or less has been reported, assuming that a maximum portion size of 1kg of a processed food exceeds any meal and thus gives a sufficient safety margin.

Methods

MEDLINE and EMBASE were searched until 24 January 2021 for provocation studies and case reports in which one of the 14 major food allergens was reported to elicit fatal or life-threatening anaphylactic reactions and assessed if these occurred below the

ingestion of 5mg of protein. A Delphi process was performed to obtain an expert consensus on the results.

Results

In the 210 studies included, in our search, no reports of fatal anaphylactic reactions reported below 5 mg protein ingested were identified. However, in provocation studies and case reports, severe reactions below 5 mg were reported for the following allergens: eggs, fish, lupin, milk, nuts, peanuts, soy, and sesame seeds.

Conclusion

Based on the literature studied for this review, it can be stated that cross-contamination of the 14 major food allergens below 0.5 mg/100 g is likely not to endanger most food allergic patients when a standard portion of food is consumed. We propose to use the statement “this product contains the named allergens in the list of ingredients, it may contain traces of other contaminations (to be named, e.g. nut) at concentrations less than 0.5 mg per 100 g of this product” for a voluntary declaration on processed food packages. This level of avoidance of cross-contaminations can be achieved technically for most processed foods, and the statement would be a clear and helpful message to the consumers. However, it is clearly acknowledged that a voluntary declaration is only a first step to a legally binding solution. For this, further research on threshold levels is encouraged.

1 INTRODUCTION

Allergic reactions to foods are a major health problem that has increased in prevalence in recent years and affects 5%–10% of the population in industrialized countries.¹ In children and adolescents, food allergy is common and considerably impacts the quality of life in these patients, as well as their families and caretakers.² In this age group, food allergens are also most commonly the cause of anaphylaxis, the most severe form of an allergic reaction. Although fatalities are rare, these reactions to food allergens are potentially life-threatening. Anaphylaxis elicited by food allergens is most commonly reported after unintentional ingestion of foods containing the relevant allergen.

While labeling food products with the 14 main allergens is mandatory in the EU, precautionary allergen labeling such as “may contain,” “may contain traces of” or “manufactured in a setting where ‘allergen’ is processed” is voluntarily placed by food manufacturers. The inconsistent food labeling approaches are met with the uncertainty of consumers, among whose knowledge about the regulations and meaning of this labeling is largely missing.³ It also has implications for the manufacturers since consumers with a history of severe allergic reactions are less likely to buy food products with the current precautionary allergen label even though other products without precautionary labeling

may contain the allergen in the same quantities and the same likelihood as the labeled product.³

The implementation of concentrations over which food allergen traces should be declared on the package would therefore be helpful for consumers as well as for manufacturers. The major problem is that the threshold for elicitation of allergic reactions against foods is different in different individuals. The vast majority of food allergic patients have no problems with contaminants and traces of the relevant allergen. For example, most pollen allergic patients with oral food allergy syndrome often only react to the pure cross-reacting food allergens if they are present in amounts above 1000 mg. On the other hand, there are some severely affected food allergy sufferers, especially to peanuts with thresholds below 1 mg. In addition, a true no observed adverse events level (NOAEL), as in cosmetic allergy, is not known for food allergens. In summary, this situation is unsatisfactory: overcautious reporting of potential contamination of allergens creates unnecessary fears in most food allergy sufferers and is not helpful. On the other hand, underreporting of potential contamination is endangering those severely affected by food allergies reacting to minimal amounts.

As this problem is internationally recognized, some national authorities have implemented threshold values over which food allergens have to be labeled on the package. Japanese authorities have decided on a threshold value based on the precision of ELISA test kits.⁴ As precision parameters of medical measurement equipment are subject to change, jeopardizing the scientific value of this rule, this approach is met with serious concerns. Switzerland obligates all food producers to list involuntary cross-contaminations above 1 g allergen per 1 kg food product as “may contain traces of ...”.⁵ The German authorities indicate that the threshold depends on the respective allergen.⁶ A similar approach is pursued in Australia and New Zealand, where there are no regulations regarding the mandatory declaration of unintentionally present allergens.⁷ The VITAL[®] (Voluntary Incidental Trace Allergen Labelling) program is a joint venture of Australia's leading food manufacturers and the Australian Food and Grocery Council (AFGC). It provides a standardized approach for assessment and declaration of food allergen contamination, recommending thresholds based on scientific data that has been processed in a stacked model averaging program using a range of statistical calculation models.⁸ However, this leads to modifying the thresholds for each allergen with every revision of the program. The aim is to protect the “vast majority of people with food allergy” and they state that below the thresholds, only 1% of allergic patients may develop an allergic reaction, this reaction however may be severe.^{9, 10} The data processed must adhere to high-quality standards and to include only double-blind, placebo-controlled, food challenge studies.¹⁰⁻¹² While this is a very rigorous approach, some issues may cause bias. One is that especially severe allergic reactions are comparatively rare and are often published as case reports or case series only. As this form of study is considered low-quality evidence in medical science, such are not included in the data evaluated by VITAL. Therefore, it is likely that the most severe allergic

reactions described in the literature are not included. Also, fatal reactions are not likely to happen while under clinical observation while unintentional ingestion of food allergen out of hospital may be more likely to lead to death and may be reported only in case reports. In this systematic review, an alternative solution for this dilemma is assessed.

Of course, it is acknowledged that some food allergy sufferers who have not undergone placebo-controlled tests may not know their thresholds but still may have a feeling for it based on previous experiences. Therefore, it would be beneficial to know that the allergens included in the food product do not exceed a certain level. However, this food contamination level, or concentration, needs to be low enough to ensure that no life-threatening or fatal reactions have been observed at this level, but also one which can be easily measured with existing technologies in the food industry without increasing the cost of food production. Therefore, in this systematic review, we assess whether a level of 0.5 mg protein/100 g of food of allergenic protein would be less than the lowest published observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) for a fatal reaction. As portion sizes vary, a maximum portion size of 1 kg of processed food was assumed to exceed any meal and thus giving a sufficient safety margin. Therefore, we used 5 mg protein as a threshold in this investigation.

2 METHODS

This systematic review was conducted according to the PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews and meta-analyses.¹³ The review was registered on PROSPERO as CRD42018110170.

To find an acceptable threshold levels of allergen contamination in processed food that would benefit food allergy sufferers and would be feasible for the food manufacturers, the first talk was conducted on this topic at the BLL meeting of the allergens specialists committee that took place on 8 July 2019 in Berlin, Germany. The conference included representatives of the German food industry, including food technicians and food manufacturers. The main question was which level of food allergen contamination in processed food could be detected analytically and reproducibly in quality management of food production without increasing the price of the food? The level of 5 mg protein was discussed as it is a typical challenge dose in provocation studies. It was also discussed if voluntary labeling would be an option for food production companies. The discussion resulted in the proposal to use the concentration of 0.5 mg of protein per 100 g food as a threshold for voluntary declaration of allergen traces in processed food. In this systematic review, it was deemed mandatory that even if allergy sufferers would not know their personal threshold, that at this level, fatal reactions would have never been observed.

2.1 Study eligibility

This systematic review includes provocation studies and case reports describing life-threatening anaphylactic reactions to one of the 14 main allergens in food products were reported. Main food allergens were defined in accordance with the European Union's Food

Information Regulation No. 1169/2011: crustaceans, cereals containing gluten, eggs, fish, peanuts, soybean, milk, nuts (namely: almond, hazelnuts, walnuts, cashews, pecan nuts, Brazil nuts, pistachio nuts, macadamia, or Queensland nuts), celery, mustard, sesame seeds, sulfur dioxide and sulfites (sensu stricto and sulfites are not an allergen but known to induce intolerance reactions), lupin, and molluscs (Table 1). Anaphylactic reactions were considered life-threatening in case of a fatal outcome, potentially fatal outcome without intervention (ie, administration of epinephrine, severe dyspnea/asthma, loss of consciousness), positive shock index, and/or hypotension, and/or heart failure. Included studies and case reports had to give information on the approximate amount of ingested food, for example, “one bite.” Publications had to be written in English. Animal studies were excluded.

TABLE 1. Main food allergens according to the European Union's Food Information Regulation No. 1169/2011

Allergen name	Including	Amount of protein per 100 g of food.
Celery		Celery root 2 g/100 g
Cereals containing gluten	Wheat (such as spelt and khorasan wheat), rye, barley, oats or their hybridized strains, and products thereof	Wheat 12 g/100 g
		Rye 9 g/100 g
		Barley 10 g/100 g
		Oats 12 g/100 g
Crustaceans		Shrimp 19 g/100 g
Eggs		13 g/100 g
Fish		Between 17-20 g/100 g
Lupin		40 g/100 g
Milk		Cow's milk 3 g/100 g
Molluscs		Mussel 11 g/100 g
		Cuttlefish 16 g/100 g
Mustard		6 g/100 g
Nuts	Almonds, hazelnuts, walnuts, cashews, pecan nuts, Brazil nuts, pistachio nuts, macadamia or Queensland nuts, and products	Almond 19 g/100 g
		Brazil nut 14 g/100 g

There is also an abundance of scientific literature that is written in Spanish, French, German, and Japanese language for which quoted reviews in English exist.

2.2 Search strategy and literature screening

MEDLINE and EMBASE electronic databases were searched via Ovid from their inception until January 2021. The exact search terms are presented in Appendix 1. Titles and abstracts of the retrieved references were screened by a team of three reviewers, duplicates were eliminated, and potentially relevant references were identified. A full-text review of the remaining references was performed. Studies in which relevance was unclear were discussed by the team of reviewers. In addition, the bibliographies of included studies and case reports revealed by the search strategy were searched for eligible articles missed by the search strategy.

2.3 Data extraction and analysis

Data regarding the type of ingested food, the approximately ingested amount of food, and the type of life-threatening anaphylactic reaction were extracted onto a predefined datasheet by the three reviewers. In addition, we searched and noted the usual concentration of allergenic protein for every food product used in the included provocation studies or described in the included case studies and calculated the amount of ingested allergenic protein. This process was verified by a registered dietitian. The studies were analyzed regarding the occurrence of life-threatening reactions and the reported amount of food protein provoking the reaction. Finally, the data were presented in a table and summarized narratively.

2.4 Inclusion of authors and discussion with stakeholders

An open call for participation was made within the GA²LEN network, which includes EAACI and EFA as members. In addition, further patient organizations and other experts in the field of allergology and immunology were actively approached. Some non-GA²LEN members accepted the invitation. Participation was denied either due to a lack of time or stating a conflict of interest. A Delphi process was performed which included all participants. A consensus was obtained after two rounds of expert panel evaluations that took place on 10 October 2020 and 10 June 2021. The expert panel consisted of German patient organizations, the members of the CODEX alimentarius working group, food industry legal advisors, and food technologists. Additional data provided by the panel members were evaluated and included if eligibility of the study was given.

2.5 Risk of bias

The approach that was used in this systematic review has a high level of evidence to suggest that at the concentration of 0.5 mg/100 g limited to no food fatal reactions will occur; however, there is a lower level of evidence regarding the no observed level threshold in severely affected allergy sufferers. This is based on the search string which will not find these provocation tests in which no life-threatening symptoms have occurred.

3 RESULTS

The search in MEDLINE and EMBASE via Ovid yielded 3289 references, of which we included 90 provocation studies and 88 case studies. Figure 1 gives the PRISMA flowchart that presents an overview of the search results and study selection.




FIGURE 1

[Open in figure viewer](#) | [PowerPoint](#)

Search results and selection of studies

We analyzed double-blind, placebo-controlled provocation tests and case report different minimal threshold levels for different allergens. Some of the other 14 allergens, which must be declared in the European Union, such as mustard and molluscs, have not been reported as being the trigger of a severe allergic reactions at very low levels. Table 2 summarizes the findings from all studies included in this analysis that reported severe allergic reactions after ingestion of less than 5 mg allergen protein or where the ingested amount was unclear. In addition, a summary with all provocation studies and case reports included in this analysis is found in the Tables [S1](#) and [S2](#).

TABLE 2. Summary of data from provocation studies and case reports in which ingestion of

less than 5 mg of allergen protein elicited a severe allergic reaction

Allergen	Food product	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	No. of participants (no. experiencing a severe reaction) ^a	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Cereals	No report found for reactions at or below 5 mg					
Celery	No report found for reactions at or below 5 mg					
Crustacean	No report found for reactions at or below 5 mg					
Egg	Mortadella	abdominal pain, throat itching, vomit, dyspnea	1(1)	Mortadella 25 mg	0.0503	Tripodi et al. 2009 ¹⁴
Fish	Fish	Asthma or mild anaphylaxis	1(1)	Fish 8 mg	1.36	Lefevre et al. 2016 ¹⁵
Lupin	Short crust pastry containing lupin flour as minor	asthma	2(1)	Small amount	Not determinable	Bansal et al. 2014 ¹⁶

Note

Fatal reactions were not reported at all at this level.

^a Total number of participants in the study mentioned, while number in brackets give the number of participants experiencing the reaction described. If no number is given, only the total number of participants is listed.

In a cohort of food allergic children used by Moneret-Vautrin et al.²³ for the evaluation of personalized care projects, 2 asthmatic reactions resulting from peanut protein amount lower than 5 mg have been described. However, the authors state in their paper that no fatal reactions were observed. One anaphylactic shock was observed in this study which occurred after ingestion of 965 mg peanut, which amounts to more than 240 mg protein.

Ebrahimi et al.²⁹ report respiratory distress after 3 drops of milk-based formula in one study subject who needed to be treated with epinephrine. They used BioMeal, Fassbel, Belgium, a

formula which is no longer available. According to the EU regulations, infant formula may contain 1.08–3.6 g protein/100 ml³⁰ provided correct preparation. The volume of a “drop” depends on the viscosity of the liquid, however, in pharmacy and medicine, a drop is generally defined as being 0.05 mL. The amount ingested may therefore range between 1.6 mg and 5.4 mg, although given that the formula is no longer on the market, the protein content cannot reliably be verified. We therefore do not know the amount of milk protein which resulted in the described reaction. The same holds true for the reaction described by Hudes et al²⁸ which reported a “systemic reaction” to 0.01 ml of soy milk. However, the amount of soy protein differs widely between the different brands of soy milk, so the exact amount ingested by the patient is not determinable. Furthermore, the authors do not describe the systemic reaction in detail and any life-threatening potential cannot be determined.

Tripodi et al¹⁴ report a case of a 11-year-old with egg allergy developing dyspnea after ingestion of a mortadella sandwich. They analyzed the mortadella and found the reactive amount being 0.45 mg hen's egg, which would mean a protein content of 0.05 mg. They did, however, not analyze the other components of the sandwich so there is no way to know if this is the real threshold dose.

Dua et al. describe one patient who experienced “throat closure” after ingestion of 5 sesame seeds. We weighed different sesame seeds on a high precision scale and found an average of 15 mg per 5 seeds, and could determine that 5 seeds contain approximately 3.15 mg sesame protein. However, the patient was not treated with epinephrine but with oral antihistamines and intravenous hydrocortisone only,²⁷ excluding the likelihood that treating physicians regarded it as a truly life-threatening situation.

Both, Morisset et al.²⁴ and Moneret-Vautrin et al.²⁵ described potentially life-threatening reactions after the ingestion of sesame or peanut oil. As the protein content of oil varies considerably and protein amounts have not been measured for the oils used, it is not possible to determine an amount.

Hourihane et al.,³¹ Leung et al.,³² and Lefevre et al.¹⁵ list reactions to allergenic amounts <5 mg, but do not describe them further. Therefore, those reactions cannot be evaluated further to determine their life-threatening potential, which is acknowledged to be a problem. The same holds true for the following reports where no amounts are stated, with one of them being however a clear outlier. Robertson et al.²⁶ report on a criminal case where a wife spread peanut dust on her husband's meals. It can be expected that the amount was more than 5 mg protein. Poza-Guedes,¹⁷ Paiva,¹⁸ and Lisann¹⁹ report potentially life-threatening reactions to accidental ingestion of trace amounts of cow's milk. As the amounts are not specified, it is not possible to determine the allergenic threshold. Laliotou²⁰ and Noh²² also state “trace amounts” as triggering an anaphylactic reaction to nut. Again, the missing quantification does create a problem and it is possible that a whole nut has been ingested.

The same holds true for the report of Bansal et al.¹⁶ where a “small amount” of lupin flour in short crust pastry triggered a reaction.

Levin et al.³³ described the case of a 9-month-old child reacting with episodes of asthma, vomiting, and urticaria after ingestion of a soy formula which was contaminated with 32.4 mg milk protein per liter. Here, it is unclear how much of the formula was administered but if it were the typical amount of one bottle containing 150–200 ml, this would be most likely more than 5mg, and furthermore, it is unclear if the reactions were life-threatening.

Yunginger et al.³⁴ reported 7 fatal cases, 6 of whom had eaten at least “one bite” but mostly one cookie or one piece of cake, without further specification of the amount of the relevant allergen. In one case of a fish allergic patient, French fries had been consumed, which other guests reported tasted of fish. Unfortunately, there is no way to estimate in this case, as it is unclear if the reaction was due to the sauce offered with the fries.

Azmi et al.³⁵ describe two cases of allergic reactions to vegan ice cream containing lupin flour, one of them potentially life-threatening. The amount of lupin flour is however not stated and is likely more than 5 mg protein since vegan ice cream usually has lupin flour as the main ingredient.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Interpretation of results

Remarkably, none of the case reports or provocation tests in a clinical setting reported a LOAEL, the lowest ingested dose at which there was an observed adverse effect, less than the evaluated threshold of 0.5 mg protein/100 g of food, to cause a life-threatening or even fatal reaction. The case reports have revealed 8 cases of fatal food allergy reactions, however, all at higher levels than 0.5mg/100 g of food. Looking at the list of the 14 different allergens which need to be declared (celery, cereals containing gluten (such as barley and oats), crustaceans (such as prawns, crabs, and lobsters), eggs, fish, lupin, milk, molluscs (such as mussels and oysters), mustard, peanuts, sesame, soybeans, sulfur dioxide, and sulfites), the following statements can be made:

No severe reactions to trace amounts of molluscs or mustard have been reported.

Sulfite is added as an allergen in the list; however, sulfite is in reality a cause for pseudoallergic reactions. Life-threatening or fatal reactions against sulfites were never reported at all. Still, it is important to also look at sulfite as severe asthmatic reactions have been described in a single report with a threshold of 50 mg.

No severe reactions have ever been reported to low amounts of any other allergen that is not listed in the 14 which have to be declared according to the EU regulations.

No fatal reactions have ever been reported with levels clearly documented below 5mg of

protein for any allergen.

In a small subset of patients allergic but not life-threatening reactions can occur at levels below 5mg of protein.

The most important finding of our search is that no fatal allergic reactions to food were reported below an estimated amount of 5 mg protein.

Any interpretation of the results regarding the reporting bias should differentiate case reports of accidental reactions and provocation tests. They differ regarding the accuracy of determining the amount of allergen ingested and in classifying the reaction as “life-threatening.” While case reports are very valuable, as they usually represent more accurately everyday life situations in which allergic reactions to food occur, the determination of the exact amount ingested allergen is difficult and additional cofactors like exercise, alcohol, or sleep deprivation may have influenced the manifestation or outcome of the reaction.³⁶ Furthermore, case reports depend partly on chance because the author has to decide if it was worth publishing. An underreporting is therefore possible but less likely for fatal cases.

For the second uncertainty, the amount of food ingested, there is a potential bias of patients tending to mention smaller amounts than truly eaten. In daily practice, a phenomenon often observed is that patients feel “guilty” and try to explain with statements such as “I hardly took a bite.” Still, as stated in the methods section, the overestimation of allergen amounts was chosen generously in case reports to avoid false low assumptions leading to inappropriate reassurances. Similarly, it should be mentioned that for any ingested nut or seed that was reported in the literature, in this review, we considered the amount of the nut or seed ingested which is definitely greater or equal to the amount digested, therefore once again having potentially a slight overestimation of the total allergen protein amount, this provides a greater safety margin.

Regarding classification accuracy, the courses of actions triggering the label “life-threatening” may be more reliable in the out-of-clinic setting. Particularly when looking at the injection of epinephrine, which in our methodology categorized the case as potentially fatal, there may be great differences between case reports and provocation studies. Many studies have shown that the psychological barrier of injecting adrenaline is very high in food allergic patients and their caretakers,³⁷⁻³⁹ resulting in a delay or an omission of intramuscular epinephrine administration.

On the other hand, handling epinephrine is routine in the clinical setting. Since those undergoing a provocation test are monitored closely, the first signs of an anaphylactic reaction will generally be noticed earlier and trigger counteractive measures, which will influence the natural course and disguise the severity of the allergic response. For example, epinephrine may be administered in cases where no life-threatening reaction would develop.

Despite the potential over-estimation in the severity of reactions in our study, categorizing all events in which epinephrine was administered as “life-threatening” increases safety, albeit at the expense of accuracy.

In accordance with the findings in this review, a cross-sectional study of food allergy prevalence in the population of Berlin by Zuberbier et al. revealed that in all open challenge tests, no adverse reaction occurred at the level of 5mg of protein.⁴⁰

However, a study by Ballmer-Weber et al., not included in the review as it did not meet all eligibility criteria, found estimated doses eliciting reactions in 10% of the study population (ED10), as low as 1.6 to 10.1 mg of protein for hazelnut, peanut, and celery.⁴¹ It should be noted that one limitation of this review is the defined search criteria that may have excluded a few other publications that may contain further data regarding allergen tolerance thresholds.

This systematic review revealed that 0.5 mg/100 g as a threshold value for traces of allergens in processed food is generally a safe level for avoiding any allergic reaction to at least 6 of the 14 major allergens, even in the unlikely maximum portion size of 1 kg. Even for those allergens, a 0.5 mg/100 g threshold is highly likely to be a safe level below which fatal allergic reactions will not occur. Depending on the portion size, this level is also beneficial for the rare severely affected patients. For example, if a patient knows their personal threshold level is 2 mg they can still safely eat a portion of 100 g. However, the vast majority of all food allergic patients have a much higher threshold level for the elicitation of reactions. Very few individuals will experience symptoms below this level. Our finding of the level of 0.5 mg/100 g of food, 100 g of food being a common portion size, is in accordance with the FAO-WHO expert group recommendations on allergen thresholds, published on 20 August 2021.¹²⁰

Based on these results, 5 mg/100 g of food is a concentration that can be used in the food industry as the safety level for most food allergy sufferers. The advantage of 5 mg/100 g of food is that it can be readily detectable for all 14 food allergens with the currently existing technology. In addition, avoiding contamination at this level should be technically feasible for the food industry as the feasibility has been discussed at three different meetings with food technologists and analytical laboratories. Rare exceptions may occur if machinery is difficult to clean. For example, pieces of nut in chocolate may be a problem, as the allergen is not evenly distributed in the food matrix.

There has also been a lot of discussion with different patient organizations which would prefer to have legally binding legislation regarding the declaration of food allergen contaminants as it remains an unmet need. However, we view the voluntary declaration as a positive direction that would benefit food allergy sufferers and their families.

Such a declaration would not only help all food allergic patients who have a known threshold above 5 mg, but it would be also helpful to the family of those patients who have

anaphylaxis against allergens at levels of <1 mg, to purchase processed foods for the household, as they would be informed that the food allergic family member would not be endangered if products with possibly such low concentrations of contaminants would be used within the household. The current situation is that often the whole family of severely food allergic patients is afraid to buy any processed food at all.

In addition, physicians, dietitians, and nutritionists could better advise patients about their risk level in daily practice. This of course is mandatory for the exceedingly rare patients described in the literature who react below 5 mg protein. They should be counseled about which processed food, in general, they should avoid.

We propose as a voluntary labeling for the European Union that no traces of the 14 main food allergens in a given processed food are above 0.5 mg/100 g, together with a warning that traces below this level can occur but are likely not harmful. This message can improve the situation where manufacturers often state on the packages that traces can be contained without stating the amount of the trace, that the product has been processed in a facility which also processed, for example, peanut products. Both kinds of information are more for the sake of the producer to keep away from liability issues than for the true benefit of the consuming patient who wants to know the exact levels. The 0.5 mg/100 g level, as a clear statement on packages, would cover the vast majority of food allergic patients.

Finally, this proposal has been discussed with the food industry authorities and a statement that it is regarded as positive has been received, found in Appendix [2](#).

5 LIMITATIONS

There are limitations that should be noted in the interpretation of the present work. First, due to the defined literature search query, there may be some available literature that was not identified in this review, and therefore, the data were not taken into consideration. An example would be publications on immunotherapy trials where low doses of allergen caused reactions, but they were not reported as life-threatening or fatal. Due to the large volume of hits obtained from the first round of literature screening, the publications were screened based on their title and abstract; therefore, it is possible that some data included only in the text were overseen. Also, large number of studies which were found by our search strategy did not report direct relation of the amount of allergen ingested to the observed reaction, therefore, a lot of data addressing food anaphylaxis could not be included in our analysis. It should also be taken into account that we relate to the amount of allergenic protein ingested. If the information was not reported by the investigators, it was calculated based on the usual protein content of the food product used in the provocation test or reported in the case report. Despite the careful evaluation and supervision of a professional dietitian, it cannot be ruled out that the amounts given differ from actual amount of protein ingested. It should also be mentioned that the screening of the data was done by the reviewers separately, data of uncertain relevance however was discussed by all

three reviewers. Lastly, the summary of case reports may give the impression that in most cases the dose amount is unknown, suggesting that the information is incomplete and insufficient. As case reports are a valuable data source of real-life situations, it is an unmet need to standardize the investigation and tracking of fatality in food allergy.

6 CONCLUSIONS

No fatal reactions have been reported below 5 mg of protein exposure in food allergic patients. The individual eliciting threshold differs considerably between patients, but the vast majority of patients do not react at levels below 5 mg of protein. For these patients, it would be helpful to know that contamination with allergens in processed food does not exceed this level. Looking at a further safety margin, it is therefore proposed that 5mg/kg of contaminating allergen in processed food is not exceeded acknowledging that the usual portion size is far lower than 1 kg.

The labeling could read as follows: “this product contains the named allergens in the list of ingredients, it may contain traces of other contaminants (to be named, e.g. nut) at concentrations less than 0.5 mg per 100g of this product” for a voluntary declaration on processed food packages.

We further see this only as a first step as legally binding thresholds would be preferred. The authors however feel that realistically it would take a long time before this will be implemented on a global scale, and in the meantime, the more precise the labeling is the better.

Furthermore, we conclude that also this review is only a first step in research concentrating on a threshold to avoid fatal reactions, more research is needed to identify thresholds for milder symptoms of food allergy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the methodologist Alexander Nast for the important advice on the methodology of this paper. We also thank Graham Roberts for his help in revision of the manuscript and valuable feedback. We further thank many others especially members of patient organizations for their critical remarks, especially for pointing out that legally binding thresholds would be preferred. The authors certainly share this view. Open access funding enabled and organized by ProjektDEAL.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Filename	Description
all15167-sup-0001-Supinfo.docx Word document, 41.1 KB	Supplementary Material

Please note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing content) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

REFERENCES



References 42–119 have been cited in [Supporting Information](#) .

1 Pepper AN, Assa'ad A, Blaiss M, et al. Consensus report from the Food Allergy Research & Education (FARE) 2019 oral immunotherapy for food allergy summit. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2020; **146**(2): 244- 249.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

2 Warren CM, Otto AK, Walkner MM, Gupta RS. Quality of life among food allergic patients and their caregivers. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*. 2016; **16**(5): 38.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

3 Marchisotto MJ, , Harada L, Kamdar O, et al. Food allergen labeling and purchasing habits in the United States and Canada. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*. 2017; **5**(2): 345- 351.e2.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

4 Shoji M, Adachi R, Akiyama H. Japanese food allergen labeling regulation: an update. *J AOAC Int*. 2018; **101**(1): 8- 13.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

5 Beer M. Informationsschreiben Nr. 161: Allergenkennzeichnung von unbeabsichtigten Vermischungen (Art. 8 Abs. 3-5 der Verordnung über die Kennzeichnung und Anpreisung von Lebensmitteln, LKV) Stand: 18.04.2011, ersetzt die Version vom 17.12.2010, B.f.G. BAG, Editor. 2011, Bern.

[Google Scholar](#)

6 Richter K, Kramarz S, Niemann B, et al. Schwellenwerte zur Allergenkennzeichnung von Lebensmitteln, in Allergien: Bessere Information, höhere Lebensqualität, B.f. Risikobewertung, Editor. 2009, Bundesinstitut für Risikobewertung: Berlin.

[Google Scholar](#)

7 Allergen Bureau VITAL® best practice labelling guide For Australia and New Zealand. 2016.

[Google Scholar](#)

8 Röder M, Weber W. VITAL – (“Voluntary Incidental Trace Allergen Labelling”). 2020 [cited 2020 8th July 2020]; Available from:

<https://www.produktqualitaet.com/de/inspektionen/allergenmanagement/risikobewertung-vital.html>

[Google Scholar](#)

9 Bf R. “VITAL 3.0”: Neue und aktualisierte Vorschläge für Referenzdosen von Lebensmittelallergenen - Stellungnahme Nr. 015/2020 des BfR vom 9. März 2020. In: Risikobewertung Bf, editor., 2020.

[Google Scholar](#)

10 Remington BC, Westerhout J, Meima MY, et al. Updated population minimal eliciting dose distributions for use in risk assessment of 14 priority food allergens. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2020; **139**: 111259.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

11 Allen KJ, Remington BC, Baumert JL, et al. Allergen reference doses for precautionary labeling (VITAL 2.0): clinical implications. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2014; **133**(1): 156- 164.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

12 Allergen Bureau Summary of the 2019 VITAL Scientific Expert Panel Recommendations, A. Bureau, Editor. 2019.

[Google Scholar](#)

13 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Medicine*. 2009; **6**(7):e1000097.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

14 Tripodi S, et al. An anaphylactic shock in a 11-year-old girl by hidden hens' egg in mortadella (a large Italian sausage). *Allergy*. 2009; **90**: 566.

[Google Scholar](#)

15 Lefevre S, Kanny G. Oral immunotherapy and omalizumab for food allergy. *Allergy*. 2016; **71**(suppl 102): 269.

[Google Scholar](#)

16 Bansal AS, Sanghvi MM, Bansal RA, Hayman GR. Variably severe systemic allergic reactions after consuming foods with unlabelled lupin flour: a case series. *J Med Case Rep*. 2014; **55** (no pagination)(55). 1– 4.

[Google Scholar](#)

17 Poza-Guedes P, González-Pérez R, Sánchez-Machín I, Matheu V. Long-term follow up in cow's milk anaphylaxis after successful rush oral immunotherapy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2014; **1**: AB106.

[Crossref](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

18 Paiva M, et al. Successful oral rush desensitisation in two children with severe cow's milk allergy. *Allergy*. 2009; **90**: 487.

[Google Scholar](#)

19 Lisann L, Song Y, Wang J, Ehrlich P, Maitland A, Li X-M. Successful prevention of extremely frequent and severe food anaphylaxis in three children by combined traditional Chinese medicine therapy. *Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol*. 2014; **66** (no pagination)(66). 1– 6.

[Google Scholar](#)

20 Laliotou NN, et al. Anaphylaxis with the manifestation of convulsions. *Allergy*. 2018; **73**(suppl 105): 357.

[Google Scholar](#)

21 Sindher SB, DaVeiga SP. Acute anaphylaxis following fresh food skin prick testing with pine nuts. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2015; **1**: A6.

[Google Scholar](#)

22 Noh G, Lee SS. A pilot study of interferon-gamma-induced specific oral tolerance induction (ISOTI) for immunoglobulin E-mediated anaphylactic food allergy. *J Interferon Cytokine Res*. 2009; **29**(10): 667- 675.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

23 Moneret-Vautrin DA, et al. Food anaphylaxis in schools: evaluation of the management plan and the efficiency of the emergency kit. *Allergy*. 2001; **56**(11): 1071- 1076.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

24 Morisset M, Moneret-Vautrin DA, Kanny G, et al. Thresholds of clinical reactivity to milk, egg, peanut and sesame in immunoglobulin E-dependent allergies: evaluation by double-blind or single-blind placebo-controlled oral challenges. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2003; **33**(8): 1046- 1051.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

25 Moneret-Vautrin DA, Rance F, Kanny G, et al. Food allergy to peanuts in France—evaluation of 142 observations. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 1998; **28**(9): 1113- 1119.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

26 Robertson K, Kim H. Intentional poisoning with peanut as a cause of recurrent anaphylaxis. *Allergy, Asthma and Clinical Immunology*. Conference: Canadian Society of Allergy and Clinical Immunology Annual Scientific Meeting, 2017. **14**(Supplement 1).

[Google Scholar](#)

27 Dua S, Wagner A, Ewan PW. The role of tryptase and food challenge in diagnosing IgE negative sesame seed allergy. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2011; **41**: 1822- 1865.

[Google Scholar](#)

28 Hudes G, Friedman N, Rosenstreich D. Soy milk anaphylaxis in patients with negative soy

specific ige and skin test: diagnostic challenge. *Abstracts: Medically Challenging Cases Abstracts/Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2019; **123**: S64– S142.

[PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

29 Ebrahimi M, Gharagozlou M, Mohebbi A, et al. The efficacy of oral immunotherapy in patients with cow's milk allergy. *Iran J Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2017; **16**(3): 183- 192.

[PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

30 SCo, F. Report of the scientific committee on food on the revision of essential requirements of infant formulae and follow-on formulae. 2003: In: DIRECTORATE-GENERAL ECHaCP, editor.

[Google Scholar](#)

31 Hourihane J, Knulst A. Thresholds of allergenic proteins in foods. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 2005; **207**: S152- S156.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

32 Leung DY, et al. New approaches for the treatment of anaphylaxis. *Novartis Found Symp.* 2004; **257**: 248- 260; discussion 260-4, 276-85.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

33 Levin ME, Motala C, Lopata AL. Anaphylaxis in a milk-allergic child after ingestion of soy formula cross-contaminated with cow's milk protein. *Pediatrics.* 2005; **116**(5): 1223- 1225.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

34 Yunginger JW, Sweeney KG, Sturmer WQ, et al. Fatal food-induced anaphylaxis. *J Am Med Assoc.* 1988; **260**(10): 1450- 1452.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

35 Azmi S, Simpson A, Chew F, Marinho S. Two cases of allergy to Lupin in vegan ice-cream. *Allergy.* 2019; **74**: 489.

[Google Scholar](#)

36 Worm M, Francuzik W, Renaudin J-M, et al. Factors increasing the risk for a severe reaction in anaphylaxis: an analysis of data from the European Anaphylaxis Registry. *Allergy.* 2018; **73**(6): 1322- 1330.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

37 Marrs T, Lack G. Why do few food-allergic adolescents treat anaphylaxis with adrenaline?– Reviewing a pressing issue. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 2013; **24**(3): 222- 229.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

38 Song TT, Worm M, Lieberman P. Anaphylaxis treatment: current barriers to adrenaline auto-injector use. *Allergy.* 2014; **69**(8): 983- 991.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

39 Kim JS, Sinacore JM, Pongracic JA. Parental use of EpiPen for children with food allergies. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2005; **116**(1): 164- 168.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

40 Zuberbier T, Edenharter G, Worm M, et al. Prevalence of adverse reactions to food in Germany - a population study. *Allergy*. 2004; **59**(3): 338- 345.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

41 Ballmer-Weber BK, Fernandez-Rivas M, Beyer K, et al. How much is too much? Threshold dose distributions for 5 food allergens. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015; **135**(4): 964- 971.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

42 Kothra A, Galani M, Xepapadaki P, et al. Oral food challenges to nuts in children with LTP sensitization. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2019; **74**(suppl 106): 506- 507.

[Google Scholar](#)

43 Alviani C, Burrell S, Macleod A, et al. Anaphylaxis refractory to intramuscular adrenaline during in-hospital food challenges: a case series and proposed management. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2020; **50**(12): 1400- 1405.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

44 Grabenhenrich LB, Dölle S, Moneret-Vautrin A, et al. Anaphylaxis in children and adolescents: the European Anaphylaxis Registry. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2016; **137**(4): 1128- 1137.e1.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

45 Gruzelle V, Juchet A, Martin-Blondel A, Michelet M, Chabbert-Broue A, Didier A. Benefits of baked milk oral immunotherapy in French children with cow's milk allergy. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2020; **31**(4): 364- 370.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

46 Nakamura T, Okada Y, Maeda M, Kamiya T, Imai T. Oral food challenges using multiple-dose steps for cow's milk allergy: safety and efficiency. *Allergy*. 2020; **75**(suppl 109): 269.

[Google Scholar](#)

47 Rha J, Lanser P, Hauk P. A case of severe anaphylaxis to baked milk in a child on dupilumab. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2020; **125**(suppl 5): S102.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

48 Ruano FJ, Prieto-Moreno Pfeifer A, Torres I, et al. Experience with Omalizumab in patients with anaphylactic reactions to milk allergy. *Allergy*. 2019; **74**(S106): 356. –.

<http://doi.org.bibliosan.clas.cineca.it/10.1111/all.13960>

[Google Scholar](#)

49 Sato S, et al. Underlying mechanisms of oral immunotherapy against hen's egg and cow's milk anaphylaxis. *Allergy*. 2011; **94**: 397.

Google Scholar

50 Takahashi M, Taniuchi S, Soejima K, Hatano Y, Yamanouchi S, Kaneko K. Successful desensitization in a boy with severe cow's milk allergy by a combination therapy using omalizumab and rush oral immunotherapy. *Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol*. 2015; **11**(1): 18.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

51 Tejero Alcalde M, Rojas Perez-Ezquerria P, Fuentes AV. Cow's milk desensitization in a 23-year-old man. *Allergy*. 2019; **74**(S106): 802.

[Google Scholar](#)

52 Yanagida N, Utsunomiya T, Sato S, et al. Treatment of hen's egg- and cow's milk-induced anaphylaxis by rash oral immunotherapy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2010; **125**: AB26.

[Crossref](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

53 Turner PJ, Mehr S, Joshi P, et al. Safety of food challenges to extensively heated egg in egg-allergic children: a prospective cohort study. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2013; **24**: 450- 455.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

54 Pacharn P, et al. Wheat-dependent, exercise-induced anaphylaxis in Thai children: a report of 5 cases. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol*. 2009; **27**: 115- 120.

[PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

55 Asaumi T, Yanagida N, Sato S, Shukuya A, Nishino M, Ebisawa M. Provocation tests for the diagnosis of food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2016; **27**(1): 44- 49.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

56 Matsukura S, Aihara M, Sugawara M, et al. Two cases of wheat-dependent anaphylaxis induced by aspirin administration but not by exercise. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2010; **35**(3): 233- 237.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

57 Matsuo H, Morimoto K, Akaki T, et al. Exercise and aspirin increase levels of circulating gliadin peptides in patients with wheat-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2005; **35**(4): 461- 466.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

58 Thongngarm T, Wongsu C, Pacharn P, Piboonpocanun S, Sompornrattanaphan M. Clinical characteristics and proposed wheat-cofactor challenge protocol with a high diagnostic yield in adult-onset IgE-mediated wheat allergy. *J Asthma Allergy*. 2020; **13**: 355- 368.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

59 Ueno R, Takaoka Y, Shimojo N, et al. A case of pediatric anaphylaxis caused by gummy tablets containing fish collagen. *Asia Pac Allergy*. 2020; **10**(4): e35.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

60 Dereci S, Koca T, Akcam M. The incidence and clinical characteristics of IgE-mediated hazelnut allergy in children living in the eastern black sea region of Turkey. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol Pulmonol*. 2016; **29**(1): 24- 28.

[Crossref](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

61 Fink W, Capucilli P, Lewis MO, Rooney CB, Brown-Whitehorn TF. Significantly increased threshold dose after long-term peanut epicutaneous immunotherapy and daily oral peanut intake. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2019; **123**(suppl 5): S86- S87.

[Crossref](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

62 Oppenheimer JJ, et al. Treatment of penaut allergy with rush immunotherapy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 1992; **90**(2): 256- 262.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

63 Mendez Reyes J, Pistiner M., P.M. Peanut induced anaphylaxis in an infant oral food challenge requiring two doses of epinephrine. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2019; **123**(suppl 5): S126.

[Crossref](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

64 Van Erp FC, et al. Can we predict severe reactions during peanut challenges in children? *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2013; **24**(6): 596- 602.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

65 Nagakura K, et al. Two year follow-up after rush oral immunotherapy for peanut-induced anaphylaxis. *Allergy*. 2015; **101**: 181.

[Google Scholar](#)

66 Nagakura K-I, Sato S, Yanagida N, et al. Oral immunotherapy in Japanese children with anaphylactic peanut allergy. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol*. 2018; **175**(3): 181- 188.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

67 Lindvik H, Lødrup Carlsen KC, Mowinckel P, Navaratnam J, Borres MP, Carlsen K-H. Conjunctival provocation test in diagnosis of peanut allergy in children. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2017; **47**(6): 785- 794.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

68 Salari F, Bemanian MH, Fallahpour M, et al. Comparison of diagnostic tests with oral food challenge in a clinical trial for adult patients with sesame anaphylaxis. *Iran J Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2020; **19**(1): 27- 34.

[PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

69 Yoshihiro T, Matsui T, Sugura S, Ito K. Oral immunotherapy for 7 patients with sesame allergy. *Eur J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2019; **74**: 799.

[Google Scholar](#)

70 Inomata N, Osuna H, Kawano K, et al. Late-onset Anaphylaxis after Ingestion of Bacillus

Subtilis-fermented Soybeans (Natto): clinical review of 7 patients. *Allergol Int.* 2007; **56**: 257- 261.
[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

71 Yang WH, Purchase ECR, Rivington RN. Positive skin tests and Prausnitz-Küstner reactions in metabisulfite-sensitive subjects. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 1986; **78**(3): 443- 449.
[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

72 Koike Y, Yanagida N, Sato S, et al. Predictors of persistent wheat allergy in children: a retrospective cohort study. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol.* 2018; **176**: 1- 6.
[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

73 Phisitbuntoon T, Jirapongsananuruk O, Pacharn P, et al. A potential role of gliadin extract skin prick test in IgE-mediated wheat allergy. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol.* 2020.
<https://doi-org.bibliosan.clas.cineca.it/10.12932/AP-291119-0703>
[PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

74 Utsunomiya T, Imai T, Ogura K, et al. Rush oral immunotherapy for wheat-induced anaphylaxis in Japan. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2012; **1**): AB26.
[Google Scholar](#)

75 Rekabi M, Arshi S, Darougar S, et al. Oral wheat immunotherapy in a patient with anaphylaxis despite negative sensitization tests. *Shiraz E-Med J.* 2019; **20**(2):e83309.
[Google Scholar](#)

76 Vichyanond P, Visitsuntorn N, Tuchinda M. Wheat-induced anaphylaxis. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol.* 1990; **8**(1): 49- 52.
[CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

77 Herzinger T, Kick G, Ludolph-Hauser D, Przybilla B. Anaphylaxis to wheat beer. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2004; **92**(6): 673- 675.
[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

78 Barber C, Kalicinsky C. A novel combination of an IgE mediated adult onset food allergy and a suspected mast cell activation syndrome presenting as anaphylaxis. *Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol.* 2016; **12**(14) (no pagination)(46). 2– 4.
[PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

79 Lee TK, Huntwork MP, Carlson JC. Too old for egg allergya case of anaphylaxis in the elderly. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2018; **33**(2 suppl 1): 648.
[Google Scholar](#)

80 Niggemann B, Yurek S, Beyer K. Severe anaphylaxis requiring intensive care during oral food challenge-It is not always peanuts. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 2017; **28**(2): 201- 203.
[Wiley Online Library](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

81 Mikos N, et al. Adult onset anaphylaxis to egg yolk. *Allergy*. 2012; **96**: 381.

[Google Scholar](#)

82 Hirata J-I, Ohya M, Kumon K. Diagnosis and long-term management of hydrolyzed wheat protein wheat-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis. *Acute Med Surg*. 2015; **2**: 260- 262.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

83 Muñoz FM, López Cazaña JM, Villas F, Contreras JF, Díaz JM, Ojeda JA. Exercise-induced anaphylactic reaction to hazelnut. *Allergy*. 1994; **49**: 314- 316.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

84 Kham Murng SH, Egner W, Shrimpton A, Sargur RB. Using Omega-5 Gliadin (rTri a 19) in the diagnosis of anaphylaxis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2013; **131**(2): AB214.

[Crossref](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

85 Nardi M, Lin R-W. Food associated exercise induced anaphylaxis associated with late phase skin test reactivity to shrimp. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2014; **133**(2): 27.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

86 Suksawat Y. Food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis in 17-year-old adolescent male. *Allergy*. 2018; **73**(suppl 105): 789.

[Google Scholar](#)

87 Dongo LC, et al. Celery-dependent exercise induced anaphylaxis confirmed only by BAT. *Allergy*. 2014; **99**: 276.

[Google Scholar](#)

88 Hameed O, Skibinska M. Food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis: A series of three cases. *Br J Dermatol*. 2012; **1**: 150- 151.

[Google Scholar](#)

89 Mobayed HM, Ali Al-Nesf M. Two cases of food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis with different culprit foods. *Ann Thorac Med*. 2014; **9**(1): 42- 44.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

90 Witten M, et al. Fish dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis after ingestion of a high dose of salmon. *Allergy*. 2014; **99**: 278.

[Google Scholar](#)

91 Lin HY, et al. Fish induced anaphylactic reaction: report of one case. *Zhonghua Min Guo Xiao Er Ke Yi Xue Hui Za Zhi*. 1998; **39**(3): 200- 202.

[CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

92 Makatsori M, Cappella A, McKenzie R, Skypala I. Anaphylaxis- don't forget lupin! *Clin Transl*

Allergy. 2013; **3**(suppl 3): P158.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

93 Soller L, La Vieille S, Chan ES. First reported case in Canada of anaphylaxis to lupine in a child with peanut allergy. *Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol*. 2018; **14**(64) (no pagination)(64). 1– 3.

[PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

94 Bito T, Kanda E, Tanaka M, Fukunaga A, Horikawa T, Nishigori C. Cows milk-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis under the condition of a premenstrual or ovulatory phase following skin sensitization. *Allergol Int*. 2008; **57**(4): 437- 439.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

95 Dahdah L, Ceccarelli S, Amendola S, et al. IgE Immunoabsorption knocks down the risk of food-related anaphylaxis. *Pediatrics*. 2015; **136**(6): e1617- e1620.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

96 David TJ. Anaphylactic shock during elimination diets for severe atopic eczema. *Arch Dis Child*. 1984; **59**(10): 983- 986.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

97 Ameratunga R, Woon ST. Anaphylaxis to hyperallergenic functional foods. *Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol*. 2010; **6**(33) (no pagination)(33). 1– 6.

[PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

98 Dias JG, Costa AC, Pedro E, Barbosa MP. Specific oral tolerance induction (SOTI) to cow's milk in an adult patient with anaphylaxis symptoms. *Allergy*. 2011; **94**: 239.

[Google Scholar](#)

99 Tripodi S, et al. Severe anaphylaxis to sheep's milk cheese in a child desensitized to cow's milk through specific oral tolerance induction. *Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2013; **45**(2): 56- 60.

[CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

100 Lazar I, Cavari Y, Levitas A, Mandolla AB, Broides A. Gastric drainage in the treatment of near-fatal food-induced anaphylaxis. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2017; **09**: 9.

[Google Scholar](#)

101 Dias JG, Costa AC, Pedro E, Barbosa MP. Specific oral tolerance induction (SOTI) to cow's milk in an adult patient with anaphylaxis symptoms. In Clinical and Translational Allergy. Conference: Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Meeting 2011.

[Google Scholar](#)

102 Marguet C, Couderc L, Blanc T, et al. Anaphylaxis in children and adolescents: apropos of 44 patients aged 2 months to 15 years. *Arch Pediatr*. 1999; **1**(suppl 6): 72S- 78S.

[Crossref](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

103 Garcia Sifuentes L, et al. Life-threatening anaphylaxis in an adult patient monosensitised to almond: a case report. *Allergy*. 2009; **90**: 365.

[Google Scholar](#)

104 Lai J, Campbell D. Always be prepared. *Intern Med J*. 2016; **46**(suppl 4): 30.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

105 Koepke JW, et al. Anaphylaxis to pinon nuts. *Ann Allergy*. 1990; **65**(6): 473- 476.

[CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

106 Meysman M, Schelfaut D, Vincken W. A not so healthy muesli: a case report. *Acta Clin Belg*. 2009; **64**(4): 366- 368.

[Crossref](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

107 Barbarroja-Escudero J, et al. Severe allergic reaction to pine nut. *Allergy*. 2012; **96**: 382.

[Google Scholar](#)

108 Lall P, Lodi U. The deadly dessert: transfer of food allergy following lung transplantation from donor to recipient. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2013; **1**: A28.

[Google Scholar](#)

109 Dehlink E, Bannert C, Eiwegger T, Diesner S, Gruber S, Szépfalusi Z. Omalizumab as successful treatment option in severe peanut allergy. *Clin Transl Allergy*. 2013; **3**(S3): 20.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

110 Foucard T, Malmheden Yman I. A study on severe food reactions in Sweden—is soy protein an underestimated cause of food anaphylaxis? *Allergy*. 1999; **54**(3): 261- 265.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

111 Khalid I, Zoratti E, Stagner L, Betensley AD, Nemeh H, Allenspach L. Transfer of peanut allergy from the donor to a lung transplant recipient. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2008; **27**(10): 1162- 1164.

[Crossref](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

112 Jonsson-Razdan P, et al. A case of peanut induced anaphylaxis and the development of a thoracolumbar syring. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2011; **1**: A24.

[Google Scholar](#)

113 Lindsley S, et al. Refractory anaphylaxis at food challenge treated with peripheral adrenaline infusion. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2017; **47**(12): 1704.

[Google Scholar](#)

114 Chatain C, Pin I, Pralong P, Jacquier JP, Leccia MT. A severe anaphylactic reaction to peanut after a negative challenge test [French]. *Rev Fr Allergol*. 2016; **56**(2): 94- 97.

[Crossref](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

115 Kagi MK, Wuthrich B. Falafel burger anaphylaxis due to sesame seed allergy. *Ann Allergy*. 1993; **71**(2): 127- 129.

[CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

116 D'Amelio CM, et al. Anaphylaxis due to sesame seed food allergy with negative skin prick tests: the hydrophilic fraction also matters. *Allergy*. 2015; **101**: 490.

[Google Scholar](#)

117 Carrusca C, et al. Soy anaphylaxis in an infant: a case report. *Allergy*. 2014; **99**: 386.

[Google Scholar](#)

118 Asero R, et al. Unusual allergy to soy appeared in adult age. *Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2016; **48**(3): 94- 96.

[CAS](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

119 Ojeda PM, Ojeda I, Rubio G. Anaphylaxis due to sulfite intolerance: a protective effect from cyanocobalamin. *Clin Transl Allergy*. 2013; **3**(suppl 3): P15.

[Wiley Online Library](#) | [PubMed](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

120 FAO-WHO. Summary report of the Ad hoc Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation on Risk Assessment of Food Allergens. Part 2: Review and establish threshold levels in foods of the priority allergens. 2021.

[Google Scholar](#)

[Download PDF](#)

About Wiley Online Library

Privacy Policy

Terms of Use

Cookies

Accessibility

Publishing Policies

Help & Support

Contact Us

Training and Support

DMCA & Reporting Piracy

Opportunities

**Subscription Agents
Advertisers & Corporate Partners**

Connect with Wiley

**The Wiley Network
Wiley Press Room**

Copyright © 1999-2021 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Table 1. Provocation studies reporting severe allergic reactions to foods

Allergen	Food product	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	No. of participants (no. experiencing a severe reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Nut	Almond protein	Anaphylaxis	53 (1)	1.48g	1480	Kothra et al. 2019 [42]
Milk	Baked cow's milk protein	Anaphylaxis	1 (1)	1.37g	1370	Alviani et al. 2020 [43]
Milk	Cow's milk	Angioedema, flush, respiratory arrest, collapse	128 (1)	1/2 cup=118,294ml	3548.82	Grabenhenrich et al. 2016 [44]
Milk	Cow's milk	Severe (grade III) reaction	64 (1)	2.4mL	72	Gruzelle et al. 2020 [45]
Milk	Cow's milk based formula (BioMeal Soy, Fassbel, Belgium) and strawberry flavor)	Gen. urticaria, Cough, Respiratory distress, Rhinoconjunctivitis	14 (1)	3 drops	5.1	Ebrahimi et al. 2017 [29]
Milk	Cow's milk	Asthma or mild anaphylaxis	5	CM <0,05 mg	0.0015*	Lefevre et al. 2016 [15]

Allergen	Food product	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	No. of participants (no. experiencing a severe reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Milk	Unheated cow's milk	Anaphylaxis	1126	24mL	720	Nakamura et al. 2020 [46]
Milk	Cow's milk	Severe acute allergic reactions	5	1ml	30	Noh et al. 2009 [22]
Milk	Cow's milk	Severe anaphylaxis	1 (1)	0.9g	900	Rha et al. 2020 [47]
Milk	Cow's milk protein	Anaphylactic shock	5 (1)	15mg	15	Ruano et al. 2019 [48]
Milk	Cow's milk	Threshold of anaphylaxis before OIT	228	11.2ml (ggf. Median?)	336	Sato et al. 2011 [49]
Milk	Cow's milk	Dyspnea and laryngospasms	1 (1)	0.2mL	6.8	Takahashi et al. 2015[50]
Milk	Cow's milk protein	Anaphylaxis	1 (1)	145mL	4300	Tejero et al. 2020 [51]
Milk	Cow's milk	Systemic reaction	19 (2)	8.1+/-6.7ml (lowest = 1.4mL)	420	Yanagida et al. 2010 [52]
Egg	Cooked egg	Severe acute allergic reactions	4	1 g	130	Noh et al. 2009 [22]
Egg	Muffin with hen's egg	Anaphylaxis	236 (12)	<100mg egg protein	100	Turner et al. 2013 [53]
Egg	Heated hen's egg	Systemic reaction	12 (1)	10.4+/-5.8g (lowest = 4.6)	460	Yanagida et al. 2010 [52]
Egg	Heated hen's egg	Threshold of anaphylaxis before OIT	113	13.8g	3450	Sato et al. 2011 [49]

Allergen	Food product	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	No. of participants (no. experiencing a severe reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
FDEIA	Wheat + exercise	Anaphylaxis	5 (2)	100 g	12000	Pacharn et al. 2009 [54]
FDEIA	Udon noodle, shrimp	Wheezing, hypotension	41 (6)	200-400g Udon noodle (5.2-10.4 g wheat protein), 40-100g shrimp + Aspirin 10mg/kg (max. 500mg) + exercise (ergometer, target heart rate >160/min)	5200 wheat, 7500 shrimp	Asaumi et al. 2016 [55]
FDEIA		Anaphylaxis, urticaria, dyspnoea	2 (2)	Wheat 100 g + aspirin 500 mg	12000	Matsukura et al. 2010 [56]
FDEIA		Pruritus, erythema, dyspnoea	6 (5)	Wheat 70g + exercise	8400	Matsuo et al. 2005 [57]
FDEIA	Aspirin + bread + exercise	Generalized urticaria and hypotension	33 (1)	300mg aspirin, 60g wheat, 20min running exercise	7800	Thongngarm et al. 2020 [58]
Fish	Fish	Asthma or mild anaphylaxis	1 (1)	Fish 8 mg	1.36*	Lefevre et al. 2016 [15]
Fish	Fish collagen	Pharyngeal obstruction	1 (1)	0.3g	300	Ueno et al. 2020 [59]
Nut	Hazelnut	Urticaria, pruritus, cough, sneezing, wheezing	33(1)	0.4 g	48	Dereci et al.2016 [60]

Allergen	Food product	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	No. of participants (no. experiencing a severe reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Peanut	Peanut protein	Anaphylaxis	3 (3)	143mg	143	Alviani et al. 2020 [43]
Peanut	Peanut protein	Anaphylaxis	1 (1)	144	36	Fink et al. 2019 [61]
Peanut	Defatted Peanut	Severe asthma and severe hypotension	14 (1)	15.8 g	7900	Oppenheimer et al. 1992 [62]
Peanut	Peanut protein	Two doses of epinephrine	1 (1)	3/64 tsp	151	Mendez Reyes et al. 2019 [63]
Peanut	Peanut	Asthma	33 (?)	15mg	3.75*	Moneret-Vautrin 2001 [23]
[23]Peanut	Peanut	Anaphylactic shock	33 (1)	965mg	241.25	Moneret-Vautrin 2001 [23]
Peanut	Peanut oil	Asthma	33	5ml	Undeterminable	Moneret-Vautrin 2001 [23]
Peanut	peanut protein	Severe reaction	225 (24)	50 mg	50	Van Erp et al. 2013 [64]
Peanut	Peanut	Anaphylaxis	22 (3)	875mg	218.75	Nagakura et al. 2015 [65]
Peanut	Peanut oil	Asthma and/or FEV ₁ ↓, vomiting and/or abdominal pain	103 (6)	5 mL	Undeterminable	Morisset et al. 2003 [24]
Peanut	Peanut	Asthma or mild anaphylaxis	8 (1)	Peanut: 44 mg	11	Lefevre et al. 2016 [15]
Peanut	Peanut seeds	Anaphylactic shock	142	265 mg Peanut	66.25	Moneret-Vautrin et al. 1998 [25]

Allergen	Food product	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	No. of participants (no. experiencing a severe reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Peanut	Peanut oil	Asthma	142	5 mL Peanut oil	Undeterminable	Moneret-Vautrin et al. 1998 [25]
Peanut	Peanut powder	Anaphylaxis	22 (5)	136 mg Peanut protein	136	Nagakura et al. 2018 [66]
Peanut	Peanut	Anaphylaxis	102 (23)	285 mg Peanut (in chocolate balls), cumulative dose	71.25	Lindvik et al. 2017 [67]
Sesame	Sesame seed	Throat closure, generalized urticaria and vomiting	1 (1)	5 seeds	3.15*	Dua et al. 2011 [27]
Sesame	Sesame seed oil	"Anaphylactic shock"	12 (1)	1mL	Undeterminable	Morisset et al. 2003 [24]
Sesame	Sesame protein	Grade III reaction	20 (4)	33mg	33	Salari et al. 2020 [68]
Sesame	Sesame protein	Anaphylaxis	7	<0.2g	<200	Yoshihiro et al. 2019 [69]
Soy	Soy milk	"Systemic reaction"	2 (1)	0.01mL	Undeterminable	Hudes et al. 2019 [28]
Soy	Natto	Anaphylactic reactions accompanied by generalized urticaria, dyspnea, chest tightness, and decreased oxygen levels	7 (1)	50g	8500	Inomata et al. 2007 [70]

Allergen	Food product	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	No. of participants (no. experiencing a severe reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Sulfite	K2S2O5	Diffuse pruritic hives on the chest and back with marked swelling of the eyes, lips, and cheeks. There was nonproductive cough with a sensation of throat tightness.	4 (1)	5 mg	5	Yang et al.1986 [71]
Sulfite	K2S2O5	Rhinoconjunctivitis, reddening of face and upper chest with pruritus, chest and throat tightness	4 (1)	1-5-10-25-50mg (pause between each 20min)	91	Yang et al.1986 [71]
Sulfite	K2S2O5	Severe dyspnoea	4 (1)	1-5mg (pause between 20min)	6	Yang et al.1986 [71]
Sulfite	K2S2O5	Dyspnoea and wheezing	4 (1)	1-5-10-25mg	41	Yang et al.1986 [71]
Nut	Walnut	Acute anaphylactic reactions including angioedema, dyspnoea, and cyanosis	1 (1)	Trace amount	Undeterminable	Noh et al. 2009 [22]
Cereals	Wheat Udon noodle	Symptoms requiring medical treatment	83	15 g (=0.4g wheat protein)	450	Koike et al. 2018 [72]

Allergen	Food product	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	No. of participants (no. experiencing a severe reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Cereals	Wheat flour	Intramuscular epinephrine	31 (1)	15600mg	2028	Phisitbuntoon et al. 2020 [73]
Cereals	Wheat Udon noodle	The threshold eliciting anaphylaxis without premedication	18	23.1 +/- 14.5 g of Udon noodle = 8,6g LOAEL	258	Utsunomiya et al. 2012 [74]

FDEIA: Food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis

Tsb: Tablespoon

* Potentially life-threatening reactions with < 5 mg.

** Total number of participants in the study mentioned, while number in brackets give the number of participants experiencing the reaction described. If no number is given, only the total number of participants is list.

Supplementary Table 2. Case reports reporting severe allergic reactions to foods

Allergen	Eliciting food product(s)	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	Number of participants (number experiencing life-threatening reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Cereals	Wheat biscuit	Breathing difficulty, hypotension	1(1)	One biscuit	>5	Rekabi et al. 2019 [75]
Cereals	Sandwiches and a piece of bread (wheat)	Severe anaphylaxis	1(1)	?	>5	Vichyanond et al. 1990 [76]
Cereals	Wheat beer	Unconsciousness	1(1)	One beer	>5	Herzinger et al. 2004 [77]
Crustaceans	Meal of mixed fish and shellfish stew	Unconsciousness , hypotension, hypothermia treated with epinephrine	1(1)	One portion	>5	Barber et al. 2016 [78]
Egg	Muffin and egg dish	Syncopal episode requiring epinephrine	1(1)	One portion each	>5	Lee et al. 2018 [79]
Egg	Hen's egg protein	Arterial hypotension, oxygen desaturation treated with adrenaline/ICU admission	1(1)	1.55g	1550	Niggemann et al. 2017 [80]

Allergen	Eliciting food product(s)	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	Number of participants (number experiencing life-threatening reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Egg	Mortadella, protein calculated	Dyspnoea	1(1)	0.4575 mg Hen's egg white	0.0503*	Tripodi et al. 2009 [14]
Egg yolk	Over easy fried egg	Shortness of breath requiring emergency therapy	1(1)	One egg	7800	Mikos et al. 2012 [81]
FDEIA	Wheat + exercise	Hypotension	1(1)	Jam bun + exercise	5400	Hirata et al. 2015 [82]
FDEIA	Hazelnut + exercise	Wheezing, hoarseness treated with epinephrine	1(1)	30g + 3min of running	3600	Martin Munoz et al. 1994 [83]
FDEIA	Wheat + exercise	Anaphylaxis	3(1)	Italian meal + walking	>5	Murng et al. 2013 [84]
FDEIA	Wheat + exercise	Anaphylaxis	3(1)	Breakfast with a toast, scrambled eggs, sausages + running	>5	Murng et al. 2013 [84]
FDEIA	Wheat + exercise	Anaphylaxis	3(1)	One pizza	30000	Murng et al. 2013 [84]
FDEIA	Shrimp +	Syncope	1(1)	Shrimp	>5	Nardi et al. 2014

Allergen	Eliciting food product(s)	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	Number of participants (number experiencing life-threatening reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
	exercise			dumplings (one portion?) + running		[85]
FDEIA	Shrimp + exercise	Hypotension treated with epinephrine	1(1)	Barbecue buffet containing shrimp	>5	Suksawat et al. 2018 [86]
FDEIA	Celery + exercise	Anaphylaxis requiring hospital admission	1(1)	Chicken soup with celery + interval training	>5	Dongo et al. 2014 [87]
FDEIA	Wheat + exercise	Difficulties in breathing	3(1)	Pizza + football	30000	Hameed et al. 2012 [88]
FDEIA	Wheat + exercise	Anaphylaxis	3(1)	Ham sandwich + strenuous walking	>5	Hameed et al. 2012(74)
FDEIA	Wheat + exercise	Severe dyspnoea,	2(1)	One slice pizza	3720-4440	Mobayed et al. 2014 [89]
FDEIA	Peanut + exercise	Shortness of breath, and wheezing	2(1)	Bar of peanut-containing chocolate	>5	Mobayed et al. 2014 [89]
FDEIA	Fish + exercise	Drop in peak flow and decreased blood pressure requiring epinephrine treatment	1(1)	200g salmon + exercise	40000	Witten et al. 2014 [90]
Fish	Chopsticks	Wheezing and	1(1)	Chopsticks	170-200	Lin et al. 1998

Allergen	Eliciting food product(s)	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	Number of participants (number experiencing life-threatening reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
	contaminated with fish preparations	dyspnoea		contaminated with fish preparations		[91]
Lupin	Lupin flour	Difficulty breathing	2(1)	One portion of vegan ice cream containing lupin flour	>5	Azmi et al. 2019 [35]
Lupin	Duck pate, beef with vegetables and a fruit tart	Throat tightness, wheeze and stridor,	1(1)	Whole portion	>5	Makatsori et al. 2013 [92]
Lupin	Quiche, crust containing lupin flour	Dyspnoea, hypotension, treated with adrenaline	2(1)	150g	>5	Bansal et al. 2014 [16]
Lupin	Short crust pastry containing lupin flour as minor ingredient	Asthma	2(1)	Small amount	Undeterminable	Bansal et al. 2014 [16]
Lupin	Pre-made mix pancake (lupine as 2nd	Throat tightness, hoarse throat	1(1)	Small amount	>5	Soller et al. 2018 [93]

Allergen	Eliciting food product(s)	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	Number of participants (number experiencing life-threatening reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
	ingredient on the list)					
Milk	Cow's milk	Dyspnoea and hypotension	1(1)	100 ml cow's milk + 500mg aspirin + exercise (treadmill, 10min, 9km/h)	3000	Bito et al. 2008 [94]
Milk	Baked cow's milk	Anaphylaxis necessitating epinephrine	1(1)	0.125 g protein	125	Dahdah et al. 2015 [95]
Milk	Cow's milk	Anaphylactic shock requiring resuscitation and ICU admission	1(1)	15ml + some milk	450	David et al. 1984 [96]
Milk	Cow's milk	Systemic symptoms	10	Even traces	Undeterminable	Poza-Guedes et al. 2014 [17]
Milk	Cow's milk	Epinephrine treatment	10	32ml	960	Paiva et al. 2009 [18]
Milk	Cow's milk		10	Trace amounts	Undeterminable	Paiva et al. 2009 [18]
Milk	Wh2ole (containing cow's milk protein)	Treated with epinephrine	2(1)	5ml	170	Ameratunga et al. 2010 [97]
Milk	Cow's milk in pizza	Dyspnoea	1(1)	One pizza	>5	Geraldo Dias et al. 2011 [98]

Allergen	Eliciting food product(s)	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	Number of participants (number experiencing life-threatening reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Milk	Cow's milk	Syncope, hypoxia, and drop in blood pressure treated with epinephrine	1(1)	Accidental ingestion of trace amounts	Undeterminable	Lisann et al. 2014 [19]
Milk	Cow's milk protein	Dyspnoea, oxygen desaturation, hypotension treated with epinephrine	1(1)	0.34g	340	Niggemann et al. 2017 [80]
Milk	Croissant containing sheep's milk ricotta	Severe anaphylaxis	1(1)	One	>5	Tripodi et al. 2013 [99]
Milk	Cow's milk	Anaphylactic shock	1(1)	Pitcher who contained CM before (approx. 10mL)	450	Lazar et al. 2017 [100]
Milk	Cow's milk	Oropharyngeal obstruction sensation, dyspnoea and dysphagia	1(1)	150mL + bread & butter	4500	Dias et al. 2011 [101]
Milk	Cow's milk pudding	Collapse	44	1 spoon	300	Marguet et al. 1999 [102]

Allergen	Eliciting food product(s)	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	Number of participants (number experiencing life-threatening reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Nut	Almond cake	Dyspnoea	1(1)	One piece	>5	Garcia Sifuentes et al. 2009 [103]
Nut	Cashews (placed in same jar as walnuts)	Loss of consciousness	1(1)	Some nuts + febrile infection	Undeterminable	Laliotou et al. 2018 [20]
Nut	Hazelnut in Nutella	Difficulty swallowing, sensation of a tight throat, treated with epinephrine	1(1)	1/4 teaspoon	46.8	Lai et al. 2016 [104]
Nut	Hazelnut protein	Wheezing, hypotension treated with epinephrine	1(1)	0.2g	200	Niggemann et al. 2017 [80]
Nut	Chestnut	Wheezing treated with epinephrine	1(1)	15g	450	Martin Munoz et al. 1994 [83]
Nut	Cheesecake with a crust containing ground pecan nut	Death	1(1)	One piece	229.35	Yunginger et al. 1988 [34]
Nut	Cookie	Life threatening	1(1)	Small amount	>5	Koepke et al.

Allergen	Eliciting food product(s)	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	Number of participants (number experiencing life-threatening reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
	containing pinon nuts	systemic anaphylaxis				1990 [105]
Nut	Muesli containing pinon nut	Severe anaphylaxis, angioedema, hypotension, shock, acute infero-posterolateral myocardial infarction	1(1)	One portion	>5	Meysman et al. 2009 [106]
Nut		Anaphylactic reaction	1(1)	Single pine nut and a sausage	24	Barbarroja-Escudero et al 2012 [107]
Nut	Pinon nut (SPT extract)	Dyspnoea	1(1)	One drop cutaneously	Undeterminable	Sindher et al. 2015 [21]
Nut	Cakes containing tree nut	Dyspnoea, loss of consciousness, treated with adrenaline	1(1)	Multiple cakes	>5	Garcia Sifuentes et al. 2009 [103]
Nut	Dessert containing walnut, cashew	Respiratory failure requiring intubation	1(1)	One portion	>5	Lall et al. 2013 [108]
Peanut	Peanut	Anaphylaxis requiring	1(1)	1.5 g peanut (= 1 peanut)	375	Dehlink et al. 2013 [109]

Allergen	Eliciting food product(s)	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	Number of participants (number experiencing life-threatening reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
		epinephrine				
Peanut	Peanut dust	Severe anaphylaxis treated with epinephrine	1(1)	Peanut dust sprinkled on meal	Undeterminable	Robertson et al. 2017 [26]
Peanut	Bun made with peanut flakes	Death	20(6)	A few bites	>5	Foucard et al. 1999 [110]
Peanut	Peanut butter cookie	Severe respiratory distress, oxygen desaturation, tachycardia, treated with epinephrine	1(1)	One cookie	>5	Khalid et al. 2008 [111]
Peanut	Chili containing peanut butter	Death	4(1)	Two bites	450	Yunginger et al. 1988 [34]
Peanut	Peanut containing cookie	Death	4(1)	One cookie	>5	Yunginger et al. 1988 [34]
Peanut	Slivered peanut atop dish	Death	4(1)	One bite	>5	Yunginger et al. 1988 [34]
Peanut	Peanut containing	Death	4(1)	One bite	>5	Yunginger et al. 1988 [34]

Allergen	Eliciting food product(s)	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	Number of participants (number experiencing life-threatening reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
	cake					
Peanut	Muffin containing peanut	Treated with epinephrine	1(1)	1 muffin	>5	Jonsson-Razdan et al. 2011 [112]
Peanut	Peanut protein	Severe biphasic wheeze treated with IM adrenaline	1(1)	143mg	143	Lindsley et al. 2017 [113]
Peanut	M&M	Anaphylaxis grade 3	1(1)	2M&Ms = 800mg peanut	200	Chatain et al. 2015 [114]
Sesame	Falafel burger	Dyspnoea	1(1)	One bite	6.3	Kägi et al. 1991 [115]
Sesame	McDonald's burger bread and its own meat, cheese and mustard	Treated with epinephrine	1(1)	1/4 of a burger (ca. 45g)	9.45	D'Amelio et al. 2015 [116]
Soy	Soy milk blancmange	Bronchospasm, cyanosis, unconsciousness needing resuscitation and ICU admission	1(1)	Two tablespoons	400	David et al. 1984 [96]
Soy	Soy formula toddler's meal	Dysphonia	1(1)	One portion	>5	Carrusca et al. 2014 [117]

Allergen	Eliciting food product(s)	Nature of life-threatening allergic reaction	Number of participants (number experiencing life-threatening reaction) **	Amount of food that provoked a severe reaction	Amount of allergen protein (mg) that provoked a severe reaction	Study
Soy	Cracker with soybean	Collapse	1(1)	One cracker	>5	Asero et al. 2016 [118]
Soy	Meatballs containing 3% soy	Death	16(1)	One portion	>5	Foucard et al. 1999 [110]
Soy	Kepab containing 7% soy protein	Death	16(1)	One portion	>5	Foucard et al. 1999 [110]
Sulfites	Metabisulfite	Bronchospasm	1(1)	50mg	50	Ojeda et al. 2013 [119]

FDEIA: Food-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis

* Potentially life-threatening reactions with < 5 mg.

** Total number of participants in the study mentioned, while number in brackets give the number of participants experiencing the reaction described. If no number is given, only the total number of participants is listed.

APPENDIX 1

The exact search terms for MEDLINE and EMBASE electronic databases that were searched via Ovid from their inception until January 2021.

[[[anaphylaxis.ti.] OR [anaphylaxis.ab.] OR [(anaphylac\$ adj4 shock).ti.] OR [(anaphylac\$ adj4 shock).ab.] OR [[anaphylaxis.kw.](#)]] AND [[food\$.ti.] OR [food\$.ab.] OR [food hypersensitivity.kw.]] AND [[provocation\$.ti.] OR [provocation\$.ab.] OR [threshold\$.ti.] OR [threshold\$.ab.] OR [challenge.ti.] OR [challenge.ab.]]] OR
[[[anaphylaxis.ti.] OR [anaphylaxis.ab.] OR [[anaphylaxis.kw.](#)] OR [(anaphylac\$ adj 4 shock).ti.] OR [(anaphylac\$ adj4 shock).ab.]] AND [[food\$.ab.] OR [food\$.ti.] OR [food hypersensitivity.kw.]] AND [[severe.ti.] OR [severe.ab.] OR [life-threatening.ti.] OR [life-threatening.ab.] OR [fatal.ti.] OR [fatal.ab.] OR [dead\$.ti.] OR [dead\$.ab.]] AND
[[wheat\$.ti.] OR [wheat\$.ab.] OR [egg\$.ti.] OR [egg\$.ab.] OR [peanut\$.ti.] OR [peanut\$.ab.] OR [soy\$.ti.] OR [soy\$.ab.] OR [milk\$.ti.] OR [milk\$.ab.] OR [nut\$.ti.] OR [nut\$.ab.] OR [celery\$.ti.] OR [celery\$.ab.] OR [mustard\$.ti.] OR [mustard\$.ab.] OR [sesame\$.ti.] OR [sesame\$.ab.] OR [sulphur\$.ti.] OR [sulphur\$.ab.] OR [sulphit\$.ti.] OR [sulphit\$.ab.] OR [lupin\$.ti.] OR [lupin\$.ab.] OR [mollusc\$.ti.] OR [mollusc\$.ab.] OR [crustaceans\$.ti.] OR [crustaceans\$.ab.] OR [gluten\$.ti.] OR [gluten\$.ab.] OR [fish\$.ti.] OR [fish\$.ab.]]]

APPENDIX 2

AStatement of support from the German Federation for Food Law and Food Science “Lebensmittelverband Deutschland”:

We agree that scientifically sound thresholds need to be defined within the framework of standardised procedures for the assessment and management of unintended presence of allergens. This will help to protect allergic consumers, and at the same time, increase legal security for companies. It is in our view key that such harmonized allergen management approach is manageable and feasible for all enterprises, especially SMEs, and that the thresholds can be reliably analysed. Therefore, the proposed approach of a uniform and analytically measurable threshold for precautionary allergen labeling of 5 ppm (5 g allergen protein/kg food) for all allergens in food is very welcome.