

scoring system in daily practice, especially in light of updated recommendations for peanut introduction. An easy-to-use AD classification system incorporating the intensity and percent BSA may help pediatricians standardize their assessment of disease severity and improve accurate application of the guidelines for the prevention of peanut allergy.

James Shea, MD*
Monika Martusiewicz, MD*

Lucy A. Bilaver, PhD†

Ozge N. Aktas, MD†

Jialing Jiang, BA†

Anthony J. Mancini, MD*

Ruchi S. Gupta, MD, MPH†

*Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois

†Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine
Chicago, Illinois

r-gupta@northwestern.edu

References

- [1] Paller A, Mancini A. *Hurwitz's Clinical Pediatric Dermatology*. 5th ed. London: Elsevier; 2016.

- [2] Oranje AP, Glazenburg EJ, Wolkerstorfer A, de Waard-van der Spek FB. Practical issues on interpretation of scoring atopic dermatitis: The SCORAD index, objective SCORAD and the three-item severity score. *Br J Dermatol*. 2007;157:645–648.
- [3] Togias A, Cooper SF, Acebal ML, et al. Addendum guidelines for the prevention of peanut allergy in the United States: Report of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases-sponsored expert panel. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2017;118:166–173.
- [4] Severity scoring of atopic dermatitis: The SCORAD index. Consensus Report of the European Task Force on Atopic Dermatitis. *Dermatology*. 1993;186:23–31.
- [5] Kunz B, Oranje AP, Labreze L, Stalder JF, Ring J, Taieb A. Clinical validation and guidelines for the SCORAD index: Consensus report of the European Task Force on Atopic Dermatitis. *Dermatology*. 1997;195:10–19.
- [6] Hon KL, Wang SS, Leung TF. What happens to the severity grading by objective SCORAD if we over- or underestimate disease extent or intensity in patients with atopic dermatitis. *Int J Dermatol*. 2012;51:295–299.
- [7] Schmitt J, Spuls PI, Thomas KS, et al. The Harmonising Outcome Measures for Eczema (HOME) statement to assess clinical signs of atopic eczema in trials. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2014;134:800–807.
- [8] Charman CR, Venn AJ, Williams HC. The patient-oriented eczema measure: Development and initial validation of a new tool for measuring atopic eczema severity from the patients' perspective. *Arch Dermatol*. 2004;140:1513–1519.
- [9] Charman CR, Venn AJ, Ravenscroft JC, Williams HC. Translating Patient-Oriented Eczema Measure (POEM) scores into clinical practice by suggesting severity strata derived using anchor-based methods. *Br J Dermatol*. 2013;169:1326–1332.
- [10] Chopra R, Vakharia PP, Sacotte R, et al. Severity strata for Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI), modified EASI, Scoring Atopic Dermatitis (SCORAD), objective SCORAD, Atopic Dermatitis Severity Index and body surface area in adolescents and adults with atopic dermatitis. *Br J Dermatol*. 2017;177:1316–1321.

The Amish have decreased asthma and allergic diseases compared with old order Mennonites



The prevalence of allergic diseases is increasing worldwide, particularly in Westernized regions. Epidemiologic studies from several continents have shown an association between infants born into farming environments and significantly decreased frequency of asthma and allergic diseases. The protective factors in farming environments remain poorly understood but may include animal exposure and farm (unprocessed) milk ingestion.^{1,2} The Amish, Old Order Mennonites (OOM), and Hutterites are distinct Anabaptist traditional agrarian cultures. Studies of Amish and OOM have separately reported a decreased prevalence of allergic respiratory diseases compared with existing databases of non-Anabaptist populations.^{3,4} Although the contrast in allergic respiratory disease prevalence between Anabaptist and non-Anabaptist populations may be attributable to lifestyle or genetic differences, significant differences in the risk for respiratory allergic diseases also have been observed between the mutually traditional and genetically similar Amish and Hutterite groups.⁵ These observations suggest that within traditional and genetically similar agrarian communities, the risk for respiratory allergic disease can vary greatly. Identifying which farming communities have increased protection against allergic diseases and understanding what factors are relevant in promoting protection is critical for understanding the mechanisms of allergic sensitization and development of rational and safe primary prevention strategies for the general public.

We sought to determine the prevalence of a broad range of allergic diseases in the Amish and the OOM in Wisconsin. The Amish and OOM are of Swiss German ancestry, with origins in the Anabaptist movement during the 16th century in Europe. These distinct groups had similar immigration patterns to North America starting

in the 18th century. Both groups seek to live separately from society and practice a traditional agrarian lifestyle in overlapping geographical regions of Wisconsin. A cross-sectional paper survey was developed and distributed to Amish and OOM families in Wisconsin, as previously described.⁶ The allergic disease and farm-related survey questions were modified from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey and Multidisciplinary Study to Identify the Genetic and Environmental Causes of Asthma in the European Community (GABRIEL) Advanced Study questionnaires, respectively.^{7,8} Analyses were conducted using SAS software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina) version 9.4. All *P* values were 2-sided, and *P* < .05 was used to define statistical significance.

A total of 1,741 surveys were distributed from August 2014 until March 2016, of which 518 surveys were received (29.9% response rate), representing over 4,200 individuals. Most households consisted of married couples with children, with the mean number of children per household significantly higher for Amish compared with OOM (6.56 ± 4.14 vs 5.23 ± 3.39, respectively; *P* = .0025). The OOM group had a lower frequency of raw milk ingestion and farm residence compared with the Amish. However, most Amish and OOM respondent families live on a farm and reported consumption of raw unprocessed farm milk, including during pregnancy. Amish families reported significantly smaller farm size and fewer animals compared with OOM (84% of Amish have 1–25 cows, goats, or sheep compared with 7% of OOM households; eTable 1).

At the household level, Amish families reported an overall allergic disease prevalence of 26.4% (*n* = 92 households, data available from 348 households), and OOM reported a significantly higher prevalence of 46.7% (*n* = 35 households; data available from 75 households, *P* = .0008). More than 1 affected family member was reported in 33.6% of Amish families, compared with 48.6% of OOM families with allergic disease. At the individual level, Amish reported significantly less self-reported and health care professional–diagnosed asthma, eczema, hay fever, and food allergies compared with OOM (Fig 1). No significant difference was seen in the age range of reported allergic disease-affected individuals between the Amish and OOM (Amish: mean age 33 years ± 18.2; OOM: mean age 27 years ± 14.5).

Disclosures: Authors have nothing to disclose.

Funding Sources: This study was funded by a Wisconsin Partnership Program Opportunity Grant and by the Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) program, previously through the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR) grant 1UL1RR025011, and now by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) grant 9U54TR000021. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.




Figure 1. The prevalence of allergic diseases is lower in Wisconsin Amish compared with old order Mennonites (OOM). A, Generalized estimating equation analysis with repeated measurements to account for family clustering was used to compare individual level self-reported and health care professional–diagnosed prevalence rates of allergic diseases between Amish ($n = 3,705$ total individuals) and OOM populations ($n = 566$ total individuals). B, Odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals for specific allergic disease in OOM compared with Amish. Closed symbols self-reported. Open symbols health care professional–diagnosed. * $P < .05$; ** $P < .0001$.

This is the first Amish and OOM direct comparative study aimed at determining the prevalence of allergic diseases. Our findings demonstrate a lower prevalence of a broad range of self-reported and health care professional–diagnosed allergic diseases in the Amish compared with OOM and provide another example of prevalence differences within farming populations despite sharing many similarities in lifestyle, diet, and genetic background. The reported allergic disease prevalence from our surveyed Amish families is similar to previous studies and was extended to include food and skin allergies.^{3,5} In our survey, although the allergic disease prevalence in OOM was higher than in the Amish, both populations were considerably lower when compared with the reported general population (self-reported asthma diagnosis in the Amish is 1.2% and 4.9% in the OOM compared with an estimated 13% to 19% in the general population⁴). Overall this observation is consistent with the notion that early life farming exposures are protective against the development of allergic sensitization. The reasons for the differences between Amish and OOM in our survey remain unknown and is the focus of ongoing investigations, including formal allergic sensitization data to support the allergic disease diagnoses and improved understanding of health care–seeking behaviors between the groups. The household microbial environment from farming families has been strongly implicated as the driving exposure in preventing allergic disease. The recent study comparing Hutterites with the Amish suggested that communal living and large industrialized farming practices were contributors to increased asthma in the Hutterites and attributed to elevated endotoxin levels in Amish homes compared with Hutterite.⁵ Other previously reported protective allergic disease associations include the independent effects of family size (≥ 3 siblings) and farming exposures.⁹ In our survey, Amish and OOM family sizes were significantly different, but this is an unlikely explanation because both groups had large family sizes. Both the Amish and OOM practice similar lifestyles. Some OOM communities will incorporate tractors to farm and electricity to cool milk barns, and use of this type of technology may explain the larger farm size and greater number of farm animals reported by OOM compared with the Amish.

Our findings suggest that seemingly comparable farming lifestyles in genetically similar populations can have varied degrees of protection from allergic diseases and emphasize the need for comprehensive lifestyle and environmental assessments. In summary, our survey findings provide additional evidence for the Amish population being highly protected from allergic diseases and support continued study within these communities to identify the beneficial farm environmental factors.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Jens Eickhoff, PhD, for assistance with statistical analyses. The authors are grateful to the Old Order Amish and

Mennonite advisory board members for their valuable input in development of our survey and assistance with survey distribution. Finally, a special thank you to the Amish and Old Order Mennonite families for participating in our survey.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2018.05.016>.

Jamee C. Tantoco, MD*
Jordan Elliott Bontrager, MS, LCGC*

Qianqian Zhao, MS†

James DeLine, MD‡

Christine M. Seroogy, MD*

*Department of Pediatrics

University of Wisconsin, Madison

Madison, Wisconsin

†Department of Biostatistics and Medical Informatics

University of Wisconsin, Madison

Madison, Wisconsin

‡LaFarge Medical Clinic

Vernon Memorial Healthcare

LaFarge, Wisconsin

cmseroogy@wisc.edu

References

- Ege MJ, Frei R, Bieli C, et al. Not all farming environments protect against the development of asthma and wheeze in children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2007; 119(5):1140–1147.
- Loss G, Depner M, Ulfman LH, et al. Consumption of unprocessed cow's milk protects infants from common respiratory infections. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015; 135(1):56–62.
- Holbreich M, Genuneit J, Weber J, et al. Amish children living in northern Indiana have a very low prevalence of allergic sensitization. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2012; 129(6):1671–1673.
- Martina C, Looney RJ, Marcus C, et al. Prevalence of allergic disease in Old Order Mennonites in New York. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2016; 117(5):562–563.
- Stein MM, Hrusch CL, Gozdz J, et al. Innate immunity and asthma risk in Amish and Hutterite farm children. *N Engl J Med*. 2016; 375(5):411–421.
- Sieren S, Grow M, GoodSmith M, et al. Cross-sectional survey on newborn screening in Wisconsin Amish and Mennonite communities. *J Commun Health*. 2016; 41(2):282–288.
- Liu AH, Jaramillo R, Sicherer SH, et al. National prevalence and risk factors for food allergy and relationship to asthma: Results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005–2006. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2010; 126(4): 798–806.
- Loss G, Apprich S, Waser M, et al. The protective effect of farm milk consumption on childhood asthma and atopy: The GABRIELA study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011; 128(4):766–773.
- Genuneit J, Strachan DP, Buchele G, et al. The combined effects of family size and farm exposure on childhood hay fever and atopy. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2013; 24(3):293–298.

Supplementary Data

eTable 1

Household and Farming Characteristics Between Amish and Old Order Mennonites

	Amish		OOM		P value
	N	% ^a	N	% ^a	
Households surveyed	439		79		
Married	434	99.8	77	97.4	NS
Married with children	415	94.5	73	92.4	NS
Consumes farm milk	402	97.8	73	93.6	NS
Boil	6	1.5	0	0	NS
Pasteurize	9	2.4	0	0	NS
Consumed during pregnancy	395	97.3	75	94.9	NS
Lives on farm	364	86.5	61	77.2	.04
Farm size:					<.0001
Up to 40 acres	106	29.6	8	13.3	
41–160 acres	239	66.8	26	45	
161–640 acres	12	3.4	25	41.7	
>640 acres	1	0.3	0	0	
Number of cows, goats, or sheep:					<.0001
1–25	301	84.1	7	11.5	
26–100	37	10.3	44	72.1	
101–500	2	0.6	1	1.6	
>500	0	0	0	0	
None	18	5	9	14.8	

Abbreviations: NS, not significant; OOM, Old Order Mennonites.

^aPercentages calculated based on specific survey question complete data, and missing data were omitted.