

Phase I Study of Paclitaxel With Standard Dose Ifosfamide in Children With Refractory Solid Tumors: A Pediatric Oncology Group Study (POG 9376)

James I. Geller, MD,^{1*} Donna Wall, MD,² John Perentesis, MD,¹ Susan M. Blaney, MD,³ and Mark Bernstein, MD⁴

Purpose. A dose-escalation Phase I study of taxol (paclitaxel) administered in combination with standard dose ifosfamide was conducted in children with relapsed or refractory solid tumors. Primary objectives were to estimate the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and to describe the dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs). **Patients and Methods.** Paclitaxel was administered as a 6-hr continuous infusion (hr 0–6), followed by intravenous ifosfamide (2 g/m²/day × 3 days) over 1 hr at hours 6–7, 24–25, and 48–49. Patients at dose level 1 received 250 mg/m² paclitaxel. Subsequent dose escalation proceeded using a standard 3 × 3 Phase I design. **Results.** Fifteen patients received a combined 46 courses of therapy. The median age was 14.5 years (range, 2–19 years), and diagnoses included sarcoma (7), neuroblastoma (3), and other (5). Three patients received paclitaxel at 250 mg/m² (10 courses), six at 325 mg/

m² (19 courses), three at 425 mg/m² (8 courses), and three at 550 mg/m² (9 courses). DLTs occurred in 2/3 patients at 550 mg/m² paclitaxel during cycle 1, including grade 3 hypotension and grade 4 anaphylaxis in 1 patient each. Common non-dose-limiting toxicities included bone marrow suppression and peripheral neuropathy. Response was evaluable in 14 patients and included mixed response (3), stable disease (5), and progressive disease (6). **Conclusion.** Paclitaxel hypersensitivity reactions were dose limiting when the drug was administered as a 6-hr infusion. The MTD and recommended Phase II dose of paclitaxel administered as a 6-hr continuous intravenous infusion followed by standard dose intravenous ifosfamide is 425 mg/m² paclitaxel. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.*
© 2008 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

Key words: ifosfamide; Phase I; pediatric; solid tumor; taxol

INTRODUCTION

Paclitaxel (Taxol; NSC 125973) is a taxane derived from the bark of the western yew, *Taxus brevifolia* [1,2]. The taxanes inhibit G2 and M-phases of the cell cycle via disruption of cell division and interphase processes by inducing polymerization of intracellular tubulin (promoting microtubule assembly) and stabilizing microtubules from depolymerization (inhibiting tubulin disassembly) [2]. Paclitaxel was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration in 1992 for the treatment of ovarian carcinoma, and subsequently was also approved for the treatment of breast cancer, Kaposi sarcoma associated with AIDS, and for non-small cell lung cancer in combination with cisplatin [3].

Phase I trials of paclitaxel administered most commonly as a 24-hr continuous infusion in adult patients with solid tumors have identified neutropenia as dose limiting [4], and 250 mg/m² as the maximum tolerated dose (MTD). Additional toxicities encountered included hypersensitivity reactions and neuropathies, which became dose limiting in trials employing shorter infusion times [4]. Multiple schedules of paclitaxel administration with antitumor activity against adult tumors have been described; however, some studies suggest that longer infusions result in increased response rates versus shorter infusions [5,6]. In prior Phase I trials in pediatric cancer patients, paclitaxel was delivered as either short infusions over 3 hr once per cycle [7] or twice per week [8]; or continuously over 24 hr once per cycle [9–11], weekly (CCG-0903), or daily for 6 weeks with concurrent radiotherapy [12]. As in adult studies, short infusions of 1–3 hr induced less hematopoietic toxicity but were complicated by more hypersensitivity reactions [7,13] and neurotoxicity [7].

Ifosfamide is an oxazophosphorine chosen for this combination study because of its broad range of activity in pediatric solid tumors and non-overlapping non-hematological toxicities. In adult studies, combination regimens of paclitaxel with ifosfamide have been developed with favorable toxicity profiles, and activity in patients with non-small cell lung cancer, head and neck cancer, breast,

uterine and ovarian cancer [14–24]. The current study was undertaken to determine dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) and the MTD of 6-hr infusion paclitaxel therapy combined with standard high-dose ifosfamide therapy in pediatric patients with refractory solid tumors.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patient Eligibility

Eligible patients were ≤21 years of age with a histological diagnosis of cancer (solid tumor or leukemia) refractory to conventional therapy or for which no effective therapy was known. Patients were to be stratified as (1) leukemia or (2) solid tumor. Other eligibility criteria included: (a) Karnofsky performance score of ≥50 (ECOG 0–2), (b) adequate nutritional status (>3rd percentile for weight with respect to height, normal total serum protein and albumin/globulin ratio), (c) recovery from the acute toxic effects of prior chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or immunotherapy, (d) at least 3 months since stem cell transplants with limited or no radiation, (e) at least 6 months since transplants employing total body irradiation, and (f) at least 6 weeks since the administration of a nitrosourea. Study exclusion criteria included: severe or uncontrolled infection, active graft versus host disease, or a history of craniospinal or extensive pelvic, abdominal, or mantle and Y port radiation. Patients were required to have adequate renal function (serum creatinine

¹Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio; ²Methodist Children's Hospital of South Texas, San Antonio, Texas; ³Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, Texas; ⁴IWK Health Centre, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

*Correspondence to: James I. Geller, Department of Hematology-Oncology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Burnet Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45229-3039.
E-mail: james.geller@cchmc.org

Received 22 July 2008; Accepted 17 September 2008

below the upper limits of normal for age or a creatinine clearance or radioisotope glomerular filtration rate ≥ 70 ml/min/1.73 m² and no history of renal disease); adequate liver function (serum bilirubin ≤ 1.5 mg/dl, and alanine aminotransferase ≤ 2 times the institutional upper limit of normal for age). Patients without malignant infiltration of the bone marrow were required to have an absolute neutrophil count $\geq 1,500/\mu\text{l}$ and a platelet count $\geq 100,000/\mu\text{l}$. Additional anticancer therapies and enrollment on any other study were prohibited while patients were taking part in this investigation. Informed consent from the patient or their parent(s) and assent, as appropriate, were obtained in accordance with the U.S. National Cancer Institute, Children's Oncology Group, and individual Institutional Review Board policies prior to study entry.

Dosage and Drug Administration

Paclitaxel, ifosfamide, MESNA and G-CSF were all commercially available. Paclitaxel was formulated in polyoxyethylated castor oil (Cremophor EL) 50% and dehydrated alcohol, USP 50%. Pre-medication for paclitaxel included dexamethasone at a dose of 0.25 mg/kg/dose (maximum 20 mg) at -14 and -7 hr and diphenhydramine 1 mg/kg/dose (maximum 50 mg) at -0.5 hr. Paclitaxel was infused intravenously over 6 hr at a concentration of 1 mg/ml. Diphenhydramine was administered every 6 hr as needed for mild allergic reactions. For severe allergic reactions such as hypotension, alterations in level of consciousness, or respiratory distress, infusions were stopped.

After paclitaxel, MESNA 400 mg/m² was administered by intravenous push followed 15 min later by ifosfamide at a dose of 2 g/m² administered over 1 hr. MESNA was given every 3 hr for four additional doses. Ifosfamide and MESNA were repeated on days 2 and 3, with intravenous fluids of 3,000 ml/m²/day. G-CSF, 5 $\mu\text{g/kg}$, was administered daily beginning 24 hr after the final dose of ifosfamide and continued until the absolute neutrophil count was $>5,000/\mu\text{l}$ on one measurement or $>1,500/\mu\text{l}$ on two consecutive daily measurements. Treatment cycles were repeated at a frequency of every 21–28 days if there was no evidence of progressive disease or significant toxicities, the platelet count was $\geq 100,000/\mu\text{l}$, and the absolute neutrophil count had recovered to $\geq 1,000/\mu\text{l}$ measured at least 48 hr after the discontinuation of G-CSF.

Trial Design

The starting dose of paclitaxel was 250 mg/m² with planned dose escalation levels to 325, 425, and 550 mg/m². A minimum of three patients were entered at each dose level and the dose level was expanded to up to six patients if one patient experienced DLT during the first cycle of therapy. When DLT was observed in two patients of a cohort of three to six patients receiving the same dose of drug, the MTD was exceeded. The MTD of paclitaxel in this combination regimen was defined as the dose level immediately below the level at which at least two patients experienced DLT in the first cycle of therapy.

Toxicities were graded according to the Pediatric Oncology Group entitled "Toxicities and Complications Criteria" which is similar to National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 2.0). Dose-limiting non-hematologic toxicity was defined as grade 3 renal, pulmonary, cardiovascular or CNS toxicity, any grade 4 non-hematopoietic toxicity, grade 4 neutropenia (ANC $< 500/\mu\text{l}$) lasting >14 days for those without

marrow involvement and marrow aplasia ≥ 4 weeks for those with marrow involvement, and platelet count $< 25,000/\mu\text{l}$ for more than 7 days or clinically significant bleeding for those without marrow involvement.

Criteria for Assessment of Response

Imaging studies to assess response were performed prior to the 2nd and 3rd courses and every other course thereafter. Response assessments were based on the sum of the products of the maximum perpendicular diameters of all lesions on imaging. Response was defined as: complete response (CR)—no evidence of disease; partial response (PR)— $\geq 50\%$ decrease in the sum of the products of the maximum perpendicular diameters of all measurable disease without evidence of progression in any lesion or the presence of any new lesions; minor response (MR), $>25\%$ but $<50\%$ response; stable disease (SD)— $<25\%$ decrease or increase; and progressive disease (PD), $\geq 25\%$ increase and/or the appearance of new lesions.

RESULTS

A total of 18 patients were enrolled. Two patients did not receive study drug and were therefore not evaluable for response or toxicity: one patient with leukemia died before initiation of protocol therapy and one solid tumor patient refused protocol therapy. One patient at dose level 2 received paclitaxel during cycle 1 at an incorrect dose of 325 mg total, and cycles 2 and 3 at the correct dose of 325 mg/m². For the purposes of cycle 1 toxicity and therefore MTD/DLT assessments, as well as for response, this patient was not assessable and replaced. Thus, 15 evaluable solid tumor patients received a combined 46 courses of combination paclitaxel/ifosfamide chemotherapy. Five patients were previously treated with both ifosfamide and cyclophosphamide, one patient with ifosfamide, and eight patients with cyclophosphamide. In total, 14/15 (93.3%) of patients were pre-treated with oxazophosphorine therapy. Patient demographics are summarized in Table I.

Toxicities

During the first course of therapy, three patients [one at dose level 2 (250 mg/m²) and two at dose level 4 (550 mg/m²)] experienced dose limiting grade 3 or 4 acute hypersensitivity reactions (Table II). During the second course of therapy, a third patient treated at dose level 4 experienced dose limiting grade 4 infusion-related loss of consciousness thought to be secondary to intoxication from the ethanol included in the paclitaxel formulation. Two patients at dose level 4 who developed dose limiting infusion reactions (1 grade 3 hypersensitivity reaction and 1 grade 4 loss of consciousness) each tolerated paclitaxel dose level 3 (375 mg/m²) administered over 24 hr rather than 6 hr, with one patient tolerating re-challenge at dose level 4 for two courses. Paclitaxel infusion-related fevers (all grade 1) occurred in 3/15 (20%) of evaluable patients independent of dose level, and one episode accompanied by grade 1 hypotension. Two patients with paclitaxel infusion-related fever went on to tolerate subsequent courses of paclitaxel without reaction. All reactions in all patients were transient and reversed with appropriate supportive care.

Bone marrow suppression was common at all dose levels with grade 3/4 neutropenia ($n=7$), thrombocytopenia ($n=4$), and anemia ($n=4$) occurring during course 1 (Table III). Grade 4

TABLE I. Patient Characteristics

Characteristic	No. of patients
Age (years)	
Range	2–18.8
Median	14.8
Gender	
Male	7
Female	8
Performance status (Lansky/Karnofsky)	
Range	70–100
Median	90
Histology	
Neuroblastoma	3
Ewing sarcoma	3
Synovial cell sarcoma	1
Alveolar soft part sarcoma	1
Osteosarcoma	1
Neurofibrosarcoma	1
Adrenocortical carcinoma	1
Anaplastic ependymoma	1
Mesothelioma (omental)	1
Hepatoblastoma	1
Wilm's tumor	1
No. of prior chemotherapy regimens	
1–2	11
3–4	3
5–6	1
Radiotherapy	10 (66.7%)
Surgery	13 (86.7%)

neutropenia and thrombocytopenia were typically short lived, lasting less than 5 days in most cases, although one patient at dose level 2 (pre-treated with six different chemotherapeutic regimens) experienced grade 4 thrombocytopenia lasting 10 days. There were no significant bleeding episodes.

Infection appeared to be independent of dose level and independent of number and intensity of prior chemotherapy regimens. Four patients experienced febrile neutropenia (26.7%) accounting for 9 episodes of febrile neutropenia in 46 courses administered (19.6%). Associated features included an infected ear-piercing site and mucositis (1) and *E. coli* bacteremia (1). One patient treated at dose level 2, subsequent to course 4 of therapy, developed fatal (grade 5) candidemia associated with acute renal failure. Two patients developed non-neutropenic viral infections including one episode of grade 2 herpes zoster and one episode of grade 3 herpes simplex virus infection.

TABLE II. DLTs During the First Course of Each Dose Level

Level	Dose (mg/m ²)	No. of patients assessable	No. of patients with DLT during course 1	DLT
1	250	3	0	
2	325	6	1	ADR—Grade 4 hypotension
3	425	3	0	
4	550	3	2	ADR—Grade 3 hypotension with abdominal cramping, emesis and diarrhea; ADR—Grade 4 anaphylaxis after 1 ml of paclitaxel infused

DLT, dose-limiting toxicity; ADR, adverse drug reaction.

TABLE III. Non-Dose-Limiting Toxicities During the First Course Observed in More Than 10% of the Assessable Patients

Toxicity	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4
Neutrophils (ANC)	0	2	1	6
Platelets	1	3	0	4
Hemoglobin	2	5	4	0
Sensory neuropathy	5	1	0	0
Infusion-related fever	3	0	0	0
Febrile neutropenia	N/A	N/A	4	0

Neurotoxicity commonly occurred in the form of a mild peripheral sensory neuropathy, and rarely in the form of central nervous system toxicity. Grade 1 peripheral sensory neuropathies occurred within 1 week of the paclitaxel infusion and manifested as a mild transient paresthesia of the hands and/or feet. One patient's neuropathy progressed during subsequent courses (dose level 4) to a lower extremity slightly painful grade 2 neuropathy with subsequent long-term decreased sensation in the lower extremity with foot drop. The single patient with grade 2 sensory neuropathy during course 1 (dose level 2) went on to develop grade 3 painful lower extremity sensory neuropathy during subsequent courses concomitant with a decrease in Karnofsky performance status from 100 to 70. Central nervous system toxicity occurred in two patients, with one patient at dose level 2 experiencing grade 2 cortical depression, thought to be attributable to the ifosfamide infusion. A second patient at dose level 4 experienced loss of consciousness during hour five of the second (course 2) paclitaxel infusion as previously discussed. The patient was alert and oriented within 1 hr following infusion cessation and intravenous dexamethasone.

Additional toxicities were uncommon and included grade 2 hyperbilirubinemia (1; dose level 1), grade 2 hypoalbuminemia and AST/ALT elevation (1; dose level 3), and grade 2 mucositis (1; dose level 1). Mild (grade 1/2) electrolyte disturbances were relatively common and likely attributable to ifosfamide therapy.

Response

Fourteen patients were evaluable for response and eight patients derived clinical benefit [stable disease (5); mixed response (3)]. All three patients with neuroblastoma experienced either transient response or disease stabilization, and received 3, 5, and 4 courses of therapy at dose levels 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Five additional patients who had at least stable disease or mixed response had alveolar soft part sarcoma (six courses; dose level 1), osteosarcoma (one course; dose level 2), neurofibrosarcoma (six courses; dose

level 2), Ewing sarcoma (four courses; dose levels 3 and 4), and omental mesothelioma (four courses; dose level 2). With the exception of this latter patient who succumbed to a fatal candidal infection, and the three patients for whom follow-up data is unavailable, all patients died from tumor progression.

DISCUSSION

Paclitaxel, administered alone or in combination regimens with ifosfamide, has shown promising activity in a variety of adult malignancies [14–24]. This study describes the MTD and toxicity profile of the combination of paclitaxel and ifosfamide in pediatric patients. Overall, the combination regimen was well tolerated with a paclitaxel MTD of 425 mg/m² administered as a six-hour continuous intravenous infusion on day 1 followed by standard dose 2 g/m² intravenous ifosfamide on days 1–3. This paclitaxel MTD in children was higher than in adult combination studies employing different paclitaxel infusion durations. In adults, when paclitaxel was administered over either 24 or 3 hr on day 1 in combination with ifosfamide on days 1–3, the paclitaxel MTD was 250 mg/m² [14,15]. Lower dose paclitaxel (135 mg/m²) was chosen for combination study with ifosfamide in the Gynecologic Oncology Group Phase III trial in patients with advanced uterine carcinosarcoma [20].

Severe hypersensitivity reactions occurred in three patients and defined the DLT for the regimen, despite dexamethasone and diphenhydramine pre-treatment. Two patients successfully tolerated subsequent re-administration of paclitaxel, one re-challenged at dose level 4 with the only change being prolongation of paclitaxel infusion time to 24 hr. Mild reactions on study were relatively uncommon and two patients with febrile reactions went on to tolerate additional courses of paclitaxel without reaction. These findings suggest that minor reactions do not necessarily portend risk for major reactions [4], and as in adult studies [25], pediatric patients with significant paclitaxel infusion-related reactions, despite adequate steroid pre-treatment, can be considered for re-challenge with paclitaxel by employing a longer infusion time. Lastly, the frequency of severe adverse hypersensitivity reactions in the current study at or below the MTD (1/12; 8.3%) is equivalent to that seen in chemotherapy-naïve pediatric neuroblastoma patients (4/33; 12.1%; 26) and more frequent than previously treated pediatric solid tumor patients (1/186; 0.54%; 15) when treated with 325 mg/m² given over 24 hr, suggesting that a shortening of the infusion rate to 6 hr imparts a slightly higher rate of acute hypersensitivity reactions in previously treated pediatric solid tumor patients.

Neutropenia has been dose-limiting in adult Phase I single agent paclitaxel trials [4] and common in pediatric Phase I and Phase II single agent paclitaxel trials [7–9,13,26,27]. Neutropenia was not considered dose-limiting in three of six adult Phase I trials employing paclitaxel in combination with ifosfamide due to the definition of DLT rather than the absence of significant myelosuppression [15,17,22–24,28]. In the current study, myelosuppression, thrombocytopenia, and anemia were common; however, the frequency of bone marrow suppression was similar to that seen in 33 chemotherapy-naïve pediatric neuroblastoma patients treated with single agent paclitaxel via a 24-hr infusion at the MTD of 350 mg/m² [26]. As with other pediatric paclitaxel studies, grade 4 neutropenia in the current study was typically short lived, lasting less than 5 days, occurred within 1 week of treatment,

and associated with febrile neutropenia in <20% of courses [7,9,25,26,29].

Central and peripheral neuropathic effects encountered in the current study, despite the addition of ifosfamide, were significantly less common and less intense than that experienced in pediatric patients treated with 3-hr paclitaxel infusions (3 of 17 patients; reference [7]), and similar in frequency and intensity than that experienced in pediatric patients treated with 24-hr paclitaxel infusions (1 of 12 patients; reference [9]). No paclitaxel-associated central nervous system toxicity occurred in patients treated at paclitaxel dose level 3 (425 mg/m²) or lower. The data suggest that, in children, CNS toxicity occurs less frequently from both 6 and 24-hr higher-dose paclitaxel infusions as compared to 3-hr infusions. Peripheral neuropathy in the form of paresthesias or dysesthesias were relatively common in the current study with a frequency (6/15; 40%), comparable to that seen by Doz et al. [7] (5/14; 35.7%), and by Hurwitz et al. [9] (10/31; 32%). In the current study, as well as in the study by Doz et al. no dose-peripheral neurotoxicity relationship can be identified. Further investigations into the mechanisms of and protection from taxane-induced neurotoxicity are needed [30–32].

Clinical benefit from this paclitaxel/ifosfamide regimen was noted in all three heavily pre-treated patients with neuroblastoma. However, the clinical effect was not sustained in any of these patients who had not previously received ifosfamide therapy, raising question as to the role of paclitaxel in the response seen in these patients. Two Phase II studies conducted by the Pediatric Oncology Group (POG 9340 and POG 9262), have demonstrated activity of paclitaxel (350 mg/m² administered intravenously over 24 hr) in chemotherapy naïve and pre-treated patients with metastatic or recurrent neuroblastoma respectively [27,29]. While paclitaxel's single agent activity remains unsatisfactory for upfront and relapsed neuroblastoma therapy, combination studies employing paclitaxel with ifosfamide or platinum therapy [33] in neuroblastoma patients may be of interest.

In conclusion, the DLT of this paclitaxel/ifosfamide regimen is acute hypersensitivity. Myelosuppression and neurotoxicity are prevalent, but manageable and reversible. While the optimal method of administering paclitaxel continues to be debated [34], paclitaxel administered as a 6-hr infusion at a dose of 425 mg/m² followed by standard dose ifosfamide (2 g/m²/day × 3) is the recommended dose for further development of this two-drug combination in pediatric solid tumor patients. The COG is pursuing a paclitaxel, ifosfamide, carboplatin combination regimen (TIC) for the treatment of pediatric patients with recurrent or resistant malignant germ cell tumors (AGCT0521).

REFERENCES

1. Wani MC, Taylor HL, Wall ME, et al. Plant antitumor agents. VI. The isolation and structure of taxol, a novel antileukemic and antitumor agent from *Taxus brevifolia*. *J Am Chem Soc* 1971;93: 2325–2327.
2. Chabner BA, Allegra CJ, Curt GA, et al. Chapter 51. Antineoplastic Agents. In: Hardman JG, Limbird LE, Molinoff PB, et al. editors. Goodman & Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 9th edition. New York: McGraw Hill; 1996. p 1260.
3. Rowinsky EK, Tolcher AW. Chapter 19.7. Antimicrotubule Agents. In: Devita VT, Hellman S, Rosenberg SA, editors. Cancer Principles & Practice of Oncology, 6th edition. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001. p 438.
4. Rowinsky EK, Donehower RC. Drug Therapy: Paclitaxel (Taxol), Editor Wood AJJ. *New Engl Med* 1995;332:1004–1014.

5. Raymond E, Hanauske A, Faiver S, et al. Effects of prolonged versus short-term exposure paclitaxel (Taxol) on human tumor colony-forming units. *Anticancer Drugs* 1997;8:379–385.
6. Williams C, Collingwood M, Simera I, et al. Short versus long duration infusions of paclitaxel for any adenocarcinoma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003;1:CD003911.
7. Doz F, Gentet JC, Pein F, et al. Phase I trial and pharmacological study of a 3-hour paclitaxel infusion in children with refractory solid tumours: A SFOP study. *Br J Cancer* 2001;84:604–610.
8. Hayashi RJ, Blaney S, Sullivan J, et al. Phase I study of paclitaxel administered twice weekly to children with refractory solid tumors: A Pediatric Oncology Group Study. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2003;25:539–542.
9. Hurwitz CA, Relling MV, Weitman SD, et al. Phase I trial of paclitaxel in children with refractory solid tumors: A Pediatric Oncology Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 1993;11:2324–2329.
10. Woo MH, Relling MV, Sonnichsen DS, et al. Phase I targeted systemic exposure study of paclitaxel in children with refractory acute leukemias. *Clin Can Res* 1999;5:543–549.
11. Horton TM, Ames MM, Reid JM, et al. A Phase I and pharmacokinetic clinical trial of paclitaxel for the treatment of refractory leukemia in children: A Childrens Oncology Group Study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2008;50:788–792.
12. Liu L, Vapiwala N, Munoz LK, et al. A Phase I study of cranial radiation therapy with concomitant continuous infusion paclitaxel in children with brain tumors. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 2001;37:390–392.
13. Seibel NL, Reaman GH. New microtubular agents in pediatric oncology. *Invest New Drugs* 1996;14:49–54.
14. Vokes EE. Ifosfamide-based chemotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer: Phase I/II studies at the University of Chicago. *Semin Oncol* 1996;23:15–18.
15. Hoffman PC, Masters GA, Drinkard LC, et al. Ifosfamide plus paclitaxel in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: A phase I study. *Ann Oncol* 1996;7:314–316.
16. Perry MC. Paclitaxel/ifosfamide or navelbine/ifosfamide chemotherapy for advanced non-small cell lung cancer: CALGB 9532. *Lung Cancer* 2000;28:63–68.
17. Shepherd FA. Phase I study of paclitaxel (Taxol) and ifosfamide in previously untreated patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. A study of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. *Ann Oncol* 1996;7:311–313.
18. Forastiere AA, Urba SG. Single-agent paclitaxel and paclitaxel plus ifosfamide in the treatment of head and neck cancer. *Semin Oncol* 1995;22:24–27.
19. Murad AM. Phase II trial of paclitaxel and ifosfamide as a salvage treatment in metastatic breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1997;45:47–53.
20. Homesley HD, Filiaci V, Markman M, et al. Phase III trial of ifosfamide with or without paclitaxel in advanced uterine carcinosarcoma: A Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:526–531.
21. Miglietta L. Paclitaxel plus ifosfamide in advanced ovarian cancer: A multicenter phase II study. *Oncology* 1997;54:102–107.
22. Miglietta L, Marengi C, Nizzo R, et al. Paclitaxel by 72-hour continuous infusion followed by bolus intravenous ifosfamide or epirubicin: Results of two phase I studies. *Oncology* 2001;60:116–122.
23. Klaassen U, Harstick A, Strumberg D, et al. Paclitaxel plus ifosfamide in advanced ovarian cancer: Results of a phase I study. *Anticancer Drugs* 1998;9:359–361.
24. Bunnell CA, Thompson L, Buswell L, et al. A Phase I trial of ifosfamide and paclitaxel with granulocyte-colony stimulating factor in the treatment of patients with refractory solid tumors. *Cancer* 1998;82:561–566.
25. Peereboom DM, Donehower RC, Eisenhauer EA, et al. Successful re-treatment with taxol after major hypersensitivity reactions. *J Clin Oncol* 1993;11:885–890.
26. Kretschmar CS, Kletzel M, Murray K, et al. Response to paclitaxel, topotecan, and topotecan-cyclophosphamide in children with untreated disseminated neuroblastoma treated in an upfront Phase II investigational window: A Pediatric Oncology Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:4119–4126.
27. Harris M, Hurwitz C, Sullivan J, et al. Taxol in Pediatric Solid Tumors: A Pediatric Oncology Group (POG) Phase II Study (POG #9262) (Meeting Abstract). *Proc Am Soc Clin Onc* 1999, Abstract 2170.
28. Markman M, Spriggs D, Burger RA, et al. Phase I trial of ifosfamide and 24-h infusion paclitaxel in pelvic malignancies: A Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Gynecol Oncol* 2001;80:359–363.
29. Hurwitz CA, Strauss LC, Kepner J, et al. Paclitaxel for the treatment of progressive or recurrent childhood brain tumors: A Pediatric Oncology Phase II Study. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2001;23:277–281.
30. Hagiwara H, Sunada Y. Mechanism of taxane neurotoxicity. *Breast Cancer* 2004;11:82–85.
31. Kuroi K, Shimozuma K. Neurotoxicity of taxanes: Symptoms and quality of life assessment. *Breast Cancer* 2004;11:92–99.
32. Apfel SC. Managing the neurotoxicity of paclitaxel (Taxol) and docetaxel (Taxotere) with neurotrophic factors. *Cancer Invest* 2000;18:564–573.
33. Surico G, Muggeo P, De Leonardi F, et al. New paclitaxel-cisplatin based chemotherapy regimen for advanced stage, recurrent, or refractory neuroblastoma-preliminary report. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 2003;40:130–132.
34. Omura GA, Brady MF, Look KY, et al. Phase III trial of paclitaxel at two dose levels, the higher dose accompanied by filgrastim at two dose levels in platinum-pretreated epithelial ovarian cancer: An intergroup study. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:2843–2848.