

THE JOURNAL OF
ALLERGY
AND
CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY

VOLUME 98

NUMBER 5, PART 1

Rostrum

Stop the sensitization

Kevin J. Kelly, MD, Gordon Sussman, MD, and Jordan N. Fink, MD
Milwaukee, Wis., and Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Latex hypersensitivity has been implicated in occupationally induced urticaria, rhinitis, asthma, and anaphylaxis.¹⁻⁴ The disorder occurs in 8% to 12% of the health care worker population and is due to sensitization to a variety of latex proteins.⁵⁻⁶ Before the Occupational Safety and Health Administration mandate concerning protection of workers who have contact with body fluids, contact dermatitis, primarily caused by latex glove chemicals, was the most common form of adverse immune reaction to gloves in the health care worker population.⁷

In recent years it has become clear that latex protein, largely carried on the cornstarch used as donning powder in gloves, is inhaled and causes allergic sensitization.⁸ Alternatively, the sensitizing antigens of latex may penetrate the skin after being solubilized by sweat or may enter through the skin inflamed by the contact dermatitis reaction. In fact, it appears that contact dermatitis often precedes other skin or respiratory symptoms in the health care worker.⁹ Further, in recent years, exposure to latex protein antigens has been magnified by the marked increase in the use of examination gloves

over surgical gloves, pointing to the increased exposure to latex from examination gloves as the major source of the rising rate of allergic sensitization. It would thus seem reasonable and immunologically sound to decrease glove allergenicity and worker exposure. This could be accomplished by elimination of glove powder or by use of alternative protective materials.

Recent studies measuring latex allergens in natural rubber latex gloves have demonstrated wide differences in allergen content among different brands.^{10, 11} Air sampling of environments in which powdered gloves with high protein content were used detected greater amounts of airborne, and thus inhalable, allergen than in environments in which low-allergen, especially low-allergen non-powdered latex gloves were used.^{12, 13}

Individuals working in environments where they use high-allergen gloves have more symptoms than those in environments where measurable latex protein antigen levels have been reduced by the use of nonpowdered or latex-free gloves.^{12, 13} Furthermore, when patients allergic to latex practice strict latex protein avoidance, symptoms decrease, and evidence of immune sensitization, as manifested by skin reactivity to latex, diminishes.¹³⁻¹⁵ Most important, however, when sensitized health care workers continue to be exposed to latex, asthma may develop, which may progress and persist even after strict avoidance of the workplace and all nonhospital sources of latex. Once established, the asthma may be triggered by nonspecific stimuli, and pulmonary function may remain permanently impaired even after leaving the health

From Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee; and University of Toronto.

Received for publication May 31, 1996; revised July 8, 1996; accepted for publication July 10, 1996.

Reprint requests: Jordan N. Fink, MD, Allergy-Immunology Division, Medical College of Wisconsin, 9000 West Wisconsin Ave., Milwaukee, WI 53201.

J Allergy Clin Immunol 1996;98:857-8.

Copyright © 1996 by Mosby-Year Book, Inc.

0091-6749/96 \$5.00 + 0 1/1/76573

TABLE I. Some alternatives to powdered latex gloves

Sterile nonlatex gloves	Nonlatex examination gloves	Powder-free latex gloves
Dermaprene (Ansell)	Vynlite (Smart Practice)	Flexam (Baxter)
Elastyren (Hermal)	Skin Care (Skin Care Glove)	Conform (Ansell)
Tactyl-1 (Smart Practice)	Soft Touch (Med Source)	Power Free (Safe Skin)
		Biogel (Regent)

care profession, as in other cases of occupational asthma.¹⁶ Thus health care workers have latex sensitivity that may result in progressive asthma, ending their career.

To control this serious and potentially disabling occupational disease, the process of sensitization, as well as treatment, of those health care workers already sensitized needs to be addressed. Low-antigen, nonpowdered latex gloves reduce inhalation of latex allergen and thus should significantly reduce the rate of sensitization of exposed health care workers and the progression of allergic disease in those already sensitized. All health care and especially hospital facilities should use only synthetic nonlatex or low-antigen, powder-free latex examination and surgical gloves. Reducing inhalation or contact with latex antigen should reduce sensitization and preserve functional capacity in health care workers who are at risk of sensitization. Because sensitized workers react to nonpowdered latex gloves,¹⁷ they should be given nonlatex gloves with the same barrier properties as latex. A number of manufacturers market such gloves (Table I). However, recent powder-free latex glove storage difficulties with generation of heat may have compromised the barrier properties of such gloves, perhaps related to chlorination.¹⁸ Thus care should be taken in the choice of gloves. In spite of this problem, the universal use of nonlatex examination gloves with adequate barrier protection may be desirable because the majority of gloves used in health care are currently latex. If we are to reduce the problem of latex sensitivity in the nation's health care workers, measures shown to be effective need to be instituted as soon as possible.

REFERENCES

- Eghari-Sabet S, Slater JE. Latex allergy: a potentially serious respiratory disorder. *J Respir Dis* 1993;14:473-82.
- Bubak ME, Reed CE, Fransway AF, Yunginger JW, Jones RT, Carlson CA, et al. Allergic reactions to latex among health care workers. *Mayo Clinic Proc* 1992;67:1075-9.
- Sussman G, Tarlo S, Rolonch J. The spectrum of IgE-mediated responses to latex. *JAMA* 1991;265:2844-7.
- Ownby D, Tomlanovich M, Sammons N, et al. Anaphylaxis associated with latex allergy during barium enema examinations. *JAMA* 1991;156:903-8.
- Alenius H, Turjanmaa K, Palosuo T, et al. Surgical latex glove allergy: characterization of rubber protein allergens by immunoblotting. *Int Arch Allergy Appl Immunol* 1991;96:376-82.
- Kurup VP, Murali PS, Kelly KJ. *Latex antigens*. In: Fink JN, editor. *Latex allergy*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1995: 45-59.
- Fisher AA. Allergic contact reactions in health personnel. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1992;90:729-38.
- Beezhold DH, Beck WC. Surgical glove powders bind latex antigens. *Arch Surg* 1992;127:1354-7.
- Charous BL, Hamilton RG, Yunginger JW. Occupational latex exposure characteristics with contact and systemic reactions in 47 workers. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1994;94:12-8.
- Turjanmaa K, Reunala T, Alenius H, et al. Allergens in latex surgical gloves and glove powder. *Lancet* 1990;336: 1588-90.
- Yunginger JW, Jones RT, Fransway AF, et al. Extractable latex allergen and proteins in disposable medical gloves and other rubber products. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1994;93:836-42.
- Swanson MC, Bubak ME, Hunt LW, et al. Quantification of occupational latex aeroallergens in a medical center. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1994;94:445-551.
- Tarlo SM, Sussman G, Contala A, Swanson MC. Control of airborne latex by use of powder-free latex gloves. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1994;93:985-9.
- Siu SR, Smith GJ, Sussman GL, et al. Reduction in airborne latex protein exposure by use of low protein powder free gloves [abstract]. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1996;97:325.
- Zeldin RK, Hamilton RG, Adkinson NF Jr. The effect of avoidance on the natural history of latex rubber allergy [abstract]. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1996;97:429.
- Chan-Yeung M, Malo JR. Current concepts: Occupational asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1995;333:107-12.
- Gehring LL, Fink JN, Kelly KJ. Evaluation of low allergenic gloves in latex sensitive patients [abstract]. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1996;97:186.
- FDA Public Health Advisory. Potential risk of spontaneous combustion in large quantities of patient examination gloves [letter]. *Med Watch* June 27, 1996.