

Striking the balance between primary prevention of allergic disease and optimal infant growth and nutrition

To the Editor,

The timing of introduction of solids and/or complementary feeds continues to be an area of intense interest with respect to allergy prevention and general optimal infant nutrition. There is recent evidence from RCTs¹⁻³ and a meta-analysis¹ that the earlier introduction of peanut (between 4 and 11 months) and egg (between 4 and 6 months), in infants at higher risk of allergic disease, may be beneficial. However, concerns have been raised over the impact this may have on duration of breastfeeding, nutrition and growth, when a high-protein and calorie-containing food, such as egg or peanut, is introduced. Duration of breastfeeding appears to have little impact on development of allergic disease, but is important for protection against overweight and obesity, diabetes and childhood infections (particularly in resource-poor settings).⁴

We recently reported results from a primary prevention RCT (the BEAT study) which examined the effect of dietary introduction of egg, from 4 months of age, on sensitization and allergy to egg at 12 months of age. Methods and results have been published elsewhere,³ but briefly infants were randomized (in a double-blind fashion) to receive either 350 mg of whole pasteurized powdered egg or placebo (rice powder) daily following successful weaning on to appropriate solids from 4 months of age, until dietary liberalization at 8 months. Infants were otherwise on a strict egg-free diet from 4 to 8 months of age.

We were interested to explore any possible impact of the intervention (or introduction of solids) from 4 months of age on growth or duration of breastfeeding. Differences in proportions between groups were analysed by chi-squared tests, and growth parameters at 4, 8 and 12 months between groups were compared using nonparametric rank test.

A total of 319 infants attended the initial visit at approximately 4 months of age (mean=3.9, SD = 0.5). Detailed feeding data were collected at this visit, and at 8 and 12 months. As might be expected, parents of study infants were generally well educated and had higher combined annual household incomes than the general population. Sixty-five percentage of mothers had tertiary qualifications and 56% of families had a combined income greater than \$AUD100 000/y; 44% of infants had at least one parent born outside Australasia, with parents born in Greater Asia (South-East Asia, India, China) constituting the largest group of those with non-Australasian region of birth.

At the initial 4-month visit, 72% (230) of infants were receiving breastmilk and 45% (142) exclusively breastfed. Nine percent (30) had never been breastfed and 29% (66) of breastfeeding mothers were avoiding specific allergenic foods in their own diets—despite receiving no medical advice to do so. In the 177 infants not exclusively breastfed at 4 months, formula was started at a median age of 1 month (IQR—0.0-2.0) and the majority receiving formula were on a standard cow's milk-based formula (142/177). Across the cohort, 69 (22%) of 319 infants had already recently commenced solids prior to the first study visit at 4 months (median 4 months IQR—3.8-4.0). The median age at introduction of the study intervention was 4.0 months (IQR—4.0-4.8) for egg and 4.0 (IQR—4.0-4.5) for placebo, respectively.

At 8 months, all infants were receiving solids (as part of the study protocol) and the majority (201, 63%) had introduced solids successfully between 4 and 5 months of age. The most common first weaning foods were fruits and vegetables, with over 80%-100% of infants having been successfully introduced to grains, vegetables, fruits and meat by 8 months of age. 45% (118) and 23% (57) infants were still receiving breastmilk at 8 and 12 months, respectively; this did not vary by study intervention ($P = .78$ and $P = .068$ at 8 and 12 months, respectively).

TABLE 1 Comparison of growth parameters from infants in BEAT cohort at 4, 8 and 12 mo of age

	Placebo (rice) Median (IQR)	Egg (intervention) Median (IQR)	P value
Weight—4 mo	6.88 kg (6.25-7.27)	6.70 kg (6.17-7.31)	.89
Weight—8 mo	8.89 kg (8.10-9.48)	8.53 kg (7.97- 9.40)	.28
Weight—12 mo	10.20 kg (9.40-10.90)	9.85 kg (9.3-10.70)	.10
Length—4 mo	63.0 cm (61.0-65.0)	62.1 cm (61.0-64.2)	.70
Length—8 mo	70.9 cm (69.0-72.5)	70.0 cm (67.0-72.0)	.06
Length—12 mo	76.0 cm (73.8-78.0)	76.0 cm (74.0-77.5)	.44
Head circumference—4 mo	41.5 cm (40.5-42.5)	41.1 cm (40.5-42.0)	.041
Head circumference—8 mo	45.0 cm (44.0-46.0)	44.0 cm (43.0-45.0)	.0006
Head circumference—12 mo	46.5 cm (45.5-48.0)	46.0 cm (45.0-47.0)	.013

TABLE 2 Comparisons between early introduction studies with growth data published

	Assessed at:	BEAT study (egg)		EAT study (multiple foods) ⁷			LEAP study (peanut) ¹⁰	
		Active introduction (from 4 mo)	Placebo	Early introduction (before 6 mo)	Standard introduction (after 6 mo)	Early introduction (from 4 mo)	Early introduction (from 4 mo)	Peanut avoidance
Baseline (randomization)	Breastfeeding (%)	4 mo		3 mo		4-11 mo		
	Ever	91	90	100	100	93.4	91	
	Any current	75	75	100	100	44.2	39.6	
	Exclusive	42	47	100	100	N/A	N/A	
	Weight (kg)							
	Mean (SD)	6.8 (0.89)	6.82 (0.81)	6.27 (0.77)	6.29 (0.76)			
	z-score (SD)	0.11 (0.98)	0.09 (0.93)	-0.14 (0.92)	-0.15 (0.94)			
	Length (cm)							
	Mean (SD)	62.8 (2.8)	62.9 (2.8)	62.0 (2.3)	62.2 (2.3)			
	z-score(SD)	0.0 (1.19)	0.0 (1.23)	0.25 (0.98)	0.26 (1.00)	0.1 (1.1)	0.2 (1.1)	
@6 mo	Weight for length							
	z-score (SD)	0.24 (1.15)	0.21 (1.09)	-0.37 (1.02)	-0.39 (1.02)	NA	NA	
	HC (cm)							
	Mean, (SD)	41.3 (1.4)	41.7 (1.7)	41.1 (1.3)	41.1 (1.3)	NA	NA	
	Breastfeeding							
	Any	ND	ND	97.2%	97.8%	NA	NA	
	Exclusive			Nil	28.6%			
	Breastfeeding							
	Any	46%	47%	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Exclusive	Nil	Nil					
@12 mo	Breastfeeding							
	Any	18%	28%	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Exclusive	Nil	Nil					

(Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

	BEAT study (egg)		EAT study (multiple foods) ⁷		LEAP study (peanut) ¹⁰	
	Active introduction (from 4 mo)	Placebo	Early introduction (before 6 mo)	Standard introduction (after 6 mo)	Early introduction (from 4 mo)	Peanut avoidance
@12 mo						
Duration of breastfeeding (wks)	NA	NA	52 (36–66)	53 (38–68)	35.1 (25.1)	32.5 (25.1)
Median, IQR						
Mean (SD)						
Weight (kg)						
Mean (SD)	9.99 (1.14)	10.25 (1.27)	10.03 (1.20)	9.94 (1.17)	NA	NA
z-score (SD)	0.65 (1.46)	0.71 (1.25)	0.28 (0.90)	0.20 (0.92)	0.2 (0.9)	0.3 (1.0)
Length (cm)						
Mean (SD)	75.6 (3.5)	75.9 (3.6)	76.78 (3.1)	76.6 (3.0)	NA	NA
z-score (SD)	0.35 (2.24)	0.30 (1.73)	−0.02 (1.00)	−0.01 (1.02)	0.1 (1.1)	0.3 (1.1)
Weight for length						
z-score (SD)	0.62 (1.15)	0.78 (1.09)	0.39 (0.91)	0.28 (0.92)	NA	NA
HC (cm)						
Mean, (SD)	46.2 (1.5)	46.7 (1.7)	46.8 (1.5)	46.8 (1.5)	ND	ND

ND, not done; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; NA, not available.

Growth parameters for the infants are shown in Table 1. There were no differences in weight, length or weight for length (WFL) between those randomized to receive egg or placebo at baseline (4 months), 8 or 12 months of age. Infants in the egg introduction arm had slightly lower head circumference at baseline prior to any intervention, which persisted throughout the study. There was no difference in weight, length, WFL or head circumference between those 69 infants who had received solids prior to the first visit (4 months) and the remainder of the cohort at 4, 8 or 12 months.

In our cohort, duration of breastfeeding did not differ between those receiving early introduction of egg and those in the placebo arm (receiving rice), and is in line with recent Australian population data.⁵ Both groups in our study had a median age of introduction of the allocated intervention of 4.0 months. There are a number of caveats to interpreting growth and feeding data from this study cohort. Firstly, this was not a primary outcome measure of the study, and the study was not specifically powered to examine growth or duration of breastfeeding. The study was blinded, so any potential effects on growth or breastfeeding duration are unlikely to be related to parental knowledge of the allocated intervention, but rather could be due to the higher fat, protein and overall caloric content of the egg (weight for weight, rice powder has 70% of total energy of egg, 20 times less fat and approximately 7 times less protein). Both study groups were encouraged to introduce solids from 4 months of age, so it is not possible to draw any direct conclusions about how growth or breastfeeding duration may have been altered by delaying introduction of solids to after 6 months of age. This is in contrast to the EAT study,⁶ where infants were either randomized to introduce 6 allergenic foods from 3 months of age or encouraged to follow UK infant feeding guidelines (solids from 6 months of age). No impact on growth⁷ or duration of breastfeeding⁸ was noted between the early intervention and conventional introduction groups in the EAT study, and growth patterns between studies were very similar (Table 2); however, higher rates of breastfeeding were achieved in both groups in the EAT study at 9 and 12 months, compared to our cohort.

It is possible to make some comparisons between growth and feeding parameters of infants from our study and known Australian population norms; however, our study infants may not be wholly representative of the general population, with higher maternal education and household income both being associated with longer duration of *exclusive* and *any* breastfeeding in high resource settings such as Australia.⁹ In 2010, the Australian National Infant Feeding Survey (ANIFS)⁵ reported that only 39% of infants were exclusively breastfed after 3 months of age, and 60% were still receiving some breastmilk at 6 months. These rates were higher in mothers with a higher level of education: 80% and 62% with degree or diploma, respectively, were exclusively breastfeeding their infants at 4 months of age, with 53% and 36% continuing to breastfeed to some degree between 7 and 12 months (exact breastfeeding rates at 8 months were not reported in ANIFS). These rates are very similar to those in our study, suggesting that within this demographic, neither encouragement to introduce solids from 4 months nor introduction of a high-protein food (egg) from 4 months appeared to greatly influence the duration

of breastfeeding. It is noteworthy that 22% of infants in our cohort had already commenced solids prior to 4 months of age, which was contrary to Australian infant feeding guidelines, nor encouraged by the study coordinators. This is also at odds with ANIFS data, where only 2% of mother with a tertiary education, and 5% of infants with a family income >AUD\$88 000 had solids introduced before 4 months of age.

We did not observe any differences in growth between groups by allocation, something consistent with both the unblinded EAT and LEAP studies in the UK¹⁰⁷ (Table 2). There was some suggestion of an increasing median weight trajectory from randomization at 4 months to 12 months, based upon WHO growth charts in our study infants. The median weight in both groups at baseline (4 months) corresponded to the 36-44th centile for males and 63-71st centile for female; at 8 months of age, 46-62nd centile for males and 72-82nd for females; and at 12 months, 57-69th for males and 78-85th for females. Similar trends were not obviously apparent for length, with median length at baseline, 8 and 12 months corresponding to the 22-34th, 39-55th and 54th centile, respectively, for males, and 51-67th, 70-82nd and 78th centile, respectively, for females.

However, WHO charts for children aged 0-2 years are based upon infants who were exclusively breastfeeding for 4 months, and continued partial breastfeeding up to at least 12 months and such infants are recognized to have different weight/length trajectories in their second 6 months of life, when compared to infants who have been weaned prior to this time.¹¹ Whether our cohort is different from similar Australian infants introduced to solids at 6 months or older is unclear (as these data are not currently available), but does suggest that a closer inspection of possible effects of early introduction to solid foods on growth trajectory is warranted. Determining the approximate balance between optimal growth patterns, promotion of breastfeeding and primary prevention of allergic disease will not be straightforward. It will depend upon risk stratification at the individual family level. It is encouraging that few detrimental effects on duration of breastfeeding have been noted to date in the EAT and LEAP studies, nor in our cohort. Further exploration of this outcome in population-based cohorts, outside the confines of a supported trials setting, is required to support these initial observations.

FUNDING INFORMATION

PJT is in receipt of a Clinician Scientist Award funded by the UK Medical Research Council (reference MR/K010468/1) and is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre based at Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust and Imperial College London. The views expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

ORCID

Dianne E. Campbell  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0907-6963>

John W.-L. Tan^{1,2}

Paul J. Turner^{1,3}

Carolina Valerio²

Rebecca Sertori²

Elizabeth H. Barnes⁴

Dianne E. Campbell^{1,2} 

¹The Discipline of Child and Adolescent Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

²The Department of Allergy and Immunology, Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney, NSW, Australia

³The Section of Paediatrics (Allergy and Infectious Diseases), MRC, Asthma UK Centre in Allergic Mechanisms of Asthma, Imperial College London, London, UK

⁴NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Email: dianne.campbell1@health.nsw.gov.au

John Wei-Liang Tan and Paul J. Turner contributed equally to this work.

REFERENCES

1. Ierodiakonou D, Garcia-Larsen V, Logan A, et al. Timing of allergenic food introduction to the infant diet and risk of allergic or autoimmune disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2016;316:1181-1192.
2. Du Toit G, Roberts G, Sayre PH, et al. Randomized trial of peanut consumption in infants at risk for peanut allergy. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:803-813.
3. Wei-Liang Tan J, Valerio C, Barnes EH, et al. A randomized trial of egg introduction from 4 months of age in infants at risk for egg allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2017;139:1621-1628.
4. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *Lancet*. 2016;387:475-490.
5. AIHW (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare). Australian national infant feeding survey: indicator results. Canberra, Australia; 2011. Report No.: Cat. no. PHE 156.
6. Perkin MR, Logan K, Tseng A, et al. Randomized trial of introduction of allergenic foods in breast-fed infants. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374:1733-1743.
7. Perkin M, Logan K, Lack G. Enquiring About Tolerance (EAT) Study Final Report Summary. England, UK: Food Standards Agency; 2015. Contract No.: FS231063. Available at: <https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/eat-study-full-report.pdf>
8. Perkin MR, Logan K, Marrs T, et al. Enquiring About Tolerance (EAT) study: feasibility of an early allergenic food introduction regimen. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2016;137(1477-86):e8.
9. Amir LH, Donath SM. Socioeconomic status and rates of breastfeeding in Australia: evidence from three recent national health surveys. *Med J Aust*. 2008;189:254-256.
10. Feeney M, Du Toit G, Roberts G, et al. Impact of peanut consumption in the LEAP Study: feasibility, growth, and nutrition. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2016;138:1108-1118.
11. Grummer-Strawn LM, Reinold C, Krebs NF. Use of World Health Organization and CDC growth charts for children aged 0-59 months in the United States. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2010;9:1-15.