

THE POWER TO SEE



CytoFLEX FLOW
CYTOMETER

Advanced Sensitivity
and Resolution



The STAT5–GATA2 Pathway Is Critical in Basophil and Mast Cell Differentiation and Maintenance

This information is current as of February 20, 2016.

Yapeng Li, Xiaopeng Qi, Bing Liu and Hua Huang

J Immunol 2015; 194:4328–4338; Prepublished online 23 March 2015;

doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1500018

<http://www.jimmunol.org/content/194/9/4328>

-
- Supplementary Material** <http://www.jimmunol.org/content/suppl/2015/03/20/jimmunol.1500018.DCSupplemental.html>
- References** This article **cites 38 articles**, 14 of which you can access for free at: <http://www.jimmunol.org/content/194/9/4328.full#ref-list-1>
- Subscriptions** Information about subscribing to *The Journal of Immunology* is online at: <http://jimmunol.org/subscriptions>
- Permissions** Submit copyright permission requests at: <http://www.aai.org/ji/copyright.html>
- Email Alerts** Receive free email-alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up at: <http://jimmunol.org/cgi/alerts/etoc>



The STAT5–GATA2 Pathway Is Critical in Basophil and Mast Cell Differentiation and Maintenance

Yapeng Li,* Xiaopeng Qi,*¹ Bing Liu,*[†] and Hua Huang*[‡]

Transcription factor GATA binding protein 2 (GATA2) plays critical roles in hematopoietic stem cell survival and proliferation, granulocyte–monocyte progenitor differentiation, and basophil and mast cell differentiation. However, precise roles of GATA2 in basophil and mast cell differentiation and maintenance have not been delineated. We have identified GATA2 as an essential transcription factor in differentiation of newly identified common basophil and mast cell progenitors into basophils and mast cells. We observed *Gata2* haploinsufficiency for mast cell differentiation, but not for basophil differentiation. We examined the precise role of GATA2 in maintaining the expression of a wide range of genes that are important for performing basophil or mast cell functions. The effects of GATA2 on gene expression were broadly based. We demonstrated that GATA2 was required for maintaining *Fcer1a* mRNA and FcεRIα protein expression on both basophils and mast cells, as well as for maintaining *Kit* mRNA and c-Kit protein expression on mast cells. GATA2 was required for histamine synthesis and was also critical for *Il4* mRNA expression in basophils and *Il13* mRNA expression in mast cells. We demonstrate a STAT5–GATA2 connection, showing that the STAT5 transcription factor directly bound to the promoter and an intronic region of the *Gata2* gene. Overexpression of the *Gata2* gene was sufficient to direct basophil and mast cell differentiation in the absence of the *Stat5* gene. Our study reveals that the STAT5–GATA2 pathway is critical for basophil and mast cell differentiation and maintenance. *The Journal of Immunology*, 2015, 194: 4328–4338.

Basophils and mast cells are minor leukocyte populations, constituting <1% of peripheral blood and bone marrow cells. Both basophils and mast cells express the high-affinity receptor for IgE, FcεRI. Upon re-exposure to allergens, basophils and mast cells are activated through the binding of allergen-loaded IgE via FcεRI. Activated basophils and mast cells release both overlapping and unique sets of inflammatory mediators, including histamine, proteoglycans, lipid mediators, proteases, chemokines, and cytokines (1–3). Basophils and mast cells are important components of type 2 immune responses that protect against parasitic infection and cause allergic inflammation (4–7). Recent evidence supports nonredundant roles of basophils and mast cells in causing allergic inflammation and in expelling worms (4).

The processes of basophil and mast cell differentiation have received increased attention in recent years. Immature basophils differentiate and undergo maturation in the bone marrow. Mature basophils circulate in the bloodstream and enter inflamed tissues. In contrast, immature mast cells develop in the bone marrow before taking residence in tissues, where they undergo further maturation (2). The nature of precursors of these cells is a subject of intense debate. Galli and colleagues (8, 9) identified mast cell lineage–restricted progenitors (MCPs) in the bone marrow and proposed that MCPs are derived from multiple potential progenitors, but not from common myeloid progenitors or granulocyte–monocyte progenitors (GMPs). In contrast, Akashi and colleagues (10) determined that both basophils and mast cells are derived from common myeloid progenitors and GMPs. In addition, they described a subset of cells in the spleen, but not in the bone marrow, termed basophil and mast cell progenitors (BMCPs). These cells are suggested to create both basophils and mast cells (10). However, whether BMCPs are authentic bipotential BMCPs was challenged by a recent study (11) and our data (12), which indicate that BMCPs mainly create mast cells. Furthermore, data from proliferation-tracking experiments support the conclusion that most new basophils are generated in the bone marrow, rather than in the spleen (13).

We have identified a novel population of common BMCPs in the bone marrow (12). These progenitors were highly enriched in the capacity to differentiate into basophils and mast cells while retaining a limited capacity to differentiate into myeloid cells. Because it was determined that the common BMCPs were more mature than GMPs and because they possessed great potential to differentiate into basophils and mast cells but had not yet fully committed into bipotential basophil–mast cell potential progenitors, we have designated these progenitor cells “pre-basophil and mast cell progenitors” (pre-BMPs). We showed that pre-BMPs differentiated into basophils and mast cells at the clonal level in vitro and at the population level in vivo (12). We also demonstrated that STAT5 signaling was required for the differentiation

*Department of Biomedical Research, National Jewish Health, Denver, CO 80206;

[†]Department of Respiratory Medicine, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei 430071, China; and [‡]Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Denver, CO 80206

¹Current address: Department of Immunology, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN.

Received for publication January 5, 2015. Accepted for publication February 23, 2015.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant RO1AI083986.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Hua Huang, Department of Biomedical Research, National Jewish Health and Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Colorado School of Medicine, 1400 Jackson Street, Denver, CO 80206. E-mail address: huangh@njhealth.org

The online version of this article contains supplemental material.

Abbreviations used in this article: BaP, basophil lineage–restricted progenitor; BMCP, basophil and mast cell progenitor; BMMC, bone marrow–derived mast cell; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; CMP, common myeloid progenitor; DC, dendritic cell; GATA2, GATA binding protein 2; GMP, granulocyte–monocyte progenitor; HSC, hematopoietic stem cell; 4HT, 4-hydroxytamoxifen; IDMEM, Iscove’s modification of DMEM; IL-3C, IL-3 and anti-IL-3 Ab complex; MCP, mast cell lineage–restricted progenitor; MFI, mean fluorescence intensity; MITF, microphthalmia-associated transcription factor; pre-BMP, pre-basophil and mast cell progenitor; qPCR, quantitative PCR; YFP, yellow fluorescent protein.

Copyright © 2015 by The American Association of Immunologists, Inc. 0022-1767/15/\$25.00

of pre-BMPs into both basophils and mast cells, and was critical for inducing two downstream transcription factors, C/EBP α and microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (MITF). We identified C/EBP α as the critical transcription factor for specifying basophil cell fate and MITF as the crucial transcription factor for specifying mast cell fate. We demonstrated that C/EBP α and MITF silenced each other's transcription in a directly antagonistic fashion (12).

GATA binding protein 2 (GATA2) is a member of the GATA family of zinc finger transcription factors. GATA2 plays critical roles in survival and proliferation of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) (14, 15). It has been implicated to play a role in GMP differentiation (16). GATA2 has been shown to be critical in both basophil and mast cell differentiation (17, 18). The order of GATA2 and C/EBP α expression has been suggested to be crucial in determining basophil cell fate. When GATA2 expression preceded C/EBP α expression at the GMP stage, GATA2 together with C/EBP α drove basophil differentiation. Conversely, when C/EBP α expression preceded GATA2 expression, C/EBP α together with GATA2 drove eosinophil differentiation (18). However, it remains unknown whether GATA2 plays a role in the differentiation of pre-BMPs into basophils and mast cells, and in the maintenance of basophil and mast cell identities.

In this study, we demonstrated that GATA2 was essential for the differentiation of pre-BMPs into basophils and mast cells, and for the maintenance of basophil and mast cell identities. GATA2 haploinsufficiency was observed for mast cell differentiation, but not for basophil differentiation. We further demonstrated that the STAT5 transcription factor directly regulated *Gata2* gene expression and that overexpression of the *Gata2* gene was sufficient to direct basophil and mast cell differentiation in the absence of the *Stat5a/b* genes.

Materials and Methods

Mice

C57BL/6J (B6) mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). *Stat5a/b*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/CreERT2} mice and *Stat5a/b*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/CreERT2} mice were generated as described previously (12). *Gata2*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/CreERT2} mice were generated by crossing *Gata2*^{fl/fl} mice (*Gata2*^{tm1Sac} [19], the mutant mouse regional resource center at University of California-Davis, Davis, CA) to the mice with a yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) Cre activity reporter gene knocked in the *Rosa* locus (B6.129X1-Gt (ROSA)26Sor^{tm1(EYFP)Cos}/J [20]; short name: *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp}; Jackson Laboratory) and to the transgenic mice with an inducible Cre enzyme (*TgCreERT2*^{hemi} [21]; Jackson Laboratory). *Gata2*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice were generated by crossing *Gata2*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice to *Gata2*^{+/+} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice, which were generated by crossing *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} to *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice. All animal experiments were approved by the National Jewish Health Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

FACS analysis and sorting

For FACS analysis of basophils, mast cells, T cells, B cells, dendritic cells (DCs), neutrophils, and macrophages, cells obtained from various tissues or cell cultures were stained with the following Abs: basophils and mast cells were stained with allophycocyanin-CY7-conjugated anti-c-Kit (2B8) and PE-CY7-conjugated anti-Fc ϵ RI α (MAR-1) Abs; T and B cells were stained with PE-CY5-conjugated anti-CD3e (145-2C11) and PE-CY7-conjugated anti-CD19 (1D3) Abs; DCs were stained with allophycocyanin-conjugated anti-MHC class II (M5/114.15.2) and PE-conjugated anti-CD11c (N418) Abs; neutrophils and macrophages were stained with PE-conjugated anti-Gr-1 (RB6-8C5) and allophycocyanin-conjugated anti-CD11b (M1/70) Abs. Dead cells were stained with propidium iodide and excluded in all FACS plots. Stained cells were acquired by using CyAN (DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) and analyzed using the FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR). The absolute number of positive cells was calculated by multiplying the total number of cells with the percentages of positive cells. Mean fluorescence intensities (MFIs) were calculated by using the FlowJo software. The percentage of reduction was

calculated by the following formula: percent of reduction = [MFI (control) – MFI (inducible knockout)]/MFI (control) $\times$ 100%.

Regular GMPs (Fc ϵ RI α ⁺ GMPs) and pre-BMPs (Fc ϵ RI α ⁺ GMPs) were stained and FACS-sorted according to the published protocol (12). In brief, regular GMPs were FACS-sorted as Lin[–]IL-7R α [–]Sca-1[–]c-kit⁺CD34⁺Fc γ RII/III^{hi}Fc ϵ RI α ⁺ cells. Pre-BMPs were FACS-sorted as Lin[–]IL-7R α [–]Sca-1[–]c-kit⁺CD34⁺Fc γ RII/III^{hi}Fc ϵ RI α ⁺ cells. The stained cells were FACS-sorted using a MoFlo machine (DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark). All Abs used for FACS analysis and sorting were purchased from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA) or eBioscience (San Diego, CA).

In vitro gene deletion

The floxed genes in the cultured cells were deleted by the 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4HT; Calbiochem, Billerica, MA) treatment using a concentration of 25 nM. For the methylcellulose culture, 4HT was included in the culture for 9 d without washing (the 25-nM concentration was determined to generate maximum floxed gene deletion with the least amount of toxicity). For the liquid cultures, 4HT was washed after 3 d of treatment. The washed cells were cultured under the original conditions without 4HT until they were subjected to analysis. The deletion of the floxed *Gata2* gene in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ basophils or YFP⁺ mast cells was determined to be near 100%. The deletion of the floxed *Stat5a/b* genes in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ basophils or YFP⁺ bone marrow-derived mast cells (BMMCs) was also highly effective (12).

In vitro differentiation of progenitors

To analyze the differentiation of pre-BMPs into basophils and mast cells, we FACS-sorted pre-BMPs from *Gata2*^{+/+} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice and *Gata2*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice. The sorted pre-BMPs were seeded in a 35-mm Nunc dish (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rochester, NY) at a density of 1000 cells/dish in 1 ml of 1% methylcellulose containing complete Iscove's modification of DMEM (IDMEM) supplemented with 50 μ M 2-ME (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 20 ng/ml IL-3, and 25 nM 4HT for 9 d (8, 22). Cells were then collected and analyzed by FACS.

BMMC culture

BMMCs were prepared by culturing bone marrow cells from *Gata2*^{+/+} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice, *Gata2*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice, *Stat5a/b*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/CreERT2} mice, or *Stat5a/b*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/CreERT2} mice in complete IDMEM supplemented with 50 μ M 2-ME and 20 ng/ml IL-3 for 4 wk (23). Greater than 99% of BMMCs were mast cells (Fc ϵ RI α ⁺ c-Kit⁺) as determined by FACS analysis.

Basophil culture

To obtain committed basophils, we mixed together IL-3 (10 μ g) and anti-IL-3 Ab (5 μ g; MP2-8F8; BD Pharmingen) at room temperature for 1 min according to published methods (24). IL-3 and anti-IL-3 Ab complex (IL-3C) was i.p. injected into *Gata2*^{+/+} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice, *Gata2*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice, *Stat5a/b*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/CreERT2} mice, or *Stat5a/b*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/CreERT2} mice 3 d before bone marrow harvest. Bone marrow cells from the treated mice were cultured in complete IDMEM containing 50 μ M 2-ME and 20 ng/ml IL-3 for an additional 3 d. CD34 expression on the cultured basophils was no longer detectable and these cells expressed lineage markers Gr-1 and CD11b, indicating that they exhibited a mature phenotype.

In vivo treatment of mice

Gata2^{+/+} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice, *Gata2*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice, or *Gata2*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreERT2*^{hemi} mice were injected i.p. with 100 μ l tamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich) mixed with sunflower seed oil (2 mg/100 μ l; Spectrum Chemical, Gardena, CA) once daily for 5 consecutive days. Two weeks after the last injection, cells and tissues from the treated mice were collected and subjected to FACS and histological analysis.

Retroviral infection

Full-length *Stat5a* and *Gata2* cDNAs were cloned into the retroviral expression vector MSCV2.2-Ires-Thy1a through BglII and NotI sites. The retroviral particles were prepared as described previously (25). For retroviral infection, bone marrow cells from the control (*Stat5a/b*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/CreERT2}, *Stat5a/b*^{fl/+}) mice and inducible *Stat5* knockout (*Stat5a/b*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/CreERT2}, *Stat5a/b*^{fl/fl}) mice were stimulated with stem cell factor (50 ng/ml), IL-6 (50 ng/ml), and IL-3 (20 ng/ml) overnight. The stimulated cells (2×10^6) were centrifuged at 1800 rpm for 90 min at room temperature with 1 ml viral supernatant containing recombinant retrovirus containing the *Stat5a*,

Gata2, or *Thy1a* (CTRL) genes in the presence of polybrene (8 μ g/ml; Millipore, Billerica, MA). Infected cells were cultured in complete IDMEM with 20 ng/ml IL-3 and treated with 25 nM 4HT for 3 d to delete the *Stat5a/b* gene. Ten days after the initial treatment of 4HT, cells were collected and analyzed by FACS. Infected cells were identified by the expression of Thy1.1 using allophycocyanin-labeled anti-Thy1.1 Ab (clone HIS51; eBioscience).

Quantitative PCR and ELISA

Total RNA from FACS-sorted GMPs and pre-BMPs, basophils, or BMMCs was isolated with an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to manufacturer's instructions. For cytokine gene mRNA analysis, the cells were stimulated with PMA (50 ng/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) and ionomycin (1 μ M; Sigma-Aldrich) for 6 h or IgE cross-linking (1 μ g/ml IgE [clone D8406; Sigma-Aldrich] and 1 μ g/ml anti-IgE Ab [clone R35-72; BD Pharmingen]) overnight; then total RNA was prepared from the treated cells. cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed in an ABI PRISM 7700 Sequence Detection System. Primer sequences are listed in Supplemental Table I. Relative mRNA amounts were calculated as follows: Relative mRNA amount = $2^{-[\text{Ct}(\text{Sample}) - \text{Ct}(\text{Hprt})]}$. Percentage of reduction was calculated using the following formula: Percentage of reduction = $[\text{relative amount}_{(\text{control})} - \text{relative amount}_{(\text{inducible knockout})}] / \text{relative amount}_{(\text{control})} \times 100\%$.

For ELISA analysis, basophils or BMMCs were stimulated with PMA (50 ng/ml) and ionomycin (1 μ M) for 6 h or IgE cross-linking (1 μ g/ml IgE and 1 μ g/ml anti-IgE Ab) overnight. IL-4 and IL-13 protein in the supernatants was measured by ELISA (BD Pharmingen).

Measurement of histamine content and release in basophils and mast cells

For histamine content, basophils or BMMCs were frozen and thawed three times. Histamine in the cell lysates was measured by using a histamine enzyme immunoassay kit (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA) following the manufacturer's instructions. For histamine release, basophils or BMMCs were stimulated with PMA (50 ng/ml) and ionomycin (1 μ M) for 6 h or IgE cross-linking (1 μ g/ml IgE and 1 μ g/ml anti-IgE Ab) overnight. Histamine in the supernatants was measured by using the histamine enzyme immunoassay kit following the manufacturer's instructions.

Histology

Ear sections from the tamoxifen-treated mice were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with toluidine blue. Histological images were captured on a Nikon E800 microscope (Nikon, Melville, NY).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

Anti-STAT5 Ab was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA). Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments were performed using an EpiTect Chip One-Day Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) as described previously (12). The quantity of DNA precipitated by anti-STAT5 Ab was calculated as fold of enrichment using the following formula: Fold enrichment = $2^{[\Delta\text{Ct}(\text{IgG}) - \Delta\text{Ct}(\text{IP})]}$, where $\Delta\text{Ct}(\text{IgG}) = \text{Ct}_{(\text{IgG})} - [\text{Ct}_{(\text{input})} - \text{Log}_2(\text{input dilution factor})]$, $\Delta\text{Ct}(\text{IP}) = \text{Ct}_{(\text{IP})} - [\text{Ct}_{(\text{input})} - \text{Log}_2(\text{input dilution factor})]$ (12).

Statistical analysis

All of the error bars in this report represent the SD. For ELISA and qPCR analyses, mean $\pm$ SD was derived from triplicate measurements. Pooled data are indicated in the figure legends. The difference between two samples was analyzed with Student *t* test.

Results

GATA2 is essential for the differentiation of pre-BMPs into basophils and mast cells

To analyze the role of GATA2 in the differentiation of pre-BMPs into basophils and mast cells, we first examined *Gata2* mRNA expression in the FACS-sorted pre-BMPs and found that the levels of *Gata2* mRNA in the pre-BMPs were 60-fold higher than those in regular GMPs (*FcεR1α*[−] GMPs), albeit that expression was still lower compared with that detected in basophils or mast cells (Fig. 1A). We then tested whether GATA2 was necessary for the differentiation of pre-BMPs into basophils and mast cells. We took advantage of the inducible gene deletion system, in which mice

with a floxed *Gata2* gene were crossed to mice with an inducible Cre enzyme and to mice with a YFP Cre activity reporter (*Gata2*^{fl/yf} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreErt2*^{hemi} mice). We refer to these mice as the inducible *Gata2* knockout mice and to *Gata2*^{+/+} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreErt2*^{hemi} mice as the control mice hereafter. We deleted the *Gata2* gene in the FACS-sorted pre-BMPs of the inducible *Gata2* knockout mice with 4HT. As a control, we treated the FACS-sorted pre-BMPs of the control mice with 4HT. YFP⁺ pre-BMPs of the inducible *Gata2* knockout mice (*Gata2*^{−/−}) failed to differentiate into basophils and mast cells but differentiated into neutrophils and macrophages normally, whereas YFP⁺ pre-BMPs of the control mice (*Gata2*^{+/+}) differentiated into basophils and mast cells (throughout this report, YFP⁺ cells represent YFP⁺ propidium iodide[−] live cells at the time of analysis; Fig. 1B). These results indicate that GATA2 plays an essential role in the differentiation of pre-BMPs into basophils and mast cells.

GATA2 haploinsufficiency is observed for mast cell differentiation, but not for basophil differentiation

Gata2 haploinsufficiency (one copy of a gene is not sufficient to carry out the gene's biological functions) has been reported in both mice and humans. In mice, *Gata2* haploinsufficiency leads to reduced production and expansion of HSCs in the aorta-gonad-mesonephros region (14). In humans, *Gata2* haploinsufficiency results in immune deficiency (26). Although *FcεR1α*[−] GMPs failed to differentiate into basophils, these progenitors differentiated into mast cells (data not shown), suggesting that there might exist multiple mast cell progenitors (27). In this study, we wished to examine whether there is a *Gata2* haploinsufficiency for basophil and mast cell development using experimental systems that assess basophil and mast cell developmental potential of all possible BMCPs. In vitro, we used semisolid 1% methylcellulose culture conditions, in which only progenitors can grow colonies, to assess collectively the capacity of mixed bone marrow BMCPs, including basophil lineage-restricted progenitors (BaPs), MCPs, uncharacterized mast cell progenitors, and pre-BMPs, to differentiate into basophils or mast cells. Whole bone marrow cells of homozygous or heterozygous inducible *Gata2* knockout mice, or control mice were cultured in 1% methylcellulose-containing medium with 4HT. We found that *Gata2*^{+/−} BMCPs differentiated into basophils, but not mast cells, whereas *Gata2*^{+/−} myeloid progenitors differentiated into neutrophils and macrophages comparable with *Gata2*^{+/+} myeloid progenitors (Fig. 2A). To verify this finding in vivo, we treated heterozygous inducible *Gata2* knockout mice with tamoxifen (one copy of the *Gata2* gene was deleted in all cells). Directly ex vivo analysis of cells prepared from the treated mice revealed that *Gata2* haploinsufficiency was indeed detected in the differentiation of mast cells, but not in basophils. We did not observe a *Gata2* haploinsufficiency in the differentiation of other cell lineages with the exception of DCs (Fig. 2B and 2C). Histological analysis showed that the number of mast cells in the ears of heterozygous inducible *Gata2* knockout mice treated with tamoxifen was reduced greatly to a level similar to that of tamoxifen-treated homozygous mice (Fig. 2D). Together, these data demonstrate a *Gata2* haploinsufficiency in mast cell differentiation.

GATA2 is critical for maintaining *FcεR1α* expression on basophils and *FcεR1α* and *c-Kit* expression on mast cells

Transcription factors that regulate developmental process leading to cell lineage commitment might not always be needed for maintaining the committed molecular signatures once progenitor cells have differentiated into specific cell types. To determine whether GATA2 is required for the maintenance of basophil and




FIGURE 1. GATA2 is essential for the differentiation of pre-BMPs into both basophils and mast cells. **(A)** Regular GMPs (FcεRIα⁻ GMPs) and pre-BMPs were FACS-sorted from bone marrow cells of B6 mice. Basophils were purified from bone marrow cells of IL-3C-injected B6 mice. Mast cells were cultured from bone marrow cells of B6 mice in the presence of IL-3 for 4 wk. *Gata2* mRNA expression in GMPs, pre-BMPs, basophils, and mast cells was measured by qPCR (mean ± SD, triplicates). Data represent two independent experiments with similar results. **(B)** The FACS-sorted pre-BMPs from *Gata2*^{fl/fl} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreErt2*^{hemi} (*Gata2*^{fl/fl}) and *Gata2*^{+/+} *Rosa*^{Yfp/Yfp} *TgCreErt2*^{hemi} (*Gata2*^{+/+}) mice were cultured in 1% methylcellulose containing medium in the presence of IL-3 with 25 nM 4HT for 9 d. Then the treated cells were collected and analyzed by FACS. YFP⁺ cells are shown. Data represent two independent experiments with similar results.

mast cell identities, we deleted the *Gata2* gene after progenitors were committed to basophils or mast cells. For the basophil maintenance study, we prepared basophil-enriched bone marrow cells from the inducible *Gata2* knockout or control mice injected with IL-3C, which rapidly expanded BaPs and basophils in vivo (28, 29). The IL-3C-expanded BaPs and basophils were cultured in the presence of IL-3 for an additional 3 d to ensure that basophils were committed. We then deleted the *Gata2* gene in the committed basophils with the 4HT treatment. We first examined mRNA and protein expression of FcεRIα. We found that in the absence of the *Gata2* gene, FcεRIα protein expression on YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils began to decrease at day 5 after the initial 4HT treatment, reaching the lowest levels at day 7 after the initial 4HT treatment (Fig. 3A and upper panel of 3B). Directly ex vivo *Fcer1a* mRNA expression in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils decreased even more dramatically when compared with FcεRIα protein expression on YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils at day 5 after the initial 4HT treatment (Fig. 3A and lower panel of Fig. 3B). The number of YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils in the culture was significantly reduced compared with that of YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} basophils, indicating a pivotal role of GATA2 in basophil survival (Fig. 3C).

For the mast cell maintenance study, we prepared 4-wk BMMCs from the *Gata2* inducible knockout or control mice and used them as committed mast cells (although BMMCs are often considered as immature mast cells, they are committed because they cannot differentiate into other cell lineages even under culture conditions that are appropriate for other cell lineage differentiation [data not shown]). We found that in the absence of the *Gata2* gene, FcεRIα and c-Kit protein expression on YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells (FcεRIα⁺ and c-Kit⁺) decreased beginning at day 3 (Fig. 3D and upper panel of 3E). At day 7 after the initial 4HT treatment, one portion of mast cells completely lost c-Kit expression, whereas a smaller percentage of mast cells completely lost FcεRIα expression. By day 11, in addition to greater percentages of mast cells that lost either c-Kit or FcεRIα expression, significant percentages of mast cells lost both c-Kit and FcεRIα expression (Fig. 3D). Although *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells that have lost FcεRIα, c-Kit, or both expressions no longer met the phenotypical definition of mast cells, they could still maintain mast cell molecular signatures. In contrast, *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells that expressed c-Kit and FcεRIα expression could still lose mast cell molecular signatures. Thus, we analyzed YFP⁺ FcεRIα^{+/+} and/or c-Kit^{+/+} cells collectively and refer to these cells to as “*Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells”

hereafter. MFIs of c-Kit and FcεRIα expression on YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells reached the lowest level at day 11 after the initial 4HT treatment (Fig. 3E, upper panel). *Fcer1a* and *Kit* mRNA expression in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells at day 11 after the initial 4HT treatment were reduced significantly (Fig. 3E, lower panel). In regard to GATA2 dosage requirement for maintaining FcεRIα and c-Kit expression, committed mast cells, unlike differentiating mast cells, did not exhibit a *Gata2* haploinsufficiency (Supplemental Fig. 1).

Interestingly, in contrast with its role in basophil survival, GATA2 did not appear to affect mast cell survival. Although mast cells lost much of FcεRIα and c-Kit protein expression in the absence of the *Gata2* gene, the number of YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells at day 11 after the initial 4HT treatment was comparable with that of YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells (Fig. 3F), suggesting that GATA2 is not a survival factor for mast cells.

GATA2 is crucial for maintaining the expression of genes that are important in performing basophil or mast cell functions and in histamine synthesis

We analyzed further whether deletion of the *Gata2* gene affects the expression of genes known to carry out basophil or mast cell functions, which could be expressed in both basophils and mast cells, genes that we previously identified as those that are highly expressed in basophils but not in mast cells, or genes that are highly expressed in mast cells but not in basophils (12). Genes that are highly expressed in basophils but not in mast cells include genes encoding protease (*Mcpt8*), chemokine (*Ccl3*), and receptors (*Itgam*, *Itga2*, and *Il3ra*). Genes that are highly expressed in mast cells but not in basophils include those that encode proteases (*Mcpt1*, *Mcpt2*, *Mcpt5*, *Mcpt6*, *Tpsg1*, and *Tph1*) and receptors (*Cd96*, *Cd103*, and *Il4ra*). Genes that are important in performing basophil and/or mast cell functions included those encoding cytokines (*Il4*, *Il6*, *Il13*, and *Tslp*) and a gene encoding for an enzyme required for histamine synthesis. As a control, we included *Cd63* and *Alox5*, which are commonly expressed in both basophils and mast cells. For cytokine gene expression analysis, we analyzed *Il4* gene expression in basophils and *Il13* gene expression in mast cells because the cytokines encoded by these two genes perform major basophil and mast cell functions (30, 31). We activated basophils and mast cells with IgE cross-linking to determine the effect of reduced FcεRIα expression on cytokine gene expression (to assess the indirect effects of *Gata2* gene deletion on cytokine expression) and with PKC stimulator PMA and Ca²⁺




FIGURE 2. GATA2 haploid insufficiency is observed for mast cell differentiation, but not for basophil differentiation. **(A)** Bone marrow cells (not FACS-sorted) of *Gata2*^{+/+}, *Gata2*^{f/+}, or *Gata2*^{f/f} mice were cultured in methylcellulose containing medium in the presence of IL-3 and 25 nM 4HT for 9 d. YFP⁺ cells are shown in the FACS plots. **(B)** FACS analysis of cells from the tamoxifen-treated mice. YFP⁺ cells are shown. T cells, B cells, and DCs were prepared from spleen; basophils (Ba), neutrophils (Neu), and macrophages (MΦ) from bone marrow cells, and mast cells (MC) from peritoneal cavity of the treated mice. Data represent two independent experiments with similar results. **(C)** Total numbers of YFP⁺ cells (mean ± SD, n = 6). **(D)** Toluidine blue staining of ear sections (original magnification ×40; inset, ×100). Mast cells are indicated by arrows. Right panel shows the average number of mast cells in 10 different fields (original magnification ×40) randomly selected from the sections of ears (mean ± SD, n = 3). The percentages indicate the percentages of reduction in mast cell numbers in the ear sections.

influx stimulator ionomycin (activate basophils and mast cells bypassing FcεRI) to assess more directly the effects of GATA2 on cytokine gene expression. Fig. 4A (upper panel) shows that *Il4* mRNA and IL-4 protein expression were greatly diminished in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils stimulated either with PMA and ionomycin or with IgE cross-linking. Similarly, *Il13*

mRNA and IL-13 protein expression in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells were nearly abolished (Fig. 4A, lower panel). Cytokine gene expression in WT or *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils and mast cells was low without stimulation. These results demonstrate that GATA2 plays a critical role in maintaining the expression of the *Il4* gene in basophils and the *Il13* gene in mast cells.




FIGURE 3. GATA2 is critical for maintaining FcεRIα expression on basophils and FcεRIα and c-Kit expression on mast cells. **(A)** Bone marrow cells from IL-3C-injected *Gata2*^{+/+} or *Gata2*^{fl/fl} mice were cultured with IL-3 for 3 d. Then the cells were treated with 25 nM 4HT. Three, 5, and 7 d after the initial 4HT treatment, the cells were analyzed by FACS. **(B, upper panel)** MFIs of FcεRIα expression on YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} or *Gata2*^{fl/fl} basophils (mean ± SD, *n* = 6). **Lower panel** shows qPCR analysis of *Fcer1a* mRNA expression in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} or *Gata2*^{fl/fl} basophils at 5 d after the initial 4HT treatment (mean ± SD, triplicates). Data represent three independent experiments with similar results. **(C)** Total numbers of YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} or *Gata2*^{fl/fl} basophils (mean ± SD, *n* = 6). **(D)** FACS analysis of 4-wk BMMCs treated with 25 nM 4HT. **(E, upper panel)** MFIs of FcεRIα and c-Kit expression on YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells (FcεRIα⁺ c-Kit⁺) or *Gata2*^{fl/fl} mast cells (including FcεRIα⁺ and c-Kit⁺ cells; mean ± SD, *n* = 4). **Lower panel** shows qPCR analysis of *Fcer1a* and *c-Kit* mRNA expression in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells or *Gata2*^{fl/fl} mast cells at 11 d after the initial 4HT treatment (mean ± SD, triplicates). Data represent three independent experiments with similar results. **(F)** Total numbers of YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells or *Gata2*^{fl/fl} mast cells (mean ± SD, *n* = 4). YFP⁺ cells are shown (A and D). The percentages of reduction are indicated (B and E).

In addition to de novo cytokine synthesis, basophils and mast cells synthesize histamine and store the synthesized histamine in preformed granules. Activated basophils and mast cells then secrete the preformed granules through a cellular process known as degranulation. Histamine is synthesized from the decarboxylation of the amino acid histidine, a reaction catalyzed by the enzyme called histidine decarboxylase (32). Mice deficient in the histidine decarboxylase gene (*Hdc*) fail to synthesize histamine. IgE-

mediated anaphylactic reactions are absent in *Hdc*-deficient mice (33, 34). To determine whether GATA2 affects the histamine synthesis or histamine release in basophils and mast cells, we measured histamine content in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{fl/fl} basophils and *Gata2*^{fl/fl} mast cells and found that the histamine contents were greatly reduced in those cells (Fig. 4B, left two panels). Similar levels of reduction in histamine release by the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{fl/fl} basophils and *Gata2*^{fl/fl} mast cells




FIGURE 4. GATA2 is crucial for maintaining the expression of genes that are important in carrying out basophil or mast cell functions and for histamine synthesis. **(A)** qPCR and ELISA analyses of *Il4* mRNA and IL-4 protein in basophils or *Il13* mRNA and IL-13 protein in mast cells not treated or treated with 4HT. YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} or *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils at day 5 after the initial 4HT treatment and YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells or *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells at day 11 after the initial 4HT treatment were FACS-sorted and stimulated with PMA and ionomycin for 6 h or stimulated with IgE cross-linking overnight. **(B)** ELISA measurement of histamine content and qPCR analysis of *Hdc* mRNA expression in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} basophils and *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells. **(C)** qPCR analysis of mRNA expression of basophil genes in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} or *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils at day 5 after the initial 4HT treatment. Different scales were used to present data generated in the same experiment. **(D)** qPCR analysis of mRNA expression of mast cell genes in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells or *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells at day 11 after the initial 4HT treatment. The percentages of reduction are indicated in all panels. Data represent mean ± SD (triplicates) and two independent experiments with similar results.

in response to IgE cross-linking were also observed (data not shown). Consistent with a critical role of GATA2 in histamine synthesis, we showed that *Hdc* mRNA expression in FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils and *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells was greatly reduced (Fig. 4B, right two panels). These results demonstrate that GATA2 is required for histamine synthesis in basophils and mast cells.

For expression analysis of the remaining genes, the FACS-sorted basophils and *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells were not stimulated because expression of those genes generally does not require stimulation. We showed that the majority of basophil- or mast cell-specific genes (except *Ly6g* and *Tslp*) depended on GATA2 for their expression, whereas the two commonly expressed genes did not (Fig. 4C and 4D). We did not detect re-expression of the *Cebpa* gene in *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells and the *Mitf* gene in *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils. We also did not detect re-expression of cell-surface markers unique to T cells (CD3), B cells (CD19), DCs (MHC class II and CD11c), and eosinophils (CCR3 and Siglec-F) or genes that are expressed in macrophages (*Mmp12*, *Mpg-1*, and

Msr1), or neutrophils (*Ela2*, *Prtn3*, and *Lactoferrin*) in *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells, indicating that GATA2 is not a cell fate-altering factor (Supplemental Fig. 2). Taken together, our data revealed that GATA2 is a crucial transcription factor in the molecular programs that regulate gene expression necessary for maintaining basophil and mast cell identities, and for carrying out basophil and mast cell functions. Our data also demonstrate that GATA2 is imperative in basophil survival but not in mast cell survival.

STAT5 is required for maintaining FcεRIα expression on basophils and FcεRIα and c-Kit expression on mast cells

Our and other's previous studies (12, 28, 35) demonstrated that STAT5 is critical in basophil and mast cell development. However, it remains to be determined whether STAT5 is needed for the maintenance of basophil and mast cell identities. We showed that in the absence of the *Stat5a/b* gene, FcεRIα protein expression on YFP⁺ *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} basophils began to decrease at day 3 after the initial 4HT treatment, reaching the lowest levels at day 7 after the initial 4HT treatment (Fig. 5A and 5B). The number of YFP⁺




FIGURE 5. STAT5 is required for maintaining FcεRIα expression on basophils and FcεRIα and c-Kit expression on mast cells. (A) Bone marrow cells prepared from the IL-3C-injected *Stat5a/b*^{+/+} *Rosa*^{CreERT2/Yfp} (*Stat5a/b*^{+/+}) or *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} *Rosa*^{CreERT2/Yfp} (*Stat5a/b*^{-/-}) mice were cultured in the presence of IL-3 for 3 d. The resulting cells were treated with 25 nM 4HT for an additional 3 d. Three, 5, or 7 d after the initial 4HT treatment, the cells were analyzed by FACS. (B) MFIs of FcεRIα expression on YFP⁺ *Stat5a/b*^{+/+} or *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} basophils (mean ± SD, *n* = 6). (C) Total numbers of YFP⁺ *Stat5a/b*^{+/+} or *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} basophils (mean ± SD, *n* = 6). (D) FACS analysis of BMDCs treated with 4HT. (E) MFIs of FcεRIα and c-Kit expression on YFP⁺ *Stat5a/b*^{+/+} or *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} mast cells (mean ± SD, *n* = 6). (F) Total numbers of YFP⁺ *Stat5a/b*^{+/+} or *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} mast cells (mean ± SD, *n* = 6). YFP⁺ cells are shown (A and D). Percentages of reduction are indicated (B and E).

Stat5a/b^{-/-} basophils in the culture after the 4HT treatment was significantly reduced compared with that of YFP⁺ *Stat5a/b*^{+/-} basophils, indicating a pivotal role of STAT5 in basophil survival (Fig. 5C). Similarly, we found that in the absence of the *Stat5a/b* gene, FcεRIα and c-Kit expression on YFP⁺ *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} mast cells decreased beginning at day 3, reaching the lowest levels at day 11 after the initial 4HT treatment (Fig. 5D and 5E). The absence of the *Stat5a/b* gene had a less severe effect on FcεRIα and c-Kit expression than the absence of the *Gata2* gene because we did not observe a complete loss of FcεRIα and c-Kit expression on YFP⁺ *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} mast cells. The number of YFP⁺ *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} mast cells decreased at day 7 after the initial 4HT treatment, reaching the lowest numbers at day 11 after the initial 4HT treatment (Fig. 5F), suggesting that STAT5 is a survival factor for mast cells. Thus, our data demonstrate that STAT5 signaling is essential for maintaining FcεRIα expression on basophils and FcεRIα and c-Kit expression on mast cells, and for basophil and mast cell survival.

STAT5 directly regulates the Gata2 gene, and overexpression of the Gata2 gene is sufficient to direct basophil and mast cell differentiation in the absence of the Stat5 gene

Stat5 deficiency and *Gata2* deficiency resulted in the same developmental phenotype: a failure of pre-BMPs to differentiate into both basophils and mast cells. These results suggested that STAT5 and GATA2 might operate in the same pathway to regulate basophil and mast cell development. To define the relationship of STAT5 and GATA2 in basophil and mast cell differentiation, we searched the STAT5-binding sites (TTCNNGAA, N means any nucleotide) in the ±30 kb from the transcription start site of the *Gata2* gene and found three STAT5-binding sites in the *Gata2* promoter (S5b1, S5b2, and S5b3) and two STAT5-binding sites in the +2 kb intronic region of the *Gata2* gene (S5b4 and S5b5; Fig. 6A, upper panel). Using ChIP assay, we found that STAT5 was recruited into the promoter and the +2 kb intronic region of the *Gata2* gene (Fig. 6A, lower panel). Overexpression of the *Gata2* gene in the *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} bone marrow BMCPs rescued basophil and mast cell differentiation to the levels that were comparable with those driven by *Stat5a* gene overexpression (Fig. 6B). We also noticed that the *Stat5a* virus-infected or *Gata2* virus-infected *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} or *Stat5a/b*^{+/-} bone marrow progenitors consistently differentiated into higher percentages of basophils and mast cells than the control bone marrow progenitors with vector virus transduction, presumably because of higher than WT levels of STAT5A and GATA2 protein expression in the infected progenitors. Compared with STAT5A, GATA2, when overexpressed, appeared to possess a higher capacity to drive mast cell differentiation (Fig. 6B). These results demonstrate that the *Gata2* gene is a direct STAT5 target gene in the signaling pathway that drives the differentiation of bone marrow BMCPs into basophils and mast cells.

Discussion

In this study, we examined the role of GATA2 in the differentiation of pre-BMPs into basophils and mast cells. GATA2 has been shown to be important for mast cell differentiation (17). Enforced expression of GATA2 together with PU.1 drives the differentiation of mast cells from myeloid progenitors (36). GATA2 has also been implicated to play a role in basophil development. Akashi and colleagues (18) showed that the order of GATA2 and C/EBPα expression is a deciding factor in driving GMPs into basophils. However, the previous approaches of deleting the *Gata2* gene in the germ cells or overexpressing the *Gata2* gene in GMPs failed to determine the precise role of GATA2 in basophil and mast cell development. Because GATA2 exerts its functions at the multiple




FIGURE 6. STAT5 directly regulates the *Gata2* gene, and overexpression of the *Gata2* gene is sufficient to direct basophil and mast cell differentiation in the absence of the *Stat5* gene. (A) STAT5-binding sites (S5bs1–5, upper panel) and ChIP analysis of STAT5 binding to the *Gata2* promoter and downstream region (lower panel). BMCPs without stimulation were used for the ChIP analysis. (B) Bone marrow cells of *Stat5a/b*^{+/-} and *Stat5a/b*^{-/-} mice were infected with retrovirus containing *Stat5a*, *Gata2*, or *Thy1a* (CTRL) gene. Twenty-four hours postinfection, the infected cells were treated with 25 nM 4HT for 3 d. Ten days after the initial 4HT treatment, the cells were analyzed by FACS. YFP⁺ Thy1.1⁺ cell populations are shown. Data represent two independent experiments with similar results.

developmental stages of hematopoiesis, it is pivotal to analyze the precise role of GATA2 in the defined progenitors of basophils and mast cells. We used an approach combining prospective FACS sorting with an inducible gene deletion system to analyze the precise role of GATA2 in the differentiation of pre-BMPs into basophils and mast cells. Our analyses demonstrate that GATA2 is required for the differentiation of pre-BMPs into basophils and mast cells.

We document a *Gata2* haploinsufficiency for mast cell differentiation, but not for basophil differentiation in vitro and in vivo. *Gata2* haploinsufficiency has been reported for production and expansion of HSCs in the aorta-gonad-mesonephros region during embryonic development (14). In adult *Gata2*^{+/-} mice, hematopoiesis appears to be normal. Only when a more robust test known as serial or competitive transplantation assay is used are defects in HSC self-renewal (14) and GMP functions in *Gata2*^{+/-} mice (16) revealed. Our in vitro results that *Gata2*^{+/-} BMCPs failed to differentiate into mast cells prompted us to further examine whether there is a *Gata2* haploinsufficiency for mast cells in vivo. We demonstrate a selective *Gata2* haploinsufficiency in mast cell differentiation. However, it is still unknown why a full dose of

GATA2 is required for mast cell differentiation but not for basophil development or mast cell maintenance. It is likely that GATA2 inefficiently transcribes genes whose protein products are required for the mast cell progenitors to pass mast cell developmental checkpoint(s). Once mast cell progenitors pass the checkpoints, one copy of the *Gata2* gene is sufficient to maintain the expression of those important developmental genes. Alternatively, GATA2 downstream genes that maintain the mast cell identities differ from those that direct mast cell differentiation. Thus, the mast cell molecular program that directs mast cell differentiation and the mast cell molecular program that maintains mast cell identities require a different dose of the *Gata2* gene. Identification of GATA2 target genes that are important in mast cell differentiation and maintenance is needed to test these possibilities.

If a gene is required for basophil or mast cell differentiation, then deletion of the gene will result in loss of basophils or mast cells, making it difficult to study gene functions in these cells. For example, STAT5 (35), GATA1 (37), GATA2 (17), and MITF (38, 39) have each been demonstrated to be critical for mast cell differentiation, whereas STAT5 (28), Runx1 (11), GATA2, and C/EBP α (12, 18) have been shown as crucial transcription factors for basophil differentiation. Deletion of these transcription factors all resulted in loss or abnormal development of basophils and mast cells. Our approach has overcome this problem. We developed an experimental system, in which the *Gata2* gene was deleted after basophils and mast cells become committed, to examine the precise roles of GATA2 in maintaining the expression of a wide range of genes that are important in carrying out basophil or mast cell functions, including genes encoding Fc ϵ RI α , c-Kit, and cytokines, genes that are expressed highly in basophils or mast cells, and genes that are commonly expressed in basophils and mast cells. We found that the effects of GATA2 on those gene expressions were broadly based. We demonstrate that GATA2 was required for maintaining *Fcer1a* mRNA and Fc ϵ RI α protein expression on basophils, as well as for maintaining *Fcer1a* mRNA, Fc ϵ RI α protein expression, *Kit* mRNA, and c-Kit protein expression on mast cells. We showed that GATA2 was also required for histamine synthesis by upregulating *Hdc* gene expression. Our data suggest that GATA2 regulates *Il4* mRNA expression in basophils and *Il13* mRNA expression in mast cells through both indirect and direct mechanisms. In the indirect mechanism, GATA2 regulates *Il4* and *Il13* gene transcription by regulating *Fcer1a* mRNA expression or critical signaling proteins. However, the reduced Fc ϵ RI α protein expression caused by the lack of the *Gata2* gene could not fully account for the reduction in *Il4* and *Il13* mRNA expression in *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils and *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells when those cells were activated by PMA and ionomycin, which bypass the Fc ϵ RI receptor stimulation to induce *Il4* and *Il13* gene transcription. We propose that GATA2 might regulate *Il4* and *Il13* gene transcription by either binding to the *Il4* and *Il13* regulatory regions or by inducing transcription factors that bind to *Il4* and *Il13* regulatory regions.

Unlike the *Cebpa* or *Mitf* genes, deletion of which resulted in re-expression of *Mitf* mRNA in *Cebpa*^{-/-} basophils and re-expression of *Cebpa* mRNA in *Mitf* mutant mast cells, and thus resulted in a basophil–mast cell fate conversion (12), deletion of the *Gata2* gene did not lead to re-expression of *Mitf* mRNA in *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils nor re-expression of *Cebpa* mRNA in *Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells (Supplemental Fig. 2A), and thus did not lead to a basophil–mast cell fate conversion. We also did not detect re-expression of genes that are important for T cell, B cell, DC, eosinophil, neutrophil, or macrophage molecular programming. Moreover, deletion of the *Gata2* gene in mast cells does not ap-

pear to affect mast cell survival. We found a significant number of live *Gata2*^{-/-} cells that had lost Fc ϵ RI α and c-Kit expression at the end of culture. The identities of those *Gata2*^{-/-} cells remain unknown, and further extensive analysis of gene expression profiles will be required.

Our experimental data also make a STAT5–GATA2 connection. Previous work has established that STAT5 plays critical roles in basophil and mast cell development (28, 35). Our work advances the understanding of STAT5 downstream transcription factor that exerts the STAT5-mediated biological functions. We demonstrate that STAT5 binds to the promoter and an intronic regulatory region of the *Gata2* gene, and overexpression of the *Gata2* gene is sufficient to direct basophil and mast cell differentiation in the absence of the *Stat5* gene. The finding that STAT5 was required for mast cell survival is consistent with a published work that STAT5 is required for mast cell survival by maintaining the expression of prosurvival molecules, such as Bcl-2 and Bcl-x(L) (35). Together, our analyses of the roles of GATA2 using an improved approach reveal a novel STAT5–GATA2 pathway in the differentiation and maintenance of basophils and mast cells.

Acknowledgments

We thank D. Tracy for animal husbandry, S. Sobus and J. Looms for FACS analysis and cell sorting, and H. Chu for assisting with microscopy.

Disclosures

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

References


- Stone, K. D., C. Prussin, and D. D. Metcalfe. 2010. IgE, mast cells, basophils, and eosinophils. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 125(2Suppl. 2): S73–S80.
- Galli, S. J., J. Kalesnikoff, M. A. Grimbaldston, A. M. Piliponsky, C. M. Williams, and M. Tsai. 2005. Mast cells as “tunable” effector and immunoregulatory cells: recent advances. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 23: 749–786.
- Siracusa, M. C., B. S. Kim, J. M. Spergel, and D. Artis. 2013. Basophils and allergic inflammation. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 132: 789–801, quiz 788.
- Karasuyama, H., K. Mukai, K. Obata, Y. Tsujimura, and T. Wada. 2011. Non-redundant roles of basophils in immunity. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 29: 45–69.
- Kim, H. Y., R. H. DeKruyff, and D. T. Umetsu. 2010. The many paths to asthma: phenotype shaped by innate and adaptive immunity. *Nat. Immunol.* 11: 577–584.
- Voehringer, D. 2013. Protective and pathological roles of mast cells and basophils. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 13: 362–375.
- Wedemeyer, J., M. Tsai, and S. J. Galli. 2000. Roles of mast cells and basophils in innate and acquired immunity. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 12: 624–631.
- Chen, C. C., M. A. Grimbaldston, M. Tsai, I. L. Weissman, and S. J. Galli. 2005. Identification of mast cell progenitors in adult mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102: 11408–11413.
- Franco, C. B., C. C. Chen, M. Drukker, I. L. Weissman, and S. J. Galli. 2010. Distinguishing mast cell and granulocyte differentiation at the single-cell level. *Cell Stem Cell* 6: 361–368.
- Arinobu, Y., H. Iwasaki, M. F. Gurish, S. Mizuno, H. Shigematsu, H. Ozawa, D. G. Tenen, K. F. Austen, and K. Akashi. 2005. Developmental checkpoints of the basophil/mast cell lineages in adult murine hematopoiesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102: 18105–18110.
- Mukai, K., M. J. BenBarak, M. Tachibana, K. Nishida, H. Karasuyama, I. Taniuchi, and S. J. Galli. 2012. Critical role of P1-Runx1 in mouse basophil development. *Blood* 120: 76–85.
- Qi, X., J. Hong, L. Chaves, Y. Zhuang, Y. Chen, D. Wang, J. Chabon, B. Graham, K. Ohmori, Y. Li, and H. Huang. 2013. Antagonistic regulation by the transcription factors C/EBP α and MITF specifies basophil and mast cell fates. *Immunity* 39: 97–110.
- Ohnmacht, C., and D. Voehringer. 2009. Basophil effector function and homeostasis during helminth infection. *Blood* 113: 2816–2825.
- Ling, K. W., K. Ottersbach, J. P. van Hamburg, A. Oziemlak, F. Y. Tsai, S. H. Orkin, R. Ploemacher, R. W. Hendriks, and E. Dzierzak. 2004. GATA-2 plays two functionally distinct roles during the ontogeny of hematopoietic stem cells. *J. Exp. Med.* 200: 871–882.
- Lim, K. C., T. Hosoya, W. Brandt, C. J. Ku, S. Hosoya-Ohmura, S. A. Camper, M. Yamamoto, and J. D. Engel. 2012. Conditional Gata2 inactivation results in HSC loss and lymphatic mispatterning. *J. Clin. Invest.* 122: 3705–3717.
- Rodrigues, N. P., A. S. Boyd, C. Fugazza, G. E. May, Y. Guo, A. J. Tipping, D. T. Scadden, P. Vyas, and T. Enver. 2008. GATA-2 regulates granulocyte-macrophage progenitor cell function. *Blood* 112: 4862–4873.

17. Tsai, F. Y., and S. H. Orkin. 1997. Transcription factor GATA-2 is required for proliferation/survival of early hematopoietic cells and mast cell formation, but not for erythroid and myeloid terminal differentiation. *Blood* 89: 3636–3643.
18. Iwasaki, H., S. Mizuno, Y. Arinobu, H. Ozawa, Y. Mori, H. Shigematsu, K. Takatsu, D. G. Tenen, and K. Akashi. 2006. The order of expression of transcription factors directs hierarchical specification of hematopoietic lineages. *Genes Dev.* 20: 3010–3021.
19. Charles, M. A., T. L. Saunders, W. M. Wood, K. Owens, A. F. Parlow, S. A. Camper, E. C. Ridgway, and D. F. Gordon. 2006. Pituitary-specific Gata2 knockout: effects on gonadotrope and thyrotrope function. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 20: 1366–1377.
20. Srinivas, S., and A. P. McMahon. 2002. Efficient recombination in diverse tissues by a tamoxifen-inducible form of Cre: a tool for temporally regulated gene activation/inactivation in the mouse. *Dev. Biol.* 244: 305–318.
21. Hayashi, S., and A. P. McMahon. 2002. Efficient recombination in diverse tissues by a tamoxifen-inducible form of Cre: a tool for temporally regulated gene activation/inactivation in the mouse. *Dev. Biol.* 244: 305–318.
22. Zhu, Y., L. Chen, Z. Huang, S. Alkan, K. D. Bunting, R. Wen, D. Wang, and H. Huang. 2004. Cutting edge: IL-5 primes Th2 cytokine-producing capacity in eosinophils through a STAT5-dependent mechanism. *J. Immunol.* 173: 2918–2922.
23. Razin, E., J. N. Ihle, D. Seldin, J. M. Mencia-Huerta, H. R. Katz, P. A. LeBlanc, A. Hein, J. P. Caulfield, K. F. Austen, and R. L. Stevens. 1984. Interleukin 3: A differentiation and growth factor for the mouse mast cell that contains chondroitin sulfate E proteoglycan. *J. Immunol.* 132: 1479–1486.
24. Finkelman, F. D., K. B. Madden, S. C. Morris, J. M. Holmes, N. Boiani, I. M. Katona, and C. R. Maliszewski. 1993. Anti-cytokine antibodies as carrier proteins. Prolongation of in vivo effects of exogenous cytokines by injection of cytokine-anti-cytokine antibody complexes. *J. Immunol.* 151: 1235–1244.
25. Zhuang, Y., Z. Huang, J. Nishida, M. Brown, L. Zhang, and H. Huang. 2009. A continuous T-bet expression is required to silence the interleukin-4-producing potential in T helper type 1 cells. *Immunology* 128: 34–42.
26. Ostergaard, P., M. A. Simpson, F. C. Connell, C. G. Steward, G. Brice, W. J. Woollard, D. Dafou, T. Kilo, S. Smithson, P. Lunt, et al. 2011. Mutations in GATA2 cause primary lymphedema associated with a predisposition to acute myeloid leukemia (Emberger syndrome). *Nat. Genet.* 43: 929–931.
27. Huang, H., and Y. Li. 2014. Mechanisms controlling mast cell and basophil lineage decisions. *Curr. Allergy Asthma Rep.* 14: 457.
28. Ohmori, K., Y. Luo, Y. Jia, J. Nishida, Z. Wang, K. D. Bunting, D. Wang, and H. Huang. 2009. IL-3 induces basophil expansion in vivo by directing granulocyte-monocyte progenitors to differentiate into basophil lineage-restricted progenitors in the bone marrow and by increasing the number of basophil/mast cell progenitors in the spleen. *J. Immunol.* 182: 2835–2841.
29. Anthony, R. M., T. Kobayashi, F. Wermeling, and J. V. Ravetch. 2011. Intravenous gammaglobulin suppresses inflammation through a novel T(H)2 pathway. *Nature* 475: 110–113.
30. Motomura, Y., H. Morita, K. Moro, S. Nakae, D. Artis, T. A. Endo, Y. Kuroki, O. Ohara, S. Koyasu, and M. Kubo. 2014. Basophil-derived interleukin-4 controls the function of natural helper cells, a member of ILC2s, in lung inflammation. *Immunity* 40: 758–771.
31. Wang, M., K. Takeda, Y. Shiraishi, M. Okamoto, A. Dakhama, A. Joetham, and E. W. Gelfand. 2010. Peanut-induced intestinal allergy is mediated through a mast cell-IgE-FcεpsilonRI-IL-13 pathway. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 126: 306–316.e1–12.
32. Ichikawa, A., Y. Sugimoto, and S. Tanaka. 2010. Molecular biology of histidine decarboxylase and prostaglandin receptors. *Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B Phys. Biol. Sci.* 86: 848–866.
33. Ohtsu, H., S. Tanaka, T. Terui, Y. Hori, Y. Makabe-Kobayashi, G. Pejler, E. Tchougounova, L. Hellman, M. Gertsenstein, N. Hirasawa, et al. 2001. Mice lacking histidine decarboxylase exhibit abnormal mast cells. *FEBS Lett.* 502: 53–56.
34. Nakazawa, S., M. Sakanaka, K. Furuta, M. Natsuhara, H. Takano, S. Tsuchiya, Y. Okuno, H. Ohtsu, M. Nishibori, R. L. Thurmond, et al. 2014. Histamine synthesis is required for granule maturation in murine mast cells. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 44: 204–214.
35. Shelburne, C. P., M. E. McCoy, R. Piekorz, V. Sexl, K. H. Roh, S. M. Jacobs-Helber, S. R. Gillespie, D. P. Bailey, P. Mirmonsef, M. N. Mann, et al. 2003. Stat5 expression is critical for mast cell development and survival. *Blood* 102: 1290–1297.
36. Walsh, J. C., R. P. DeKoter, H. J. Lee, E. D. Smith, D. W. Lancki, M. F. Gurish, D. S. Friend, R. L. Stevens, J. Anastasi, and H. Singh. 2002. Cooperative and antagonistic interplay between PU.1 and GATA-2 in the specification of myeloid cell fates. *Immunity* 17: 665–676.
37. Migliaccio, A. R., R. A. Rana, M. Sanchez, R. Lorenzini, L. Centurione, L. Bianchi, A. M. Vannucchi, G. Migliaccio, and S. H. Orkin. 2003. GATA-1 as a regulator of mast cell differentiation revealed by the phenotype of the GATA-1low mouse mutant. *J. Exp. Med.* 197: 281–296.
38. Takemoto, C. M., Y.-N. Lee, A. G. Jegga, D. Zablocki, S. Brandal, A. Shahlaee, S. Huang, Y. Ye, S. Gowrisankar, J. Huynh, and M. A. McDevitt. 2008. Mast cell transcriptional networks. *Blood Cells Mol. Dis.* 41: 82–90.
39. Kitamura, Y., E. Morii, T. Jippo, and A. Ito. 2002. Regulation of mast cell phenotype by MITF. *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* 127: 106–109.

Supplemental Table I qPCR primer sequences:


Gene	5' primer	3' primer
<i>Gata2</i>	GAATGGACAGAACCGGCC	AGGTGGTGGTTGTCGTCTGA
<i>Fcer1a</i>	CCTTTCCTGCTATGGGAACA	GTCTGAAGGAGCAGCCAATC
<i>Kit</i>	CATCCATCCAGCACAATCAG	AACACTCCAGAATCGTCAACT
<i>Il4</i>	CCTCACACTCCACACCAATG	AGCCTGGGTTTCCTTGTAGGT
<i>Il13</i>	ACAGCTCCCTGGTTCTCTCA	CGTGGCGAAACAGTTGCTTTGTG
<i>Hdc</i>	AAATGTGCAGCCTGGATACC	GAGCAGGATAGTAGGCGTGC
<i>Mcpt8</i>	CTGAAGAGGATGTTCCCTG	GTGGGGTTTGGACTCTGT
<i>Il6</i>	ACCACGGCCTTCCCTACTTC	TGCCATTGCACAACCTCTTTTC
<i>Cd63</i>	CTCTACGTTCTCCTGCTGGC	AATGATGACCACAGGCAACA
<i>Itgam</i>	AAGGATTCAGCAAGCCAGAA	TAGCAGGAAAGATGGGATGG
<i>Ccl3</i>	CCTCTGTCACCTGCTCAACA	GATGAATTGGCGTGGAATCT
<i>Alox5</i>	CACGGGGACTACATCGAGTT	GTGCTGCTTGAGGATGTGAA
<i>Itga2</i>	CCGGGTGCTACAAAAGTCAT	GTCGGCCACATTGAAAAAGT
<i>Il3ra</i>	TACCCTCGGAAGCTCAGCAG	AGAGCAGCGACTTCCTCCAC
<i>Ly6g</i>	TTGCAAAGTCCTGTGTGCTC	AGGGGCAGGTAGTTGTGTTG
<i>Tslp</i>	CTGACTGGAGATTTGAAAGGG	GTGCCATTTCCCTGAGTACCG
<i>Mcpt5</i>	TGAGAACTACCTGTGCGCCT	AAGCTTCTGCCACGTGTCTT
<i>Mcpt6</i>	GGCAGGTGAGCCTGAGATTT	TAGATACTGCTCACGAAGCTG
<i>Tph1</i>	CATCAGCCGAGAACAGTTGA	TTCGGATCCATAACACAGCA
<i>Il4ra</i>	CCTCACACTCCACACCAATG	AGCCTGGGTTTCCTTGTAGGT
<i>Mcpt2</i>	GCACTTCTTTTGCCTTCTGG	TGAGCTCCAAGGATGACACT
<i>Mcpt1</i>	GGAGCTCATGATGTGAGCAA	GACCAGGCAAGGGAATTACA
<i>Cd96</i>	CAGTCTACAACCTCATTGTGG	GAAGAGAACTTCTTCCACTCC
<i>Cd103</i>	ATCAAGACCCCAGCAACAAC	CTGCCCTTTGGCATAACAGAT
<i>Tpsg1</i>	CTGACTCTGCTGTGGGAAGA	ACACACGTGCACCTTGTGCA
<i>Hprt</i>	CTCATGGACTGATTATGGACAGGAC	GCAGGTCAGCAAACCTTATAGCC
<i>Stat5b1&2</i>	TGCAGGGACTGACCTACTCC	GAAGCCTCGTGGCAGTCTAC
<i>Stat5b3</i>	ACACAGACAGGCACAAATGG	CCCCAACGAAAGCAGATTTA
<i>Stat5b4&5</i>	CTGCTGGGGTTTAAAGCTGTC	AACTGGAGGGACCTGAATG
<i>Cebpa</i>	TGGACAAGAACAGCAACGAG	TCACTGGTCAACTCCAGCAC
<i>Mitf</i>	AACCGACAGAAGAAGCTGGA	TGATGATCCGATTCACCAGA
<i>Ela2</i>	GGCTTTGACCCATCACAACT	CGGCACATGTTAGTCACCAC
<i>Prtn3</i>	CAGCTTGTGACAGTGGTGCT	GGACAGAGTCTGGTCCTGC
<i>Lactiferrin</i>	GAGAAGATGCTGGCTTCACC	CACCAATACACAGGGCACAG
<i>Mmp12</i>	TGATGCAGCTGTCTTTGACC	TGGGAAGTGTGTGGAATCA
<i>Mpg-1</i>	AAGGTGCCTCTTGAGCGTTA	TTCTGATGTGCCTTGCTTTG
<i>Msr1</i>	CTGGACAAACTGGTCCACCT	TCCCCTTCTCTCCCTTTTGT

Supplemental FIGURE 1



Supplemental FIGURE 1. *Gata2* haploinsufficiency is not observed in maintaining FcεRIα expression on basophils and FcεRIα and c-Kit expression on mast cells. **(A)** FACS analysis of 4-week BMMCs treated with 4HT (gated on YFP⁺ cells). **(B)** MFIs of FcεRIα and c-Kit expression on YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells, *Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells or “*Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells” (mean ± SD, n=2).

Supplemental FIGURE 2



Supplemental FIGURE 2. Analysis of re-expression of lineage-specific genes or cell surface markers. **(A)** qPCR analysis of *Cebpa* and *Mitf* mRNA expression in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} or *Gata2*^{-/-} basophils at day 5 after the initial 4HT treatment or in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺*Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells or “*Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells” at day 11 after the initial 4HT treatment (mean ± SD, triplicates). **(B)** Expression of T cell, B cell, neutrophil and macrophage, dendritic cell, or eosinophil surface markers on YFP⁺*Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells or “*Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells” at day 11 after the initial 4HT treatment. **(C)** qPCR analysis of mRNA expression of neutrophil- and macrophage-specific genes in the FACS-sorted YFP⁺ *Gata2*^{+/+} mast cells or “*Gata2*^{-/-} mast cells” at day 11 after the initial 4HT treatment (mean ± SD, triplicates). Data represent two independent experiments with similar results.