

QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF ALLERGENS BY A PASSIVE TRANSFER METHOD AS DEMONSTRATED WITH FRACTIONS OF COTTONSEED ALLERGEN, CS-1A

*Joseph R. Spies, Ph.D., Harry S. Bernton, M.D., and Dorris C. Chambers, M.S.,
Washington, D. C.*

INTRODUCTION

THE isolation and properties of the principal allergen of cottonseed, CS-1A, have been described in a series of papers from this laboratory starting in 1939.¹ CS-1A was immunologically distinct from other allergens^{2, 3} and antigens⁴ in the cottonseed and was classified as a natural proteose.^{4, 5} The protein nature of CS-1A was shown by chemical properties, isolations procedures, amino acid composition,⁶ loss of activity on proteolysis,⁷ and antigenicity.⁸

In a further study of CS-1A, dialysis and ion-exchange fractionation were used.⁹ Eighteen subfractions were obtained from each of the dialysate (D series) and the endo (E series) fractions. Two fractions which represented maximum separation by these methods, (CS-13D)1A and (CS-13E)5F6, were completely free of each other as shown by an ion-exchange method of characterization and by a precipitin method.⁹

The purpose of this article is to evaluate the allergenic relationships of (CS-13D)1A, (CS-13E)5F6, and other important fractions from CS-1A and to describe a method for quantitatively comparing three properties of two allergenic fractions by the passive transfer technique. The properties are (1) the relative passive-transfer-inciting capacities, (2) the relative reagin-neutralizing capacities, and (3) cross-neutralization to determine whether or not the two fractions have the same specificity.

Walzer and Kramer,¹⁰ in 1925, first adapted the passive transfer reaction of Prausnitz and Küstner¹¹ to diagnostic purposes. Walzer,^{10, 12} Gay and Chant,¹³ Sherman and Stull,¹⁴ and other early investigators observed that allergen migrated from an injected sensitized site to uninjected sites if too many sites were injected or if challenging solutions which were too concentrated were used. More recently, Richter, Harter, Schon, and Rose,¹⁵ in studying the allergenic relationships of fractions isolated from ragweed pollen, encountered difficulty owing to migration of allergen in multiple-site testing.

From the Allergens Laboratory, Eastern Utilization Research and Development Division, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D. C.

Received for publication July 7, 1959.

They also experienced difficulty in distinguishing nonspecific reactions when repeated, direct injections into sensitized sites were used to test for reagin neutralization. Attempts to eliminate or minimize the effect of migration of allergen have been made by reading reactions within five to twenty minutes after challenge and by using quantities of allergen close to the maximal combining capacity of reagins in the sites. Quantitative determination of reagin neutralization is affected more by migration than is the determination of reaction-inciting capacity because reagin neutralization can take place with a quantity of allergen too small to produce a visible reaction in an adjacent site. Control tests containing allergen also complicate the problem of migration in quantitative tests. The quantitative measurement of migration of intracutaneously injected CS-13 in passive transfer tests will be reported in another article.

The principal objections to comparison of allergenic fractions by multiple-site testing on a recipient and challenging by injection of allergen directly into the sensitized sites are as follows: (1) the recipient is caused considerable discomfort by the many sensitizations, challenges and control injections, (2) trauma of sensitized sites by direct injection, particularly where done repeatedly, makes difficult the distinction of specific from nonspecific reactions, and (3) interference caused by migration of allergen from sites injected with quantities of allergen in excess of that specifically bound by reagins. These objections are eliminated in the method described in this article.

The magnitude of the effect of migration on quantitative determinations of allergens may vary from negligible to significant with different systems studied and with different conditions of testing. In critical research studies, however, complete elimination of any possible influence of migration is essential. In the present method, the effect of migration was eliminated by using one test site on each recipient. The effect of trauma due to direct injection of sites and the need for control tests were eliminated by challenging sites by subcutaneous injection of allergen elsewhere in the body.^{13, 14, 16-19} Feinberg and Bernstein¹⁸ attempted to eliminate these objections by sensitizing with a series of twofold serial dilutions of the serum on a single recipient and challenging these sites by injection of allergen elsewhere in the body. Challenging of sites by subcutaneous administration of allergen required elimination of the excess allergen from the system before re-use of the recipient. The time interval that injected or injected allergen remains in the system has been determined by previous investigators. Levine and Coca²⁰ demonstrated timothy pollen allergen in the blood forty-eight hours after intravenous injection of a relatively large dose of timothy pollen extract; after seven days, detection was considered positive but questionable. Tuft²¹ reported that traces of horse serum antigen were detectable in the blood seventeen days after intramuscular or intraperitoneal injection of 50 ml. of horse serum. Cohen, Ecker, and Rudolph²² detected ragweed allergen in the blood twenty-four hours after blowing the pollen into the nose, but the

allergen was not detectable in eight of ten tests forty-eight and seventy-two hours later. Walzer and Walzer²³ observed that peanut allergen was eliminated from the blood forty-eight hours after ingestion of peanuts. It appears that time of retention of allergen in the body varies and depends on the amount given and the nature and probably the molecular size of the allergen. Ninety-six hours was allowed for the elimination of cottonseed allergen after subcutaneous injection.

ABBREVIATIONS USED

The symbols “ γ ,” as used in the tables, and “meg.,” as used in the text, both refer to “microgram” (0.000,001 gram).

MATERIAL USED

CS-1A.—Cottonseed allergen, CS-1A, was isolated from depigmented, defatted cottonseed, as previously described.^{5, 6}

CS-13.—Fraction CS-13 was prepared from CS-1A by precipitation with picric acid and recovery of active fraction by removal of the picric acid as described before.⁷ Seventy-eight grams of CS-13, containing 17.0 per cent nitrogen (air-dried basis), was obtained from 235 grams of CS-1A.

Dialysis and Ion-Exchange Fractionation of CS-13.—The fractions obtained by ion-exchange fractionation of the dialysate (D series) and endo (E series) fractions of CS-13 have been described in detail.⁹ Properties of fractions pertinent to this article are given in Table I. Demonstration by chemical and immunologic methods that (CS-13D)1A and (CS-13E)5F6 were free of each other has been described.⁹

TABLE I. COMPOSITION AND PROPERTIES OF PERTINENT COTTONSEED ALLERGENIC FRACTIONS

FRACTION	NITROGEN* (PER CENT)	CARBO- HYDRATE* (PER CENT)	LOWEST CONCEN- TRATION OF FRAC- TION N GIVING PRECIPITIN REACTION† (γ /ML.)	MOLECULAR WEIGHT	REFERENCE
CS-1A	13.7‡	—			5-6
CS-13	17.0‡	—			7
(CS-13D)1A	17.5	3.1	None at 200	5 to 12 $\times 10^3$	9
(CS-13E)1A	10.4	26.2	0.7	5 to 12 $\times 10^3$	9
(CS-13E)5F6	20.1	0.45§	0.17	10 to 18 $\times 10^3$	9
CS-13D	19.4	0.6	0.35		9
CS-13E	18.6	6.1	0.35		9

*Ash-water free basis except as noted.

†Cottonseed allergen rabbit antiserum was used.⁹

‡Air-dried basis.

§Estimated from nitrogen content.²⁴

Reaginic Blood Serum.—A sufficient quantity of blood serum was collected from a cottonseed-sensitive subject (L.C.), so that all passive transfer tests were done with one lot. The serum was placed in sterile 15 ml. bottles, frozen, and

stored at -20° C. Serum was thawed and reserved at 5° C. at least one week before use. Once thawed, the serum was not refrozen since repeated freezing and thawing rapidly decreased its reagin content.

Test Subjects.—Recipients for passive transfer tests were volunteers at the District of Columbia Workhouse, Occoquan, Virginia,* who gave a negative skin test with CS-1A. Most of the recipients had a negative history of allergy. Cottonseed was eliminated from the diet, and recipients did not receive anti-histaminic drugs during the tests.

Test Solutions.—Allergen fractions were dissolved in physiologic salt solution. Stock solutions were sterilized by heating at 100° C. for twenty minutes on three successive days. Dilutions of stock solutions were prepared aseptically with physiologic salt solution.

EXPERIMENTAL METHOD FOR COMPARING THE POTENCY AND CROSS-NEUTRALIZING CAPACITY OF TWO ALLERGENIC FRACTIONS BY PASSIVE TRANSFER

General Consideration.—A reaction which gave a discernible wheal in *exactly* sixty minutes was considered positive. The longest dimension of the wheal was measured and reactions were designated as follows: $\pm$, questionable wheal; 1+, wheal up to 6 mm.; 2+, wheal from 7 to 12 mm.; 3+, wheal from 13 to 20 mm.; 4+, wheal over 20 mm.

The sensitivity of the skin varies significantly on different areas of the arms. Accordingly, sensitization was carried out in the same relative spot on opposite arms for the two phases of the test. Four pairs of sites were selected, two on the top of the biceps and two on the forearms. Designation and location of the sites were as follows: sites 1 and 2 were 87 and 37 mm., respectively, below the elbow bend on the anterior aspect of the forearm; sites 3 and 4 were 65 and 115 mm., respectively, above the elbow bend along the top of the biceps. Sites were located precisely with a celluloid T-square with 6 mm. holes appropriately spaced from the top of edge of the T-square which was laid on the outstretched arm with the elbow bend as reference point.

The interval required for elimination of CS-13 from the system after subcutaneous challenge was determined as follows: Eleven recipients were sensitized on the right arm, site 3, with 0.05 ml. of serum. Sites were challenged twenty-four hours later by subcutaneous injection of 32 mcg. of CS-13 nitrogen in the left arm. Twenty-four hours after this challenge, recipients were sensitized on the left arm, site 3, and challenged twenty-seven hours later by subcutaneous injection of 32 mcg. of CS-13 nitrogen in the right arm. Ninety-six hours after this challenge, recipients were again sensitized on the right arm, site 4, and challenged twenty-one hours later by subcutaneous injection of 32 mcg. of CS-13 nitrogen in the left arm. Readings were taken thirty and sixty minutes after each challenge. Results are shown in Table II. With the thirty-minute reading, the reactions were smaller in some

*The authors wish to express their appreciation to Donald Clemmer, Director of the Department of Corrections, and William F. Fleming, Superintendent, District of Columbia Workhouse, for their kind cooperation in permitting inmates to volunteer for these studies.

TABLE II. ELIMINATION OF CS-13 AFTER SUBCUTANEOUS INJECTION

RECIPIENT	TIME OF SENSITIZATION IN HOURS AFTER PRECEDING CHALLENGE*					
	30-MINUTE READING			60-MINUTE READING		
	ORIGINAL	24	96	ORIGINAL	24	96
B. C.	3+	3+	3+	3+	3+	4+
R. E.	2+	0	2+	2+	3+	3+
W. M.	3+	2+	3+	3+	3+	4+
M. P.	2+	0	2+	3+	3+	3+
W. B.	0	±	0	4+	3+	3+
W. W.	2+	3+	2+	3+	3+	4+
J. R.	4+	3+	3+	4+	4+	4+
J. L.	2+	±	2+	3+	2+	3+
R. C.	2+	0	3+	4+	4+	4+
F. C.	3+	0	3+	3+	3+	3+
C. T.	3+	3+	3+	3+	3+	3+

*Each challenge was made with 32 mcg. of CS-13 nitrogen administered subcutaneously.

cases with the twenty-four-hour interval after the first challenge with CS-13 than they were with the original test or with the ninety-six-hour interval test. With the sixty-minute reading, however, the reaction size was equal with the original and the twenty-four- and ninety-six-hour tests. These results showed that if allergen were retained for twenty-four hours after challenge, as indicated by the slightly lower thirty-minute readings, it was in extremely small amount.* To ensure that allergen was eliminated completely, a ninety-six-hour interval was allowed before continuing the second part of the experiment described below.

The method for comparing the passive-transfer-reaction-inciting, reagent-neutralization, and cross-neutralization capacities of two allergenic fractions is described with (CS-13D)1A and (CS-13E)5F6, as an example. Two groups (I and II) of eleven men each were used for the experiment which required eight meetings during eleven days. In order to eliminate, in so far as possible, the effects of individual variation in reactivity of recipients, both fractions were tested in equal quantities on each recipient in consecutive weeks. Data are shown in Table III, in which results of tests on both groups are shown in each column.

The method as described on a day-by-day basis follows:

First Day, Sensitization.—Each recipient in Groups I and II was sensitized in site 3 on the right arm by intracutaneous injection of 0.05 ml. of L.C. serum.

Second Day (Twenty to Twenty-Four Hours After Sensitization) Reaction-Inciting Capacities.—

Group I: One milliliter of a solution containing one of a series of twofold serial dilutions of (CS-13D)1A—0.125 to 32 mcg. of (CS-13D)1A nitrogen per milliliter—was injected subcutaneously into the outer aspect of the upper left arm of each recipient, except for the control. Results are recorded in column 4.

*Results of a similar unpublished experiment using 136 mcg. of nitrogen of the castor bean allergen, CB-1C, for challenging showed marked retention of allergen for twenty-four hours but complete elimination after ninety-six hours.

TABLE III. COMPARISON OF (CS-13D)1A AND (CS-13E)5F6 BY QUANTITATIVE PASSIVE TRANSFER ANALYSIS

RECIPIENT	GROUP NO.	NITROGEN* (γ /ML.)	(CS-13D)1A		(CS-13E)5F6	(CS-13E)5F6		(CS-13D)1A
			RESULT	RESULT† 32 γ N/ML.	RESULT† 32 γ N/ML.	RESULT	RESULT† 32 γ N/ML.	RESULT‡ 32 γ N/ML.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
M. H.	I	0.125	0	3+	3+	0	4+	0
W. B.	II		0	3+	3+	0	3+	0
F. T.	I	0.25	0	3+	2+	0	3+	0
R. B.	II		0	0	2+	0	3+	0
P. C.	I	0.50	0	0	0	0	2+	0
G. H.	II		0	0	3+	0	3+	0
R. S.	I	1.0	0	0	3+	0	-	-
N. N.	II		0	0	3+	±	0	0
L. F.	I	2.0	0	0	3+	±	0	0
D. Q.	II		0	0	0	0	0	0
P. M.	I	4.0	0	0	2+	0	0	0
E. P.	II		0	0	+	3+	0	0
P. P.	I	8.0	±	0	3+	3+	0	0
B. W.	II		3+	0	3+	4+	0	0
C. S.	I	16	3+	0	2+	3+	0	0
J. B.	II		3+	0	3+	3+	0	0
H. R.	I	32	3+	0	3+	3+	0	0
J. M.	II		3+	0	3+	4+	0	0
A. C.	I	64	3+	0	3+	4+	0	0
L. H.	II		3+	0	0	4+	0	0

* (CS-13D)1A and (CS-13E)5F6 nitrogen used for determination of passive transfer inciting capacities as shown by results in columns 4 and 7, respectively.

† Homologous neutralization by (CS-13D)1A and (CS-13E)5F6 nitrogen used in columns 4 and 7 to 32 mcg. of respective fraction. Results are shown in columns 5 and 8, respectively.

‡ Further reaction caused by 32 mcg. of (CS-13E)5F6 nitrogen in sites neutralized by (CS-13D)1A.

§ No further reaction caused by 32 mcg. of (CS-13D)1A nitrogen in sites neutralized by (CS-13E)5F6 is shown in column 9.

|| Not tested.

Group II: One milliliter of solution containing one of a series of twofold serial dilutions of (CS-13E)5F6—0.125 to 32 mcg. of (CS-13E)5F6 nitrogen per milliliter—was injected similarly into Group II. Results are recorded in column 7.

Third Day, Neutralization.—

Group I: Each recipient was injected subcutaneously in the outer aspect of the upper left arm with 1 ml. of solution containing 32 mcg. of (CS-13D)1A nitrogen per milliliter. Results are recorded in column 5.

Group II: Each recipient was similarly injected with 1 ml. of solution containing 32 mcg. of (CS-13E)5F6 nitrogen per milliliter. Results are recorded in column 8.

Fourth Day, Cross-Neutralization.—

Group I: Each recipient was injected subcutaneously in the outer aspect of the upper left arm with 1 ml. of solution containing 32 mcg. of (CS-13E)5F6 nitrogen per milliliter. Results are recorded in column 6.

Group II: Each recipient was injected similarly with 1 ml. of solution containing 32 mcg. of (CS-13D)1A nitrogen per milliliter. Results are recorded in column 9.

Controls: The two recipients, who had not received any allergen since sensitization, were injected subcutaneously on the left arm, one with 1 ml. of (CS-13D)1A and one with 1 ml. of (CS-13E)5F6, containing 32 mcg. of fraction nitrogen, respectively. Control tests were always positive, which showed that the sites remained sensitive during the test interval.

Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Days, Elimination of Allergen.—A four-day interval was allowed for elimination of allergen from the system before continuing the second part of the experiment.

Eighth Day, Sensitization.—All recipients in Groups I and II were sensitized with 0.05 ml. of L.C. serum in site 3 on the left arm (opposite arm from that used on the first day).

Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Days.—The procedure described for the second, third, and fourth days was repeated on the ninth, tenth, and eleventh days, respectively, except that the allergen fraction used on each group was reversed. For example, (CS-13E)5F6 was used on Group I and (CS-13D)1A was used on Group II on the ninth day and so on. Results are shown in appropriate columns of Table III.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reproducibility of the method of comparing passive-transfer-inciting and reagin-neutralizing capacities of allergens is shown in Table IV, where results of duplicate tests on four recipients at twofold concentration levels ranging from 0.125 to 32 mcg. of CS-13 nitrogen are given. The duplicate tests were made in consecutive weeks with a four-day interval between the end of one test and the start of the next. It is apparent in both incitation and neutralization that results of duplicate tests on the same subject and on different subjects with the same quantities of allergen are in excellent agreement. In incitation of reaction, ten of fifteen duplicates agreed perfectly, five varied by 1+ unit, and one varied by 2+ units. This latter test, V.A. at the 2 mcg. level, was the only one in which a positive reaction was obtained in one test and a negative reaction in a duplicate test.

No positive reaction was obtained with less than 2 mcg. of CS-13 nitrogen. One positive reaction was obtained with 2 mcg., and all reactions were positive with all levels from 4 to 32 mcg. of CS-13 nitrogen with all recipients, except for one $\pm$ reaction. In neutralization of reagins, nine of twelve duplicates agreed perfectly, two differed by 1+, and one differed by 2+ units. Agreement of results among the four individuals tested at each level was excellent. All sites were neutralized by 1 mcg. of CS-13 nitrogen, with three $\pm$ reactions at the 1 mcg. level. The reproducibility of the method in determination of both the passive-transfer-inciting and reagin-neutralizing capacities was

TABLE IV. PRECISION OF QUANTITATIVE METHOD FOR PASSIVE TRANSFER ANALYSIS WITH CS-13

RECIPIENT	INCITATION OF REACTION*		NEUTRALIZATION OF REAGINS [§] CS-13 NITROGEN (32 γ /ML.)	
	CS-13 NITROGEN (γ /ML.)	RESULT		RESULT
		I†	II‡	
J. L.	0.125	0	0	4+
P. M.		0	0	4+
L. V.		0	0	4+
R. P.		0	0	4+
H. H.	0.25	0	0	3+
W. S.		0	0	4+
J. O.		0	0	4+
J. B.		0	0	4+
W. K.	0.50	0	0	3+
J. A.		0	0	2+
J. R.		0	0	4+
O. G.		0	0	4+
M. H.	1.0	0	0	0
A. B.		0	0	0
J. L.		0	0	±
P. M.		0	0	±
W. N.	2.0	0	0	0
V. A.		2+	0	0
L. F.		0	0	0
W. S.		0	0	0
C. H.	4.0	1+	±	0
W. W.		3+	4+	0
W. K.		3+	3+	0
J. A.		3+	3+	0
J. R.	8.0	3+	4+	0
O. G.		3+	4+	0
W. H.		0	-	0
V. A.		4+	4+	0
J. O.	16	4+	4+	0
J. B.		4+	4+	0
M. H.		3+	3+	0
A. B.		4+	4+	-
L. V.	32	3+	3+	0
R. P.		3+	4+	0
C. H.		4+	4+	0
W. W.		4+	4+	0

*Sites challenged by subcutaneous injection of 1 ml. of solution containing indicated quantity of CS-13 nitrogen per milliliter in outer aspect of upper arm opposite sensitized sites. Challenge was twenty-four hours after sensitization.

†Sensitization in site 3, right arm.

‡Sensitization in site 3, left arm, ninety-six hours after last challenge injection.

§Sites challenged by subcutaneous injection of 1 ml. of solution containing 32 mcg. CS-13 nitrogen per milliliter into outer aspect of upper arm opposite sensitized site. Injection was made twenty-four hours after the challenge test for determination of incitation of reaction.

||Not tested.

judged to be such that serial dilutions, differing by only twofold, could be distinguished.

Comparisons of the passive-transfer-reaction-inciting, reagin-neutralizing, and cross-neutralizing capacities of (CS-13D)1A and (CS-13E)5F6 are shown in Table III. (CS-13E)5F6 was twice as potent as (CS-13D)1A in inciting reactions, because 8 and 16 mcg. of respective fraction nitrogen were required to

incite reaction with both recipients, with one recipient having reacted positively with 4 and 8 mcg. of nitrogen of the respective fractions. In contrast, (CS-13D)1A was twice as potent as (CS-13E)5F6 in homologous reagin neutralization because 0.5 and 1.0 mcg. of respective fraction nitrogen were required to prevent further reaction to 32 mcg. of homologous fraction nitrogen.

(CS-13D)1A did not neutralize sites to subsequent test with (CS-13E)5F6, but (CS-13E)5F6 neutralized to (CS-13D)1A, as shown in columns 6 and 9, respectively, of Table III. If (CS-13E)5F6 had not neutralized sites to subsequent test with (CS-13D)1A, the evidence that their specificities were different would have been conclusive. However, Clarke,²⁴ Harkavy and Witebsky,²⁵ and Sherman and Stull¹⁴ have reported that, with certain sera, unrelated allergens sometimes effected cross-neutralization of reagins. If nonspecific cross-neutralization has occurred in this case, the results would indicate that the specificities of (CS-13D)1A and (CS-13E)5F6 were different. For the present, an alternative interpretation that (CS-13D)1A possessed only part of the specificity determinant grouping found in (CS-13E)5F6 also must be regarded as possible.

Fraction (CS-13D)1A represented the maximum separation from (CS-13E)5F6 involving both dialysis and ion-exchange fractionation, whereas (CS-13E)1A represented maximum separation from (CS-13E)5F6 by ion-exchange fractionation alone.⁹ Like (CS-13D)1A, (CS-13E)1A was completely separated from (CS-13E)5F6, as shown by an ion-exchange characterization curve like that in Fig. 4 of Ref. 9. Also, like (CS-13D)1A, (CS-13E)1A did not neutralize to (CS-13E)5F6, but (CS-13E)5F6 neutralized sites to subsequent test with (CS-13E)1A. These results are of interest because (CS-13D)1A gave no precipitin reaction with 200 mcg. per milliliter of (CS-13D)1A nitrogen, whereas (CS-13E)1A and (CS-13E)5F6 gave precipitin reactions with threshold concentrations of 0.7 and 0.17 mcg. of respective fraction nitrogen per milliliter with rabbit CS-13E antiserum.⁹ Interpretation of these relationships is complicated because the carbohydrate contents of (CS-13D)1A, (CS-13E)1A, and (CS-13E)5F6 were 3.1, 26.2, and 0.45 per cent, respectively. Whether (CS-13E)1A gave a precipitin reaction because of its higher carbohydrate content than (CS-13D)1A or because the undialyzed (CS-13E)1A represented a larger protein molecule per se is not known. (CS-13E)1A was one-fourth as potent as (CS-13E)5F6 in reaction-inciting capacity and equally as potent as (CS-13E)5F6 in homologous reagin neutralization.

The potencies of (CS-13E)5F6, CS-13, and CS-1A were compared to ascertain whether the many steps involved in the preparation of CS-13 and (CS-13E)5F6 from CS-1A had significantly lessened the activity of these fractions. This was done by comparing both CS-1A and (CS-13E)5F6 to CS-13. CS-13 and CS-1A (Table V) were equally effective in inciting passive transfer reactions, as 4 mcg. of nitrogen of each fraction was required to cause reaction in both subjects (in both cases one positive reaction was produced by 2 mcg. of fraction nitrogen) as shown in columns 4 and 7, respectively. In neutralization, however, CS-13 was four times more effective than CS-1A, because 0.5

TABLE V. COMPARISON OF CS-1A AND CS-13 BY QUANTITATIVE PASSIVE TRANSFER ANALYSIS

RECIPIENT	GROUP NO.	FRACTION NITROGEN (γ /ML.)*	CS-13		CS-1A 32 γ N/ML.†	CS-1A		CS-13 32 γ N/ML.‡
			RE-SULT	RESULT† 32 γ N/ML.		RE-SULT	RESULT† 32 γ N/ML.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
D. McN.	I	0.125	0	4+	0	0	4+	0
J. W.	II		0	4+	0	0	4+	0
A. E.	I	0.25	0	3+	0	0	4+	0
J. D.	II		0	3+	0	0	4+	0
D. McG.	I	0.50	0	0	0	0	0	0
H. D.	II		0	0	0	0	2+	0
S. P.	I	1.0	0	0	0	0	3+	0
E. A.	II		0	0	0	0	2+	0
D. A.	I	2.0	2+	0	0	0	0	0
F. S.	II		0	0	0	3+	0	0
A. D.	I	4.0	3+	0	0	3+	0	0
D. L.	II		3+	0	0	2+	0	0
A. C.	I	8.0	3+	0	0	4+	0	0
P. Y.	II		3+	0	0	3+	0	0
T. M.	I	16	4+	0	0	4+	0	0
L. F.	II		4+	0	0	3+	0	0
N. B.	I	32	3+	0	0	4+	0	0
G. R.	II		3+	0	0	3+	0	0

*Nitrogen of respective fractions used in columns 4 and 7 to determine passive-transfer-inciting capacities.

†Homologous neutralization with 32 mcg. of nitrogen of respective fractions.

‡Cross-neutralization.

and 2.0 mcg. of respective fraction nitrogen were required to neutralize the sites (columns 5 and 8). CS-13 and (CS-13E)5F6 (Table VI) were essentially equal in inciting reactions, as CS-13 gave one positive with 1 and 2 mcg. of nitrogen, and (CS-13E)5F6 gave one positive at 1 mcg. and both positives with 2 mcg. as shown in columns 4 and 7, respectively. Recipients H. D. and D. McG. were refractory. Both SC-13 and (CS-13E)5F6 were equally potent in reagin neutralization, as both required 1 mcg. of nitrogen to neutralize sites (columns 5 and 8).

(CS-13E)5F6 represents 1.25 and 2.74 per cent of precursor fractions CS-1A and CS-13, respectively, on a nitrogen basis. Yet, the passive-transfer-inciting capacities of the three fractions were equal and the reagin-neutralizing capacities of (CS-13E)5F6 and of CS-13 were only four times as great as that of CS-1A. This observation substantiates our previous opinion that, essentially, CS-1A and CS-13 represent a mixture of closely related chemical components possessing a common allergenic specificity, because sites neutralized to highly purified (CS-13E)5F6 were also neutralized to CS-13 and CS-1A. Excluded from this consideration, however, are the possible different specificities of (CS-13D)1A and (CS-13E)1A which represent relatively minor fractions of CS-13. Immediate precursor fractions of (CS-13D)1A and (CS-13E)1A, (CS-13D)1 and (CS-13E)1, respectively, neutralized

TABLE VI. COMPARISON OF CS-13 AND (CS-13E)5F6 BY QUANTITATIVE PASSIVE TRANSFER ANALYSIS

RECIPIENT	GROUP NO.	FRACTION NITROGEN (γ/ML.)*	CS-13		(CS-13E)5F6 32 γN/ML.†	(CS-13E)5F6		CS-13 32 γN/ML.‡
			RE-SULT	RESULT†		RE-SULT	RESULT†	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
D. McN.	I	0.125	0	4+	0	0	3+	0
J. W.	II		0	4+	0	0	4+	0
A. E.	I	0.25	0	3+	0	0	4+	0
L. F.	II		0	3+	0	0	3+	0
N. B.	I	0.50	0	3+	0	0	3+	0
G. R.	II		0	3+	0	0	3+	0
A. C.	I	1.0	1+	±	0	2+	0	0
D. L.	II		0	0	0	±	0	0
A. D.	I	2.0	2+	0	0	3+	0	0
J. D.	II		0	0	0	2+	0	0
T. M.	I	4.0	3+	0	0	3+	0	0
J. M.	II		4+	0	0	4+	0	0
L. B.	I	8.0	3+	0	0	3+	0	0
H. D.	II		0	0	0	0	0	0
D. A.	I	16	3+	0	0	3+	0	0
F. S.	II		3+	0	0	2+	0	0
D. McG.	I	32	0	0	0	0	0	0
D. R.	II		4+	0	0	4+	0	0

*Nitrogen of respective fractions used in columns 4 and 7 to determine passive-transfer-inciting capacities.

†Homologous neutralization with 32 mcg. of nitrogen of respective fractions.

‡Cross-neutralization.

sites to further test with (CS-13E)5F6 and (CS-13D)1 and (CS-13E)1 represented less than 10 per cent of CS-13. Fraction (CS-13E)5F6 appears to be approaching homogeneity because (1) it gave only one line of precipitate by the Ouchterlony method,⁸ (2) it gave a precipitin reaction with the highest dilution, on a nitrogen basis, of any of the fractions,⁹ (3) it is essentially carbohydrate-free as estimated from its nitrogen content, and (4) it sedimented uniformly in the ultracentrifuge.⁹ Other criteria would be required for conclusive evidence of homogeneity.

SUMMARY

A quantitative method for comparing three properties of two allergenic fractions by the passive transfer technique is described. The properties are: (1) the passive-transfer-reaction-inciting capacities, (2) the reagin-neutralizing capacities, and (3) whether or not the specificities of the fractions are the same. The reproducibility of the method in the determination of both passive-transfer-inciting and reagin-neutralizing capacities was such that serial dilutions differing only twofold could be distinguished. The method eliminates the interfering effect of migration of allergen and the need for control tests. The method was demonstrated with subfractions, (CS-13D)1A

and (CS-13E)5F6, of the cottonseed allergen, CS-1A, which were completely free of each other. The significance of the observations made in evaluation of the separated allergenic components of CS-1A is discussed.

REFERENCES

1. Spies, J. R., Bernton, H. S., and Stevens, H.: The Chemistry of Allergens. I. Isolation of an Active Fraction From Cottonseed, *J. ALLERGY* 10: 113, 1939.
2. Bernton, H. S., Spies, J. R., and Stevens, H.: Evidence of the Multiplicity of Allergens and Reagins in Cottonseed Sensitiveness, *J. ALLERGY* 13: 289, 1942.
3. Spies, J. R., Chambers, D. C., Bernton, H. S., and Stevens, H.: The Chemistry of Allergens. VII. The Nature of the Unidentified Allergens of Cottonseed, *J. ALLERGY* 14: 7, 1942.
4. Coulson, E. J., Spies, J. R., and Stevens, H.: The Immunochemistry of Allergens. II. Antigenic Studies by the Dale Method of the Electrophoretic Fractionation Products of the Protein-Carbohydrate Fraction, CS-1A, From Cottonseed, *J. Immunol.* 46: 347, 1943.
5. Spies, J. R., Coulson, E. J., Bernton, H. S., and Stevens, H.: The Chemistry of Allergens. II. Isolation and Properties of an Active Protein Component of Cottonseed, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 62: 1420, 1940.
6. Spies, J. R., Coulson, E. J., Chambers, D. C., Bernton, H. S., Stevens, H., and Shimp, J. H.: The Chemistry of Allergens. XI. Properties and Composition of Natural Proteoses Isolated From Oilseeds and Nuts by the CS-1A Procedure, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 73: 3995, 1951.
7. Spies, J. R., Chambers, D. C., Coulson, E. J., Bernton, H. S., and Stevens, H.: The Chemistry of Allergens. XII. Proteolysis of the Cottonseed Allergen, *J. ALLERGY* 24: 483, 1953.
8. Coulson, E. J., Spies, J. R., and Stevens, H.: The Immunochemistry of Allergens. IX. The Relationship of Carbohydrate to the Antigenic Properties of the Allergenic Protein From Cottonseed, *J. Immunol.* 62: 171, 1949.
9. Spies, J. R., Chambers, D. C., and Coulson, E. J.: The Chemistry of Allergens. XIII. Ion Exchange Fractionation of the Cottonseed Allergen and Immunological Properties of the Products, *Arch. Biochem. & Biophys.* 84: 286, 1959.
10. Walzer, M., and Kramer, S. D.: Studies in Specific Hypersensitiveness. XVIII. An Indirect Method of Testing for Conditions of Atopic Hypersensitiveness, *J. Immunol.* 10: 835, 1925.
11. Prausnitz, C., and Küstner, H.: Studies Under the Ueberempfindlichkeit, *Centralbl. f. Bakt. (Abt. 1)* 86: 160, 1921.
12. Coca, A. F., Walzer, M., and Thommen, A. A.: Asthma and Hay Fever in Theory and Practice, Springfield, Ill., 1931, Charles C Thomas, pp. 356 *et. seq.*
13. Gay, L. N., and Chant, E.: The Passive Transfer Hypersensitivity (Local and Contralateral Passive Transfer Experiments), *Bull. Johns Hopkins Hosp.* 40: 270, 1927.
14. Sherman, W. B., and Stull, A.: Variations in the Specificity of Skin-Sensitizing Antibodies in the Allergy of Man as Shown by Neutralization With Antigens, *J. ALLERGY* 9: 105, 1938.
15. Richter, M., Harter, J. G., Schon, A. H., and Rose, B.: Studies on Ragweed Pollen. III. Estimation of the Minimum Number of Allergens in the Water Soluble Extract of Ragweed Pollen and a Critical Evaluation of the Neutralization Technique. The Demonstration of Two Different Reagins in Sera of Ragweed Sensitive Individuals, *J. Immunol.* 79: 13, 1957.
16. Woringe, P.: Methode de dosage de l'anti corps ovalbuminique dans le sang des sujets allergiques au blanc d'oeuf, *Ann. Inst. Pasteur* 50: 270, 1933.
17. Lippard, V. W., and Schmidt, W. M.: Human Passive Transfer Antibody. I. Titration by Neutralization, *Am. J. Dis. Child.* 54: 288, 1937.
18. Feinberg, S. M., and Bernstein, T. B.: A Method of Measuring Rate and Degree of Absorption of Antigens, *J. ALLERGY* 8: 523, 1937.
19. Spies, J. R., Chambers, D. C., Bernton, H. S., and Stevens, H.: Quantitative Estimation of the Absorption of an Ingested Allergen, *J. ALLERGY* 16: 267, 1945.
20. Levine, P., and Coca, A. F.: Studies in Hypersensitiveness. XXII. On the Nature of the Alleviating Effect of the Specific Treatment of Atopic Conditions, *J. Immunol.* 11: 4, 1926.
21. Tuft, L.: Rate of Absorption of Horse Serum After Injection, *J. A. M. A.* 92: 1667, 1929.

22. Cohen, M. B., Ecker, E. E., and Rudolph, J. A.: The Disappearance Time of Circulating Ragweed Pollen Material After Absorption From the Nose and Throat, *J. ALLERGY* 1: 529, 1930.
23. Walzer, A., and Walzer, M.: Studies in Absorption of Undigested Protein in Human Beings. V. A New Technique for Quantitatively Studying the Absorption and Elimination of Antigens, *J. ALLERGY* 6: 532, 1935.
24. Clarke, A. J.: Reported at meeting of the Association of American Immunologists, 1927.
25. Harkavy, J., and Witebsky, E.: Studies of Specificity in Multiple Hypersensitiveness by Quantitative Titration and Absorption of Reagents, *J. ALLERGY* 6: 437, 1935.
26. Spies, J. R., and Umberger, E. J.: The Chemistry of Allergens. VI. Chemical Composition and Properties of an Active Carbohydrate-free Protein From Cottonseed, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 64: 1889, 1942.