

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Asthma and Lower Airway Disease

Is short-term exposure to grass pollen adversely associated with lung function and airway inflammation in the community?

Nur Sabrina Idrose¹ | Rachel C. A. Tham² | Caroline J. Lodge¹  | Adrian J. Lowe¹  |
 Dinh Bui¹ | Jennifer L. Perret¹ | Don Vicendese^{1,3} | Edward J. Newbigin⁴ |
 Mimi L. K. Tang^{5,6} | Fahad M. Aldakheel⁷  | Nilakshi T. Waidyatillake¹ |
 Jo A. Douglass^{8,9} | Michael J. Abramson¹⁰ | Eugene Haydn Walters^{1,11} |
 Bircan Erbas¹²  | Shyamali C. Dharmage¹ 

¹Allergy and Lung Health Unit, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Carlton, Vic., Australia

²Mary MacKillop Institute for Health Research, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, Vic., Australia

³Department of Mathematics and Statistics, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Vic., Australia

⁴School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Vic., Australia

⁵Allergy and Immunology, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Vic, Australia

⁶Department of Pediatrics, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Vic., Australia

⁷Department of Clinical Laboratory Sciences, College of Applied Medical Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁸Department of Clinical Immunology and Allergy, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, Vic., Australia

⁹Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Vic., Australia

¹⁰School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Vic., Australia

¹¹School of Medicine, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tas, Australia

¹²School of Psychology and Public Health, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Vic., Australia

Abstract

Background: The association between grass pollen exposure and early markers of asthma exacerbations such as lung function changes and increase in airway inflammation is limited. We investigated the associations between short-term grass pollen exposure and lung function and airway inflammation in a community-based sample, and whether any such associations were modified by current asthma, current hay fever, pollen sensitization, age, and other environmental factors.

Methods: Cross-sectional and short-term analyses of data from the Melbourne Atopy Cohort Study (MACS) participants ($n = 936$). Lung function was assessed using spirometry. Airway inflammation was assessed by fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) and exhaled breath condensate pH and nitrogen oxides (NO_x). Daily pollen counts were collected using a volumetric spore trap. The associations were examined by linear regression.

Results: Higher ambient levels of grass pollen 2 days before (lag 2) were associated with lower mid-forced expiratory flow ($FEF_{25\%-75\%}$) and FEV_1/FVC ratio (Coef. [95% CI] = $-119 [-226, -11]$ mL/s and $-1.0 [-3.0, -0.03]$ %, respectively) and also 3 days before (lag 3). Increased levels of grass pollen a day before (lag 1) were associated with increased FeNO ($4.35 [-0.1, 8.7]$ ppb) and also at lag 2. Adverse associations between pollen and multiple outcomes were greater in adults with current asthma, hay fever, and pollen sensitization.

Conclusion: Grass pollen exposure was associated with eosinophilic airway inflammation 1-2 days after exposure and airway obstruction 2-3 days after exposure. Adults and individuals with asthma, hay fever, and pollen sensitization may be at higher risk.

Abbreviations: ATS/ERS, American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society; BDR, bronchodilator response; CI, confidence interval; EBC, exhaled breath condensate; ED, emergency department; $FEF_{25\%-75\%}$, mid-forced expiratory flow; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; FEV_1 , forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC, forced vital capacity; IgE, Immunoglobulin E; ISAAC, International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood; MACS, Melbourne Atopy Cohort Study; MAPCAH, Melbourne Air Pollen Children and Adolescent Health; NO_x, nitrogen oxide; RV, residual volume; SD, standard deviation; SPT, skin-prick test; Th2, T helper 2 lymphocytes.

Bircan Erbas and Shyamali C. Dharmage contributed equally to this work

Correspondence


Shyamali Dharmage, Centre of
Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne
School of Population and Global Health, The
University of Melbourne, 207 Bouverie St,
Carlton, Vic. 3052, Australia.
Email: s.dharmage@unimelb.edu.au

Funding information

Australian National Health and Medical
Research Council (NHMRC).

KEYWORDS

airway inflammation, environmental health, grass, lung function, pollen

**GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**

This study investigates a community-based sample of 936 participants. Grass pollen exposure is associated with eosinophilic airway inflammation 1-2 days after exposure and airway obstruction 2-3 days after exposure. Adults and individuals with current asthma, and hay fever and/or pollen sensitization may be at a higher risk and therefore represent a target population for interventional studies.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Asthma is currently estimated to affect 339 million people worldwide and has become a global public health problem over the last few decades.¹ It affects people of all ages and one of the top contributors to preventable hospitalizations due to acute exacerbations.² Identification of acute asthma triggers is a priority to inform preventive strategies to minimize individual episodes as well as societal healthcare costs.

Exposure to temperate grass pollen is being recognized as an increasingly important trigger for acute asthma including thunderstorm asthma.³⁻⁵ Temperate grass pollen contains several allergens, particularly Groups 1 and 5, which have considerable homology across grass species.^{6,7} While whole grass pollen grains (10-100 μm) usually get trapped in the upper airways, rupture of grass pollen grains during thunderstorms produces hundreds of tiny allergenic starch granules (0.1-5 μm) that can penetrate deeper into the lower airways.⁸ Furthermore, with changing climatic conditions, the

intensity and duration of the grass pollinating period is increasing in many parts of the world,⁹ increasing the potential impact of grass pollen exposure on health.

While exposure to grass pollen is increasingly recognized as a trigger of acute asthma attacks leading to hospital attendance, this is only the "tip of the iceberg" as there is likely to be a much higher burden of more moderate asthma exacerbations that do not lead to hospitalization within the general community.¹⁰ Despite this, community-based studies investigating the role of pollen on early markers of asthma exacerbations such as lung function changes and increases in airway inflammation have been inconsistent and limited in terms of methodology.

Most studies¹¹⁻¹³ have included selected samples with small number of participants, limiting the study power and generalizability. Of the very few population-based studies with adequate sample sizes, key confounding variables such as temperature and humidity were not considered.^{14,15} More importantly, lack of investigation into factors that may modify these associations such as current

asthma, pollen sensitization, hay fever, and age has made it difficult to establish high-risk groups who need to be targeted for monitoring or interventions during grass pollen seasons. Furthermore, it has been suggested that the impact of grass pollen exposure is higher in the presence of fungi,^{16,17} rainfall,¹⁸ air pollutants,¹⁹ higher temperature,²⁰ and lower humidity²¹ but these important potential effect modifiers have not been investigated.

Given the above gaps in knowledge, we investigated the associations between grass pollen exposure on the day of clinical testing and the preceding 3 days and lung function and airway inflammation in a general population cohort who were at overall at a potentially greater risk for asthma and allergies. We examined for susceptible groups and periods by exploring effect modifications by current asthma, pollen sensitization, current hay fever, age, and other environmental factors.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study design and population

The study sample consisted of participants of the Melbourne Atopy Cohort Study (MACS).²² The original cohort consisted of 620 “high risk” babies born between March 24, 1990, and November 1, 1994, in Melbourne, Australia. Each had at least one first-degree relative with a history of allergic diseases, that is, eczema, asthma, hay fever, or severe food allergy. The recruitment process and study methodology has been described in detail elsewhere.^{22,23}

The present work is based on cross-sectional data from the 18-year follow-up visit. During this follow-up, parents and siblings were also invited to participate. However, only the participants who attended the laboratory between September 2009 and December 2011 were included in the analysis as daily outdoor grass pollen counts were only available during this period. These participants underwent a single episode of clinical testing for lung function (spirometry), airway inflammation (exhaled NO and exhaled breath condensate), and skin-prick tests (SPTs) during the study period. These one-time visits were random in terms of when the individuals attended the clinic relative to the phase of the pollen season. Environmental pollen data were obtained for the day of laboratory testing (lag 0) and the 3 days prior to attendance (designated lag day 1, lag day 2, and lag day 3).

Ethics approval was granted by The University of Melbourne and Royal Children's Hospital Human Research Ethics Committees. Participants also provided written informed consent.

2.2 | Environmental data collection

Daily ambient outdoor grass pollen and fungi levels were collected between September 2009 and December 2011 for the Melbourne Air Pollen Children and Adolescent Health (MAPCAH) study.²⁴ These aeroallergens were collected using a volumetric spore trap (Burkard, UK) located on the rooftop of the Earth Sciences building

at The University of Melbourne. For this study, we analyzed grass pollen, *Cladosporium*, *Leptosphaeria*, *Alternaria*, and smuts as they were found to be most abundant in Melbourne.^{25,26} The collection method is detailed in the Supporting information.

Air pollutants including the daily maximum 4-hour average ozone (O₃), the daily maximum 1-hour average nitrogen oxide (NO₂), and the daily 24-hour average concentrations of particulate matter up to 2.5 µm in diameter (PM_{2.5}) and up to 10 µm in diameter (PM₁₀) during the study period were obtained from the Victorian Environmental Protection Authority. The daily maximum temperatures, rainfall, and relative humidity data were obtained from The Bureau of Meteorology.

2.3 | Clinical data collection

Lung function was measured following ATS/ERS guidelines²⁷ using the EasyOne Spirometer (nidd Medical Technologies Inc). Associations with the following pre-bronchodilator (pre-BD) spirometry parameters were assessed: forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV₁), forced vital capacity (FVC), mid-forced expiratory flow (FEF_{25%-75%}), and FEV₁/FVC ratio. Bronchodilator response (BDR) after 400 µg inhaled salbutamol was measured as a continuous and dichotomous variable. “Absolute BDR” was defined as the difference between post- and pre-bronchodilator FEV₁ values, while a “positive BDR” response was defined as having ≥12% change and ≥200 mL of absolute change in FEV₁ from pre-bronchodilator to post-bronchodilator measurements.^{28,29} Pollen sensitization to mixed grass and/or ryegrass (Bayer) allergen was determined by a skin-prick test (SPT) on the forearm. The measurement details are provided in the Supporting information.

Fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) was measured following ATS/ERS guidelines³⁰ using the HypAir™ FeNO machine (Medisoftware, Sorinnes, Belgium). Exhaled breath condensate (EBC) was collected only in probands (but not relatives) and assayed according to the ATS/ERS guidelines^{31,32} for acidity (pH) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) using a glass-condensing chamber in wet ice. The methodology of EBC collection is detailed elsewhere.³³

2.4 | Clinical definitions

Participants were considered to be pollen-sensitized if they had a wheal diameter of at least 3 mm greater than saline for any of the grasses tested (ie, mixed grass and/or ryegrass). Current asthma and hay fever status were identified using a valid ISAAC questionnaire.³⁴ The participants were considered to have current asthma if they had a history of doctor-diagnosed asthma and one or more of the following in the 12 months prior to the follow-up: (a) wheeze; (b) at least one episode of asthma; (c) use of asthma medication. This definition of current asthma is in line with previous publications from this cohort and did not include BDR, since this might not be a reliable marker for asthma.³⁵ Current hay fever was defined as having at

least one episode of hay fever and/or the use of medication for the symptoms in the 12 months prior to the follow-up. Age was stratified into two groups: (a) children and adolescents (<18 years old) and (b) adults (≥ 18 years old).

2.5 | Statistical analysis

The associations between grass pollen exposure on the same day of clinical testing (lag 0) and lagged grass pollen exposure (lag day 1 up to lag day 3 and cumulative exposure 3 days prior) and lung function outcomes (ie, FEV_1 , $FEF_{25\%-75\%}$, FEV_1/FVC ratio, absolute BDR); and airway inflammation outcomes (ie, FeNO and EBC pH) were assessed using generalized linear models. Positive BDR was assessed using generalized estimating equations. EBC NOx was found to be strongly rightly skewed, so it was stratified into none (below level of detection), low (≥ 2.5 –20 μmol), or high (>20 μmol)³⁶ and analyzed using ordinal logistic regression (OLR). All models were accounted for familial clustering. In addition, we conducted a sensitivity analysis investigating grass pollen exposure at cumulative lag 7 (cumulative exposure 7 days prior).

The multivariable models were adjusted for age, sex, height, maximum temperature, relative humidity, ozone, and season of testing as a priori confounders.^{37–41} Models assessing EBC pH and EBC NOx were also adjusted for storage time to account for possible sample degradation. Potential effect modifications by current asthma (irrespective of hay fever and pollen sensitization status), current hay fever (irrespective of asthma and pollen sensitization status), pollen sensitization (irrespective of hay fever and asthma status), age, air pollutants, ambient fungal levels, temperature, humidity, and rainfall were explored separately using Wald's test. We also conducted an exploratory analyses of the relevant associations by the combined phenotypes of current asthma and current hay fever (current asthma only, current hay fever only or both), pollen sensitization and current hay fever (pollen sensitization only, current hay fever only, or both), and pollen sensitization and current asthma (pollen sensitization only, current asthma only, or both), separately using Wald's test.

The coefficients and odds ratios (OR) were reported as the effect size per increase in 29 grass pollen grains/ m^3 . Significant associations were defined as $P \leq .05$ and $P\text{-int} \leq .1$. Strata-specific associations were only reported if the P -value for interaction term was $\leq .1$. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata IC 14.2 (StataCorp).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Sample characteristics

This study included altogether 936 participants, consisting of 264 probands, plus some parents ($n = 398$) and siblings ($n = 274$). The median (range) age of the study participants was 21 years (6–71). Of the total sample, 45% were males, 30% reported they had current asthma, 13% reported they had current hay fever, and 47% were

sensitized to at least one grass pollen. These results are summarized in Table 1. Of those with current asthma, 64.8% were sensitized to at least one grass pollen extract and 19.6% reported current hay fever. The proportion of people with current asthma who attended the clinic each month did not vary (Table S1).

3.2 | Grass pollen distribution

The 2009 to 2011 study period captured three grass pollen seasons, from September to February, peaking in October to January.⁴² There were no distinct variations in the grass pollen seasons between these years. Although a minor thunderstorm asthma event was reported on the November 25, 2010,⁴ none of the participants attended the clinic on this day and up to 12 days later. Grass pollen levels were negatively correlated with relative humidity and nitrogen dioxide levels and positively correlated with fungal levels (*Cladosporium*, *Leptosphaeria*, *Alternaria*, Smuts), maximum temperature, PM_{10} , and ozone. The summary statistics and the Spearman's correlations between grass pollen and other environmental factors are presented in Table S2.

3.3 | Adjusted analyses

The unadjusted (Table S3) and adjusted results (Table 2) were consistent. For adjusted lung function analyses, there were significant inverse associations between grass pollen exposure at lag 2 and lag 3 and $FEF_{25\%-75\%}$ and FEV_1/FVC ratio. For adjusted airway inflammation analyses (Table 2), there were significant positive associations between grass pollen exposure at lag 1 and lag 2 and FeNO. The associations at cumulative lag 7 were not significant (data not shown).

3.4 | Effect modification

Some of the observed associations were modified by current asthma and age (Table 3). Exposure to higher levels of grass pollen at lag 0, lag 1, lag 2, and cumulative lag 3 were associated with an increase in absolute BDR and FeNO in subjects with current asthma (irrespective of hay fever status) but not those without current asthma (P -values for interaction range from .004 to .06). Furthermore, exposure to higher levels of grass pollen was associated with a significantly lower $FEF_{25\%-75\%}$ at lag 2, lag, 3 and cumulative lag 3; and FEV_1/FVC ratio at all time points in adults aged 18 and above, but not in children or adolescents (P -values for interaction range from .009 to .1).

The interactions with current hay fever were not significant but the observed associations in those with current hay fever were stronger compared to those without current hay fever (Table S4). Similar can be observed for pollen sensitization (Table S4). While there were some associations observed between grass pollen and lung function in the presence of little to no fungi, the number of associations seen were consistent with chance given the number of

TABLE 1 Characteristics of MACS participants during the study period

Variables	All	Probands	Mothers	Fathers	Siblings
N (%)	936	264 (28%)	238 (25%)	160 (17%)	274 (29%)
Median age (range) (years)	21 (65)	18 (5)	49 (20)	52 (30)	16 (35)
Mean height $\pm$ SD (cm)	169.9 $\pm$ 10.2	172.6 $\pm$ 1.5	164.2 $\pm$ 6.0	177.7 $\pm$ 6.4	167.8 $\pm$ 11.8
	n (% of all)	n (% of subgroup)	n (% of subgroup)	n (% of subgroup)	n (% of subgroup)
Gender					
Male	424 (45%)	127 (48%)	-	160 (100%)	137 (50%)
Female	512 (55%)	137 (52%)	238 (100%)	-	137 (50%)
Mixed grass sensitization					
Tested	n = 895	n = 253	n = 228	n = 154	n = 258
Yes	391 (44%)	105 (42%)	103 (45%)	60 (39%)	122 (47%)
Ryegrass sensitization					
Tested	n = 896	n = 253	n = 227	n = 154	n = 260
Yes	410 (46%)	109 (43%)	110 (48%)	66 (43%)	124 (48%)
Pollen sensitization (Any ^a)					
Tested	n = 890	n = 252	n = 224	n = 154	n = 258
Yes	422 (47%)	112 (44%)	111 (50%)	68 (44%)	130 (50%)
Current asthma					
Total	n = 811	n = 229	n = 207	n = 146	n = 229
Yes	247 (30%)	66 (29%)	74 (36%)	28 (19%)	79 (34%)
Current hay fever					
Total	n = 815	n = 232	n = 205	n = 141	n = 237
Yes	108 (13%)	23 (9.9%)	38 (19%)	14 (9.9%)	33 (14%)
Clinical tests					
Spirometry test	873 (93%)	262 (99%)	218 (92%)	146 (91%)	244 (89%)
FeNO test	797 (85%)	222 (84%)	205 (86%)	139 (87%)	231 (84%)
EBC test	231 (24.6%)	231 (88%)	-	-	-

^aSensitisation to mixed grass and/or ryegrass.

interactions tested in this analysis (Table S5). The interactions between grass pollen and air pollutants (PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, ozone, nitrogen dioxide), temperature, rainfall, and humidity were not significant at all (P-values for interaction $\geq .2$, data not shown).

Interactions between grass pollen and the combined variable of current asthma and hay fever were significant for FEF_{25%-75%}, FeNO and absolute BDR (range: 0.086-0.099, see Table S6). In those with hay fever alone (Table 4), increased grass pollen exposure was associated with decreased FEF_{25%-75%} at all lag periods except lag 0, increased FeNO at all lag periods except lag 2 and increased absolute BDR at lag 2. In those with hay fever and asthma (Table 4), increased grass pollen exposure was associated with increased absolute BDR at all lag periods. In those with asthma alone (Table 4), increased grass pollen exposure was associated with increased absolute BDR at lag 1, lag 2, and cumulative lag 3.

Interactions between grass pollen and the combined variable of pollen sensitization and current hay fever were significant for FEV₁, FVC, and FeNO (range: 0.01-0.09, see Table S7). The associations were stronger in pollen-sensitized individuals with hay fever

compared to pollen-sensitized individuals without hay fever. In pollen-sensitized individuals with hay fever, increased grass pollen exposure was associated with decreased FEV₁ at lag 3 and higher FeNO at lag 0 and lag 1 (Table 5). The direction of association for FVC was consistent, such that pollen-sensitized individuals with hay fever were observed to have lower FVC at all lags associated with increased grass pollen exposure (Table S8).

Interactions between grass pollen and the combined variable of pollen sensitization and current asthma were significant for FeNO (range: 0.0001-0.003, see Table S9). Pollen-sensitized individuals with asthma were observed to have higher FeNO at all lags associated with increased grass pollen exposure (Table S10).

4 | DISCUSSION

This analysis has shown that short-term exposure (up to 3 days) to grass pollen was associated with reduced lung function and increased airway inflammation, particularly for FEF_{25%-75%}, FEV₁/

TABLE 3 Significant associations between grass pollen and different outcomes stratified by current asthma and age

Grass pollen	Outcomes					
	Absolute BDR (mL)			FeNO (ppb)		
	No current asthma n = 517	Current asthma n = 235	P-int	No current asthma n = 517	Current asthma n = 235	P-int
	Coef. (95% CI)	Coef. (95% CI)		Coef. (95% CI)	Coef. (95% CI)	
Lag 0	-16 (-37, 4.6)	50 (6.4, 93)	.004 [*]	-2.9 (-5.8, 2.9)	8.7 (-0.9, 17.4)	.04 [*]
Lag 1	-15 (-40, 11)	52 (10, 95)	.004 [*]	-1.2 (-4.4, 2.0)	8.7 (-0.6, 18.0)	.048 [*]
Lag 2	-5.5 (-33, 22)	46 (6.1, 86)	.03 [*]	-1.74 (-4.9, 1.4)	8.4 (-0.3, 17.1)	.03 [*]
Lag 3	-2.6 (-30, 25)	35 (-11, 81)	.17 [*]	-1.7 (-4.9, 1.7)	2.6 (-5.5, 10.7)	.31
Lag 0-3	-2.6 (-9.3, 4.1)	13 (1.3, 24)	.016 [*]	-0.4 (-1.4, 0.5)	2.0 (-0.4, 4.6)	.06 [*]
	FEF _{25%-75%} (mL/s)			FEV ₁ /FVC ratio (%)		
	<18 y old n = 246	≥18 y old n = 627	P-int	<18 y old n = 246	≥18 y old n = 627	P-int
	Coef. (95% CI)	Coef. (95% CI)		Coef. (95% CI)	Coef. (95% CI)	
	Lag 0	-30 (-115, 176)	-83 (-202, 36)	.13	0.6 (-0.3, 1.5)	-0.9 (-1.5, -0.0003)
Lag 1	43 (-107, 192)	-104 (-221, 13)	.07 [*]	0.4 (-0.6, 1.5)	-0.9 (-1.7, -0.1)	.02 [*]
Lag 2	-7.9 (-181, 165)	-142 (-255, -29)	.10 [*]	0.09 (-1.2, 1.5)	-1.2 (-1.7, -0.3)	.04 [*]
Lag 3	-22 (-211, 167)	-168 (-280, -57)	.10 [*]	-0.1 (-1.5, 1.2)	-1.2 (-2.0, -0.3)	.08 [*]
Lag 0-3	3.2 (-45, 51)	-36 (-67, -4.9)	.09 [*]	0.06 (-0.3, 0.3)	-0.3 (-0.6, -0.09)	.02 [*]

Note: NB FEF_{25%-75%}, FeNO and absolute BDR associations were adjusted for age, sex, height, relative humidity, temperature, ozone, and season of testing. FEV₁/FVC ratio associations were adjusted for relative humidity, temperature, ozone, and season of testing. All results are interpreted as per increase in 29 grass pollen grains/m³.

Abbreviation: P-int, P-value for interaction term.

*P-int ≤ 0.1.

FVC, ratio and FeNO, but with different lag patterns. These associations were stronger in specific groups of individuals—those with current asthma or hay fever, grass pollen-sensitized individuals and adults. All associations were lagged, which is consistent with grass pollen exposure inducing a late inflammatory response.⁴³ An inflammatory cascade resulting in the recruitment of immune cells such as eosinophils is suggested to peak approximately 24–72 hours after grass pollen exposure⁴⁴ which could be the reason why we did not observe significant associations at cumulative lag 7 days. An alternative explanation for the lack of association found at cumulative lag 7 days is the nature of grass pollen seasons in Melbourne. It consists of short periods of 2–3 days with hot/sunny weather with continental anticyclones, interspersed with westerly cold/wet low-pressure fronts. This intermittent weather coupled with the different variations of grass pollen levels makes it an ideal place for such a natural experiment investigating short-term effects of up to 3 days. Interestingly, we observed a more delayed effect on lung function compared to airway inflammation. Lung function was observed to be lower 2–3 days after grass pollen exposure, but airway inflammation was observed to increase 1–2 days after grass pollen exposure. These findings suggest a temporal association between lung function deficits and airway inflammation.

Our observation that grass pollen exposure was associated with FEF_{25%-75%} but not significantly with FEV₁ may indicate that the greatest impact is on medium-sized to small airways. This is consistent with observations by Marseglia et al⁴⁵ in a population with allergic rhinitis. Reduction in FVC in pollen-sensitized individuals with hay fever is consistent with narrowing of the smallest airways affecting air-trapping and so raising Residual Volume (RV) and impinging on FVC. Unfortunately, we did not have RV data to confirm this. Smaller airways have in aggregate far greater cross-sectional surface areas and airway volumes compared to large airways, but they only contribute to 10% of airway resistance.⁴⁶ FEV₁ is less sensitive to smaller airway changes⁴⁶ so this could be a reason why past studies^{47–49} found no association with lung function when they measured FEV₁ alone. While there have been contradictory views on FEF_{25%-75%} as a reliable marker of small airway obstruction and variability in this measure can occur as a result of its close relationship to FEV₁ and FVC,⁵⁰ FEF_{25%-75%} has been suggested to be a marker of a more distal airway narrowing.⁵¹ We did find small reductions in FEV₁/FVC ratio which were statistically significant but might not be clinically meaningful. In addition, we also observed a significant increase in FeNO, which would be consistent with eosinophilic airway inflammation.^{52,53}

Moreover, we observed that the associations between grass pollen exposure, FeNO and absolute BDR were stronger in people with

TABLE 4 Significant associations between grass pollen and lung function outcomes; and FeNO on same day, lag 1, lag 2, lag 3, and cumulative 3-d lag in those with current hay fever alone, current hay fever and current asthma, and current asthma alone

Outcomes	Grass pollen					
	Lag 0		Lag 1		Lag 2	
	Coef. (95% CI)	P-value	Coef. (95% CI)	P-value	Coef. (95% CI)	P-value
In those with current hay fever and no asthma (N = 44 for spirometry; N = 48 for FeNO test)						
FEF _{25%-75%} (mL/s)	-306 (-736, 124)	.16	-451 (-845, -56)*	.03*	-508 (-856, -160)*	.004*
Absolute BDR (mL)	20 (-40, 80)	.52	39 (-21, 99)	.21	63 (-0.003, 125)*	.05*
FeNO (ppb)	12.5 (1.5, 23)*	.025*	16 (4.6, 27)*	.006*	11.3 (-0.7, 23)	.066
In those with current hay fever and current asthma (N = 43 for spirometry)						
Absolute BDR (mL)	98 (44, 153)*	<.001*	95 (44, 146)*	<.001*	92 (39, 146)*	<.001*
In those with current asthma and no current hay fever (N = 166 for spirometry)						
Absolute BDR (mL)	56 (-6.4, 119)	.08	69 (7.8, 131)*	.03*	63 (7.5, 119)*	.03*
					43.5 (-8.7, 96)	.10
					17.1 (2.6, 32)*	.02*
Lag 0-3						
					Coef. (95% CI)	P-value
					-120 (-220, -19)*	.007*
					12.5 (-3.8, 29)	.06
					3.5 (0.6, 6.1)*	.03*
					25 (11, 38)*	.001*

Note: NB FEF_{25%-75%}: absolute BDR and FeNO associations were adjusted for age, sex, height, relative humidity, temperature, ozone, and season of testing. All results are interpreted as per increase in 29 grass pollen grains/m³.

*P ≤ .05.

current asthma compared to those without. This is consistent with asthmatics being more susceptible to reversible airflow limitation during peak grass pollen seasons.¹² In asthma, the lung elasticity is further lost, and exposure to grass pollen would lead to increased inflammation. Additionally, we observed a significant association with FEF_{25%-75%}, FeNO and absolute BDR among those with hay fever alone, but only with absolute BDR among those with both asthma and hay fever or asthma alone. This was not what we expected because one would speculate that people with current asthma would have more pulmonary function changes to allergen exposure. Nevertheless, the strongest associations in absolute BDR were observed among those with both asthma and hay fever, followed by those with asthma alone. For those with hay fever alone, the changes in absolute BDR were smaller but significant at lag 2, indicating a degree of sub-clinical asthma making them potentially quite vulnerable to a large surge of atmospheric pollen such as in a thunderstorm.

Indeed, grass pollen-sensitized individuals irrespective of current hay fever and asthma status were observed to have increased airway inflammation with increased grass pollen exposure, but pollen-sensitized individuals with either current hay fever or asthma were shown to have greater associations in terms of both lung function and airway inflammation. There was also a modifying effect of age with adults having stronger associations with increased grass pollen exposure, which is consistent with adults being more affected by thunderstorm asthma.^{4,54} Although the response rate for mothers was slightly higher compared to fathers, this matched the distribution of males to females in the study (42% and 58% respectively) and so is unlikely to have biased the findings. Furthermore, reporting bias was unlikely because we used objective lung function measures to assess the outcomes.

4.1 | Strengths and limitations

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first large, community-based study to investigate the association between grass pollen levels and objective measures of lung function and airway inflammation. Furthermore, clinical and exposure measurements were carried out using gold standard techniques and validated questionnaires to limit measurement bias. The wide range of data collected from this study also allowed investigation into effect modifiers, which had not been previously documented.

We did not find evidence to support the hypothesis of interactions between exposures to fungi and pollen. Nevertheless, this should be examined in future studies, especially given the isolated findings that we observed in relation to few specific fungi taxa. There was also no evidence of interaction by air pollutants and meteorological variables. This could be due to limited power, lack of individual level data (eg, proximity of residence to major roads), and the relatively low pollution levels in this study setting. Thunderstorm asthma events occur during extreme weather conditions such as an increase in humidity, a sudden drop in temperature and presence of thunderstorm outflows, which result in the increase of pollen

TABLE 5 Adjusted analysis of grass pollen and FEV₁ and FeNO stratified by grass pollen sensitization, with and without current hay fever on same day, lag 1, lag 2, lag 3 and cumulative 3-d lag

Grass pollen	FEV ₁ (mL)				FeNO (ppb)			
	Sensitized to ≥1 grass pollen extract without current hay fever n = 373		Sensitized to ≥1 grass pollen extract with current hay fever n = 426		Sensitized to ≥1 grass pollen extract without current hay fever n = 385		Sensitized to ≥1 grass pollen extract with current hay fever n = 396	
	Coef. (95% CI)	P-value	Coef. (95% CI)	P-value	Coef. (95% CI)	P-value	Coef. (95% CI)	P-value
Lag 0	34 (−53, 122)	.44	43 (−120, 34)	.28	1.3 (−3.5, 6.1)	.60	5.5 (0.09, 11)*	.047*
Lag 1	53 (−39, 146)	.26	−37 (−105, 31)	.28	3.2 (−1.8, 7.8)	.22	5.2 (−0.09, 11)*	.05*
Lag 2	57 (−44, 159)	.27	−67 (−136, 2.3)	.06	2.9 (−1.9, 7.8)	.23	5.2 (−0.2, 11)	.06
Lag 3	30 (−91, 149)	.63	−78 (−157, −2.5)*	.04*	2.0 (−1.97, 6.4)	.31	2.3 (−2.8, 7.8)	.37
Lag 0-3	13 (−15, 42)	.35	−16 (−35, 3.8)	.10	0.6 (−0.6, 2.0)	.29	1.2 (−0.1, 2.6)	.07

Note: NB FEV₁ and FeNO associations were adjusted for age, sex, height, relative humidity, temperature, ozone and season of testing. All results are interpreted as per increase in 29 grass pollen grains/m³.

*P ≤ .05.

allergen load in the air. Unfortunately, we did not have patient data to assess the effects of these extreme weather conditions.

Several other study limitations also need to be considered. There was potential for spurious findings due to multiple hypothesis testing. Grass pollen counts were measured at a single site and this might not have accurately reflected exposure at an individual level. Nonetheless, measurements were made within approximately 50 km of the central business district, where all participants resided. Data such as the relative distance between residence and collection site, time spent outdoors, and indoor pollen measurements were also not collected, so these would have limited exposure assessment. However, these misclassifications were likely to be non-differential, would bias toward the null and so unlikely to explain the positive results. Furthermore, the cross-sectional approach of this analysis did not consider daily changes in allergen exposure on lung function and airway inflammation as the participants were assessed only once during the study period. This would have provided stronger evidence for the associations found in this study. Moreover, we had limited data to explore grass pollen at the species level and sensitization to specific grass pollen taxa. This would have provided us with a better understanding on the relationship between grass pollen, lung function, and airway inflammation. Lastly, the MACS cohort consisted of individuals with a family history of allergic diseases. While this may limit generalizability, approximately 70% of the Australian population has a family history of allergies.⁵⁵

In conclusion, the findings from our study highlight the relationship between short-term grass pollen exposure (within 3 days) and subsequent lung function and airway inflammation changes. Our data suggest that adults and individuals with current asthma, current hay fever, and grass pollen sensitization are especially vulnerable. These findings are fundamental to inform individual and public health preventive strategies for asthma exacerbation and hospital admissions due to grass pollen exposure, such as a preventive asthma therapy or allergen immunotherapy. In addition, the use of modern

technologies such as early warning systems on smartphones and social media sites for impending high pollen days, as well as broader information technology systems (ITS)-based public education to the adverse effects of grass pollen exposure could increasingly play an important preventive role.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the early contributions of the original MACS investigators: Dr David Hill, Dr Cliff Hosking, and Christine Axelrad. We also thank the participants for their ongoing support. Fahad M Aldakheel extends his appreciation to the College of Applied Medical Sciences Research Centre and the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Michael Abramson holds investigator-initiated grants from Pfizer and Boehringer Ingelheim. He has undertaken an unrelated consultancy for and received assistance with conference attendance from Sanofi. He has also received a speaker's fee from GSK. Jennifer Perret has received a travel grant from Boehringer Ingelheim in 2018. Jo Douglass reports grants from CSL-Behring LTD, personal fees from Astra-Zeneca, Novartis and GSK as well as contracted research from Sanofi-Aventis, Grifols P/L, BioCryst P/L, and Equilibrium P/L outside the submitted work. Mimi Tang reports that she has received speaker fees from Abbott Nutrition and Nestle Health Science and consultant fees from Bayer Pharmaceuticals. She is also a member of the Global Scientific Advisory Board for Nutricia and of the Medical Advisory Board for Nestle Nutrition Institute. Additionally, she is an employee of, and holds share options/interest in Prota Therapeutics.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Sabrina Idrose investigated, wrote the original draft and edited the manuscript. Rachel Tham investigated, reviewed and edited the manuscript,

and supervised. Caroline Lodge, Adrian Lowe, Dinh Bui, Jennifer Perret, Don Vicendese, Edward Newbigin, Mimi Tang, Nilakshi Waidyatillake,, Jo Douglass, Michael Abramson, and Haydn Walters reviewed and edited the manuscript. Fahad Aldakheel investigated, reviewed and edited the manuscript. Bircan Erbas and Shyamali Dharmage conceptualized, reviewed and edited the manuscript, and supervised.

ORCID

Caroline J. Lodge  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2342-3888>

Adrian J. Lowe  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4691-8162>

Fahad M. Aldakheel  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8047-8650>

Bircan Erbas  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9597-418X>

Shyamali C. Dharmage  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6063-1937>

REFERENCES

1. Network GA. The global asthma report 2018. Auckland, New Zealand. 2016.
2. Ordoñez GA, Phelan PD, Olinsky A, Robertson CF. Preventable factors in hospital admissions for asthma. *Arch Dis Child*. 1998;78(2):143.
3. Erbas B, Chang JH, Dharmage S, et al. Do levels of airborne grass pollen influence asthma hospital admissions? *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2007;37(11):1641-1647.
4. Thien F, Beggs PJ, Csutoros D, et al. The Melbourne epidemic thunderstorm asthma event 2016: an investigation of environmental triggers, effect on health services, and patient risk factors. *Lancet Planet Health*. 2018;2(6):255-263.
5. Idrose NS, Dharmage SC, Lowe AJ, et al. A systematic review of the role of grass pollen and fungi in thunderstorm asthma. *Environ Res*. 2020;181:108911.
6. Mohapatra SS, Lockey RF, Shirley S. Immunobiology of grass pollen allergens. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*. 2005;5(5):381.
7. Suphioglu C, Blaher B, Rolland JM, et al. Molecular basis of IgE-recognition of Lol p 5, a major allergen of rye-grass pollen. *Mol Immunol*. 1998;35(5):293-305.
8. Suphioglu C, Singh MB, Taylor P, et al. Mechanism of grass-pollen-induced asthma. *Lancet*. 1992;339(8793):569-572.
9. Schmidt CW. Pollen overload: seasonal allergies in a changing climate. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2016;124(4):A70-A75.
10. Silver JD, Spriggs K, Haberle S, Katelaris CH, Newbigin EJ, Lampugnani ER. Crowd-sourced allergic rhinitis symptom data: the influence of environmental and demographic factors. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;705:135147.
11. Di Gioacchino M, Cavallucci E, Di Stefano F, et al. Effect of natural allergen exposure on non-specific bronchial reactivity in asthmatic farmers. *Sci Total Environ*. 2001;270(1-3):43-48.
12. Djukanović R, Feather I, Gratiou C, et al. Effect of natural allergen exposure during the grass pollen season on airways inflammatory cells and asthma symptoms. *Thorax*. 1996;51(6):575-581.
13. Epton MJ, Martin IR, Graham P, et al. Climate and aeroallergen levels in asthma: a 12 month prospective study. *Thorax*. 1997;52(6):528-534.
14. Steerenberg PA, Bischoff EW, de Klerk A, et al. Acute effect of air pollution on respiratory complaints, exhaled NO and biomarkers in nasal lavages of allergic children during the pollen season. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol*. 2003;131(2):127-137.
15. Gruzjeva O, Pershagen G, Wickman M, et al. Exposure to grass pollen-but not birch pollen-affects lung function in Swedish children. *Allergy*. 2015;70(9):1181-1183.
16. Packe GE, Ayres JG. Asthma outbreak during a thunderstorm. *Lancet*. 1985;2(8448):199-204.
17. Venables KM, Allitt U, Collier CG, et al. Thunderstorm-related asthma-the epidemic of 24/25 June 1994. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 1997;27(7):725-736.
18. Knox RB. Grass pollen, thunderstorms and asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 1993;23(5):354-359.
19. Amato G, Liccardi G, Amato M, Cazzola M. Outdoor air pollution, climatic changes and allergic bronchial asthma. *Eur Respir J*. 2002;20(3):763.
20. Krämer U, Weidinger S, Darsow U, Möhrenschrager M, Ring J, Behrendt H. Seasonality in symptom severity influenced by temperature or grass pollen: results of a panel study in children with eczema. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2005;124(3):514-523.
21. Bartková-Ščevková J. The influence of temperature, relative humidity and rainfall on the occurrence of pollen allergens (*Betula*, *Poaceae*, *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) in the atmosphere of Bratislava (Slovakia). *Int J Biometeorol*. 2003;48(1):1-5.
22. Lowe AJ, Lodge CJ, Allen KJ, et al. Cohort profile: melbourne atopy cohort study (MACS). *Int J Epidemiol*. 2017;46(1):25-26.
23. Lowe AJ, Hosking CS, Bennett CM, et al. Effect of a partially hydrolyzed whey infant formula at weaning on risk of allergic disease in high-risk children: a randomized controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011;128(2):360-365.
24. Erbas B, Dharmage S, Sullivan M, et al. A case-crossover design to examine the role of aeroallergens and respiratory viruses on childhood asthma exacerbations requiring hospitalization: the MAPCAH study. *J Biom Biostat*. 2012;1 1-6.
25. Tham R, Vicendese D, Dharmage SC, et al. Associations between outdoor fungal spores and childhood and adolescent asthma hospitalizations. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2017;139(4):1140-1147.
26. Erbas B, Akram M, Dharmage SC, et al. The role of seasonal grass pollen on childhood asthma emergency department presentations. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2012;42(5):799-805.
27. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J*. 2005;26(2):319.
28. Sim YS, Lee J-H, Lee W-Y, et al. Spirometry and bronchodilator test. *Tuberc Respir Dis*. 2017;80(2):105-112.
29. Tan WC, Bourbeau J, Hernandez P, et al. Bronchodilator responsiveness and reported respiratory symptoms in an adult population. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(3):e58932.
30. ATS/ERS recommendations for standardized procedures for the online and offline measurement of exhaled lower respiratory nitric oxide and nasal nitric oxide, 2005. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2005;171(8):912-930.
31. Silkoff PE, Erzurum SC, Lundberg JO, et al. ATS workshop proceedings: exhaled nitric oxide and nitric oxide oxidative metabolism in exhaled breath condensate. *Proc Am Thorac Soc*. 2006;3(2):131-145.
32. Horvath I, Hunt J, Barnes PJ, et al. Exhaled breath condensate: methodological recommendations and unresolved questions. *Eur Respir J*. 2005;26(3):523-548.
33. Aldakheel FM, Bourke JE, Thomas PS, et al. NOx in exhaled breath condensate is related to allergic sensitization in young and middle-aged adults. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2019;49(2):171-179.
34. Asher MI, Keil U, Anderson HR, et al. International study of asthma and allergies in childhood (ISAAC): rationale and methods. *Eur Respir J*. 1995;8(3):483-491.
35. Fingleton J, Weatherall M, Beasley R. Bronchodilator responsiveness: interpret with caution. *Thorax*. 2012;67(8):667.
36. Tham R, Erbas B, Dharmage SC, et al. Outdoor fungal spores and acute respiratory effects in vulnerable individuals. *Environ Res*. 2019;178:108675.
37. Todea A, Suatcan I, Coman A, Rosca L. The effect of climate change and air pollution on allergenic potential of pollens. *Not Bot Horti Agrobot Cluj-Napoca*. 2013;41:646-650.

38. Khatri SB, Holguin FC, Ryan PB, Mannino D, Erzurum SC, Teague WG. Association of ambient ozone exposure with airway inflammation and allergy in adults with asthma. *J Asthma*. 2009;46(8):777-785.
39. Frank U, Ernst D. Effects of NO₂ and Ozone on pollen allergenicity. *Front Plant Sci*. 2016;7:91.
40. Li S, Baker PJ, Jalaludin BB, Marks GB, Denison LS, Williams GM. Ambient temperature and lung function in children with asthma in Australia. *Eur Respir J*. 2014;43(4):1059.
41. Noh SR, Paek D. Weather and airway inflammation. *Epidemiology*. 2011;22(1):S18.
42. Erbas B, Dharmage SC, Tang ML, et al. Do human rhinovirus infections and food allergy modify grass pollen-induced asthma hospital admissions in children? *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015;136(4):1118-1120.
43. Roberts G, Hurley C, Bush A, Lack G. Longitudinal study of grass pollen exposure, symptoms, and exhaled nitric oxide in childhood seasonal allergic asthma. *Thorax*. 2004;59(9):752-756.
44. Boldogh I, Bacsí A, Choudhury BK, et al. ROS generated by pollen NADPH oxidase provide a signal that augments antigen-induced allergic airway inflammation. *J Clin Invest*. 2005;115(8):2169-2179.
45. Marseglia GL, Cirillo I, Vizzaccaro A, et al. Role of forced expiratory flow at 25–75% as an early marker of small airways impairment in subjects with allergic rhinitis. *Allergy Asthma Proc*. 2007;28(1):74-78.
46. Thien F. Measuring and imaging small airways dysfunction in asthma. *Asia Pac Allergy*. 2013;3(4):224-230.
47. Bake B, Viklund E, Olin AC. Effects of pollen season on central and peripheral nitric oxide production in subjects with pollen asthma. *Respir Med*. 2014;108(9):1277-1283.
48. Larsson P, Larstad M, Bake B, et al. Exhaled particles as markers of small airway inflammation in subjects with asthma. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging*. 2017;37(5):489-497.
49. Panzner P, Malkusova I, Vachova M, et al. Bronchial inflammation in seasonal allergic rhinitis with or without asthma in relation to natural exposure to pollen allergens. *Allergol Immunopathol*. 2015;43(1):3-9.
50. Barreiro TJ, Perillo I. An approach to interpreting spirometry. *Am Fam Physician*. 2004;69(5):1107-1114.
51. Riley CM, Wenzel SE, Castro M, et al. Clinical implications of having reduced mid forced expiratory flow rates (FEF₂₅₋₇₅), independently of FEV₁, in adult patients with asthma. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(12):e0145476.
52. George L, Brightling CE. Eosinophilic airway inflammation: role in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis*. 2016;7(1):34-51.
53. Berry MA, Shaw DE, Green RH, Brightling CE, Wardlaw AJ, Pavord ID. The use of exhaled nitric oxide concentration to identify eosinophilic airway inflammation: an observational study in adults with asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2005;35(9):1175-1179.
54. Silver JD, Sutherland MF, Johnston FH, et al. Seasonal asthma in Melbourne, Australia, and some observations on the occurrence of thunderstorm asthma and its predictability. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(4):e0194929.
55. Koplin JJ, Allen KJ, Gurrin LC, et al. The impact of family history of allergy on risk of food allergy: a population-based study of infants. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2013;10(11):5364-5377.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Idrose NS, Tham RCA, Lodge CJ, et al. Is short-term exposure to grass pollen adversely associated with lung function and airway inflammation in the community? *Allergy*. 2020;00:1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/all.14566>

Supplementary Materials

Table S1. Number of current asthmatics and non-asthmatics who attended the clinic each month between 2009 to 2011.

Year	Month	Current asthma n (%)	
		No	Yes
2009-2011	January (n=119)	96 (81%)	23 (19%)
	February (n=86)	65 (76%)	21 (24%)
	March (n=66)	42 (64%)	24 (36%)
	April (n=64)	39 (61%)	25 (39%)
	May (n=49)	31 (63%)	18 (37%)
	June (n=38)	28 (74%)	10 (26%)
	July (n=50)	35 (70%)	15 (30%)
	August (n=34)	23 (68%)	11 (32%)
	September (n=126)	83 (66%)	43 (34%)
	October (n=82)	65 (79%)	17 (21%)
	November (n=62)	41 (66%)	21 (34%)
	December (n=35)	16 (46%)	19 (54%)

Table S2. Summary statistics and Spearman's correlations between grass pollen and other environmental factors during the study period.

Variables	N (days)	Mean (SD)	Minimum	Lower quartile	Median	Upper quartile	90%	Maximum	Correlation coefficient (p-value)
Aeroallergens									
Grass pollen (grains/m ³)	852	11.6 (27.6)	0	0	0	7	36	207	-
Grass pollen during peak season† (grains/m ³)	338	28.4 (38.1)	0	3	14	36	82	207	-
<i>Cladosporium</i> (spores/m ³)	852	12.6 (45.9)	0	0	3	8	28	907	0.48 (<0.001)
<i>Leptosphaeria</i> (spores/m ³)	852	4.0 (13.4)	0	0	0	2	8	203	0.11 (<0.004)
<i>Alternaria</i> (spores/m ³)	852	3.3 (8.0)	0	0	0	3	9	98	0.47 (<0.001)
smuts	852	3.2 (14.8)	0	0	0	0	6	224	0.29 (<0.001)
Meteorological variables									
Maximum temperature (°C)	851	21.2 (5.9)	10.8	16.5	20.3	24.9	29.5	43.6	0.46 (<0.001)
Rainfall (mm)	824	2.3 (6.6)	0	0	0	1.4	6.6	82.4	-0.03 (0.49)
Relative humidity (%)	849	51.2 (15.9)	8	41	49	61	73	96	-0.24 (<0.001)
Air pollutants									
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	831	4.9 (3.1)	-0.8	2.9	4.2	6.2	8.8	20.2	-0.03 (0.43)
PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	832	17.7 (7.0)	3.5	12.7	16.5	21.4	26.2	60.1	0.09 (0.01)
Nitrogen dioxide (ppb)	847	9.5 (4.1)	0.4	6.5	9	12.4	15.1	23.8	-0.25 (<0.001)
Ozone (ppb)	816	13.7 (5.5)	-0.2	10.3	13.5	17.1	20.3	32.1	0.28 (<0.001)

†Peak season= October to January

Table S3. **Crude analysis** of grass pollen and lung function outcomes on same day, lag 1, lag 2, lag 3 and cumulative 3-day lag.

Outcomes	Grass pollen									
	Lag 0		Lag 1		Lag 2		Lag 3		Lag 0-3	
	Coef. (95% CI)	p-value	Coef. (95% CI)	p-value	Coef. (95% CI)	p-value	Coef. (95% CI)	p-value	Coef. (95% CI)	p-value
FEV₁ (ml)	10.4 (-45, 66)	0.71	-1.45 (-45, 42)	0.95	-10.2 (-52, 31)	0.63	-19.4 (-69, 30)	0.44	-1.35 (-14, 11)	0.84
FEF_{25-75%} (ml/second)	-13.6 (-102, 75)	0.76	-34.5 (-119, 52)	0.43	-73.4 (-161, 14)*	0.10	-87.9 (-165, -2.5)*	0.04*	-14.8 (-38, 8.7)	0.22
FVC (ml)	15 (-44, 74)	0.63	12 (-35, 59)	0.62	19 (-29, 66)	0.45	11 (-48, 71)	0.71	4.1 (-10, 19)	0.57
FEV₁/FVC ratio (%)	-0.3 (-1, 1)	0.69	-0.3 (-1, 0.3)	0.38	-1.0 (-1, 0.3)	0.10	-0.9 (-1, -0.1)*	0.048*	-0.05 (-0.3, 0.03)	0.18
Absolute BDR (ml)	-4.4 (-19, 10)	0.58	3.8 (-13,21)	0.65	9.3 (-8.4, 27)	0.30	7.3 (-10, 25)	0.42	1.2 (-3.5, 5.8)	0.62
FeNO (ppb)	2.61 (-1.7, 7.3)	0.24	3.5 (-0.9, 7.5)	0.10	3.2 (-0.9, 7.5)	0.10	1.5 (-2.3, 5.2)	0.48	0.03 (-0.01, 0.06)	0.18
EBC (pH)	0.06 (-0.09, 0.3)	0.41	0.06 (-0.06, 0.3)	0.23	0.09 (-0.03, 0.3)	0.10	0.09 (-0.06, 0.3)	0.21	0.03 (-0.01, 0.06)	0.21

	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value
EBC NO_x (μmol)	0.75 (0.4, 1.0)	0.36	0.75 (0.6, 1.0)	0.54	0.97 (0.6, 1.8)	0.87	1.0 (0.6, 1.0)	0.75	0.97 (0.75, 1.0)	0.71
Positive BDR	1.0 (0.56, 1.0)	0.49	1.0 (0.75, 1)	0.76	1.0 (0.75, 1.0)	0.67	1.0 (0.75, 1.3)	0.96	1.0 (0.92, 1.0)	0.89

N.B. All associations except FEV₁/FVC ratio were adjusted for age, sex and height. EBC associations were adjusted for storage time. All results are interpreted as per increase in 29 grass pollen grains/m³. *p≤0.05.

Table S4. **Adjusted analysis** of grass pollen and FeNO, FEF_{25-75%} and FEV₁/FVC ratio stratified by grass pollen sensitisation (irrespective of current hay fever and current asthma status) and current hay fever on same day, lag 1, lag 2, lag 3 and cumulative 3-day lag.

Grass pollen	Outcomes					
	FeNO (ppb)					
	Not sensitised to ≥ 1 grass pollen extract			Sensitised to ≥ 1 grass pollen extract		
	n=394			n=363		
	Coef. (95% CI)			Coef. (95% CI)		
				p-int		
Lag 0	0.64 (-3.8, 5.2)			6.1 (0.4, 11.6)		
Lag 1	2.8 (-1.5, 7.0)			6.1 (0.6, 11.6)		
Lag 2	2.6 (-1.7, 7.0)			7.0 (1.2, 12.5)		
Lag 3	1.6 (-2.3, 5.5)			3.2 (-2.0, 8.1)		
Lag 0-3	0.58 (-0.6, 1.8)			1.5 (0.1, 2.9)		
Grass pollen	FEF _{25-75%} (ml/second)			FEV ₁ /FVC ratio (%)		
	No current hayfever	Current hayfever		No current hayfever	Current hayfever	
	n=655	n=100		n=655	n=100	
	Coef. (95% CI)	Coef. (95% CI)	p-int	Coef. (95% CI)	Coef. (95% CI)	p-int
Lag 0	-9.3 (-167, 148)	-115 (-342, 112)	0.43	-0.09 (-1.2, 1.2)	-0.6 (-2.0, 0.9)	0.62
Lag 1	-4.9 (-164, 155)	-185 (-397, 28)	0.17	-0.4 (-1.7, 0.9)	-1.2 (-2.6, 0.3)	0.50
Lag 2	-60 (-230, 109)	-233 (-455, -8.7)	0.21	-0.9 (-2.0, 0.6)	-1.2 (-2.6, 0.2)	0.64
Lag 3	-88 (-248, 73)	-222 (-444, 0.6)	0.31	-0.9 (-2.0, 0.3)	-1.2 (-3.0, 0.2)	0.76

Lag 0-3	-8.4 (-55, 38)	-47 (-103, 9.9)	0.28	-0.1 (-0.5, 0.3)	-0.3 (-0.9, 0.03)	0.34
---------	----------------	-----------------	------	------------------	-------------------	------

N.B. FEF_{25-75%} and FeNO associations were adjusted for age, sex, height, relative humidity, temperature, ozone and season of testing. FEV₁/FVC ratio associations were adjusted for relative humidity, temperature, ozone and season of testing. All results are interpreted as per increase in 29 grass pollen grains/m³.

*p-int≤0.1.

Table S5. **Adjusted analysis** of grass pollen and lung function outcomes on same day, lag 1, lag 2, lag 3 and cumulative 3-day lag in the presence of little to no *Cladosporium*, *Leptosphaeria*, *Alternaria* and smuts and their respective p-values for interaction terms.

Outcomes	Grass pollen									
	Lag 0		Lag 1		Lag 2		Lag 3		Lag 0-3	
	Coef. (95% CI)	p-int	Coef. (95% CI)	p-int	Coef. (95% CI)	p-int	Coef. (95% CI)	p-int	Coef. (95% CI)	p-int
<i>Cladosporium</i> (<3 spores/m³)										
FEV ₁ (ml)	-30 (-148, 87)	0.81	-66 (-189, 58)	0.51	-65 (-194, 64)	0.80	-47 (-162, 70)	0.90	-15 (-46, 18)	0.58
FVC (ml)	88 (-11, 188)*	0.65	-45 (-61, 151)	0.11	51 (-58, 160)	0.05*	41 (-86, 168)	0.71	15 (-12, 42)	0.30
FEF _{25-75%} (ml/second)	-282 (-484, -78)*	0.54	-325 (-525, -124)	0.69	-328 (-542, -114)	0.01*	-265 (-429, -102)	0.45	-82 (-136, -27)	0.73
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio (%)	-2.9 (-4.9, -0.8)	0.03*	-2.9 (-5.5, -1.0)	0.93	-2.9 (-4.9, -1.2)	0.01*	-2.3 (-3.5, -1.2)	0.20	-0.8 (-1.2, -0.3)	0.96
Absolute BDR (ml)	28 (-16, 71)	0.56	24 (-13, 61)	0.01*	40 (0.96, 79)	0.01*	20 (-12, 52)	0.27	7.5 (-0.87, 16)	0.11
FeNO (ppb)	9.6 (-4.9, 24)	0.35	9.6 (-3.2, 22)	0.65	7.8 (-3.8, 19)	0.09*	8.1 (-3.2, 19)	0.30	2.3 (-0.9, 5.5)	0.93
EBC pH	0.12 (-0.8, 0.9)	0.57	0.3 (-0.6, 1.2)	0.54	-0.06 (-0.6, 0.3)	0.73	-0.06 (-0.6, 0.6)	0.96	-0.003 (-0.3, 0.3)	0.82
<i>Leptosphaeria</i> (<2 spores/m³)										
FEV ₁ (ml)	-11 (-66, 45)	0.06*	-16 (-72, 40)	0.86	-23 (-84, 37)	0.38	-17 (-78, -44)	0.26	-4.4 (-19, 10)	0.18

FVC (ml)	1.5 (-75, 72)	0.11	3.8 (-66, 73)	0.22	7.3 (-62, 77)	0.74	11 (-68, 90)	0.38	1.5 (-18, 20)	0.14
FEF _{25-75%} (ml/second)	-64 (-183, -55)	0.01*	-90 (-216, 38)	0.28	-119 (-264, 23)	0.34	-110 (-240, 20)	0.44	-25 (-58, 8.4)	0.79
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio (%)	-0.6 (-2.9, 0.6)	0.02*	-0.9 (-2.0, 0.09)	0.13	-1.2 (-2.9, 0.03)	0.08*	-0.9 (-2.9, 0.3)	0.91	-0.3 (-0.5, 0.06)	0.84
Absolute BDR (ml)	0.64 (-23, 24)	0.99	4.1 (-20, 28)	0.04*	11.3 (-17, 39)	0.02*	6.7 (-19, 33)	0.43	1.5 (-5.2, 8.1)	0.01*
FeNO (ppb)	2.3 (-3.8, 8.4)	0.77	3.2 (-2.6, 9.3)	0.32	3.5 (-2.3, 9.3)	0.23	2.3 (-3.2, 7.8)	0.80	0.8 (-0.8, 2.3)	0.66
EBC pH	0.1 (-0.01, 0.3)	0.97	0.26 (0.09, 0.46)	0.38	0.27 (0.03, 0.49)	0.90	0.32 (0.08, 0.55)	0.30	0.07 (0.02, 0.1)	0.33
Alternaria (<3 spores/m³)										
FEV ₁ (ml)	1.1 (-101, 103)	0.14	-32 (-116, 53)	0.18	-22 (-91, 47)	0.35	-2.6 (-70, 64)	0.84	-3.8 (-25, 17)	0.06*
FVC (ml)	29 (-85, 142)	0.17	31 (-60, 121)	0.06*	49 (-23, 119)	0.01*	54 (-18, 126)	0.61	13 (-10, 35)	0.07*
FEF _{25-75%} (ml/second)	-44 (-264, 177)	0.36	-134 (-307, 40)	0.96	-139 (-293, 15)	0.49	-109 (-247, 29)	0.74	-32 (-78, 14)	0.63
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio (%)	-0.9 (2.3, 0.6)	0.50	-1.5 (-2.6, -0.2)	0.74	-1.5 (-2.3, -0.3)	0.25	-1.0 (-1.7, -0.3)	0.17	-0.3 (-0.6, -0.03)	0.54
Absolute BDR (ml)	4.10 (-34, 42)	0.87	22 (-7.3, 51)	0.01*	20 (-8.7, 49)	0.10*	14 (-12, 40)	0.33	4.6 (-3.2, 12)	0.04*
FeNO (ppb)	0.6 (-8.4, 9.3)	0.74	1.7 (-5.5, 9.3)	0.58	0.8 (-6.1, 7.8)	0.31	0.3 (-5.8, 6.7)	0.64	0.3 (-1.7, 2.0)	0.45
EBC pH	0.3 (0.04, 0.6)	0.44	0.2 (0.005, 0.4)	0.77	0.2 (-0.02, 0.4)	0.80	0.26 (0.03, 0.5)*	0.51	0.07 (0.01, 0.1)	0.67
smuts (<6 spores/m³)										

FEV ₁ (ml)	35 (-64, 135)	0.02*	-12 (-86, 62)	0.40	-25 (-88, 38)	0.02*	-21 (-85, 42)	0.55	-2.3 (-24, 19)	0.01*
FVC (ml)	35 (-63, 134)	0.09*	18 (-55, 91)	0.07*	28 (-44, 100)	0.17	25 (-60, 110)	0.63	-2.3 (-24, 19)	0.03*
FEF _{25-75%} (ml/second)	18 (-141, 176)	0.01*	-78 (-229, 73)	0.65	-128 (-262, 5.8)	0.01*	-119 (-238, 0.6)	0.43	-25 (-66, 16)	0.05*
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio (%)	-0.1 (-1.3, 1.1)	0.02*	-0.6 (-2.0, 0.6)	0.57	-0.9 (-2.0, 0.3)	0.06*	-0.9 (-1.7, -0.2)	0.63	-0.3 (-0.6, 0.1)	0.16
Absolute BDR (ml)	-4.4 (-28, 20)	0.67	13 (-12, 38)	0.89	19 (-4.9, 42)	0.20	16 (-5.8, 39)	0.10*	3.5 (-2.9, 10)	0.76
FeNO (ppb)	-2.9 (-6.1, 12)	0.55	4.4 (-3.8, 12)	0.54	2.3 (-5.8, 10)	0.14	1.7 (-5.5, 9.3)	0.83	0.8 (-1.4, 2.9)	0.52
EBC pH	0.3 (0.06, 0.58)	0.96	0.2 (0.06, 0.4)	0.83	0.1 (-0.02, 0.3)	0.18	0.2 (0.004, 0.4)	0.81	0.06 (0.02, 0.1)	0.10*

N.B. FEV₁, FEF_{25-75%}, absolute BDR and FeNO associations were adjusted for age, sex, height, relative humidity, temperature, ozone and season of testing. FEV₁/FVC ratio associations were adjusted for relative humidity, temperature, ozone and season of testing. EBC pH associations were adjusted for storage time in addition to the other confounders. All results are interpreted as per increase in 29 grass pollen grains/m³. p-int= p-value for interaction term. *p-int≤0.1.

Table S6. P-values for the interaction between grass pollen and the combined variable of current asthma and current hay fever for different outcome variables.

Outcome variables	Lag 0	Lag 1	Lag 2	Lag 3	Lag 0-3
FEV ₁	0.53	0.30	0.33	0.31	0.36
FVC	0.97	0.93	0.85	0.78	0.90
FEF _{25-75%}	0.38	0.086*	0.05*	0.090*	0.099*
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio	0.63	0.61	0.54	0.54	0.62
Absolute BDR	0.01*	0.04*	0.23	0.48	0.11
FeNO	0.05*	0.09*	0.04*	0.50	0.11

*p-int≤0.1.

Table S7. P-values for the interaction between grass pollen and the combined variable of pollen sensitisation and current hay fever for different outcome variables.

Outcome variables	Lag 0	Lag 1	Lag 2	Lag 3	Lag 0-3
FEV ₁	0.18	0.08*	0.07*	0.16	0.12
FVC	0.14	0.03*	0.01*	0.06*	0.03*
FEF _{25-75%}	0.60	0.49	0.58	0.58	0.60
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio	0.13	0.41	0.78	0.99	0.59
Absolute BDR	0.40	0.61	0.22	0.44	0.44
FeNO	0.09*	0.05*	0.05*	0.087*	0.06*

*p-int≤0.1.

Table S8. **Adjusted analysis** of grass pollen and FVC, stratified by sensitisation with and without current hay fever on same day, lag 1, lag 2, lag 3 and cumulative 3-day lag.

Grass pollen	FVC (ml)			
	Sensitised to ≥ 1 grass pollen extract without current hay fever		Sensitised to ≥ 1 grass pollen extract with current hay fever	
	n=373		n=426	
	Coef. (95% CI)	p-value	Coef. (95% CI)	p-value
Lag 0	2.9 (-86, 92)	0.95	-35 (-112, 43)	0.38
Lag 1	60 (-36, 157)	0.22	-23 (-93, 47)	0.53
Lag 2	90 (-3.2, 183)	0.06	-38 (-115, 38)	0.33
Lag 3	64 (-43, 170)	0.24	-36 (-139, 67)	0.49
Lag 0-3	17 (-9.3, 43)	0.21	-9.0 (-31, 13)	0.42

N.B. FVC associations were adjusted for age, sex, height, relative humidity, temperature, ozone and season of testing. All results are interpreted as per increase in 29 grass pollen grains/m³. *p $\leq$ 0.05.

Table S9. P-values for the interaction between grass pollen and the combined variable of pollen sensitisation and current asthma for different outcome variables.

Outcome variables	Lag 0	Lag 1	Lag 2	Lag 3	Lag 0-3
FEV ₁	0.31	0.29	0.16	0.13	0.19
FVC	0.17	0.34	0.12	0.05*	0.13
FEF _{25-75%}	0.87	0.82	0.72	0.97	0.80
FEV ₁ /FVC ratio	0.38	0.51	0.37	0.30	0.38
Absolute BDR	0.43	0.28	0.11	0.23	0.16
FeNO	0.003*	0.002*	0.0001*	0.002*	0.001*

*p-int≤0.1.

Table S10. **Adjusted analysis** of grass pollen and FeNO, stratified by sensitisation with and without current asthma on same day, lag 1, lag 2, lag 3 and cumulative 3-day lag.

Grass pollen	FeNO (ppb)			
	Sensitised to ≥ 1 grass pollen extract without current asthma n=298		Sensitised to ≥ 1 grass pollen extract with current asthma n=447	
	Coef. (95% CI)	p-value	Coef. (95% CI)	p-value
Lag 0	-2.0 (-34, 0.7)	0.15	4.9 (-1.5, 11)	0.13
Lag 1	-1.7 (-4.4, 1.1)	0.23	4.4 (-1.4, 10)	0.14
Lag 2	-2.3 (-5.2, 0.6)	0.12	4.6 (-0.7, 10)	0.09
Lag 3	-2.2 (-4.9, 0.5)	0.11	1.4 (-3.8, 6.7)	0.59
Lag 0-3	-0.6 (-1.4, 0.2)	0.14	1.0 (-0.4, 2.5)	0.16

N.B. FeNO associations were adjusted for age, sex, height, relative humidity, temperature, ozone and season of testing. All results are interpreted as per increase in 29 grass pollen grains/m³. *p $\leq$ 0.05.

Collection method of aeroallergens

The Burkard volumetric spore trap was located on the rooftop of the Earth Sciences building at The University of Melbourne. The trap continuously collected ten litres of air per minute through an inlet and airborne particles were captured on a microscope slide coated with an adhesive. Grass pollen grains and fungal spores were counted and identified by a trained technician, and normalised to the volume of air sampled, giving concentrations in grains/m³ averaged over 24 hours. Pollen comprised of three taxa; grass, tree and weed, Common allergenic spores were identified and counted and comprised of 12 taxa: *Alternaria*, *Cladosporium*, *Coprinus*, *Drechslera*, *Ganoderma*, *Leptosphaeria*, *Periconia*, *Pithomyces*, *Pleospora*, *Sporormiella*, *Stemphylium* and *Ustilago*/smuts.

Skin-prick test method

The technique involved placing a single drop of mixed grass and ryegrass (Bayer, Spokane, WA, USA) allergen on the participant's forearm and the skin was pricked with a lancet. To control for false positives and negatives, histamine (1mg/ml) and saline were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

Bronchodilator response (BDR)

Bronchodilator response (BDR) was assessed using four puffs of 100µg salbutamol with a 30-second interval between puffs, using a large-volume spacer and a metered dose inhaler. Post-bronchodilator spirometry was obtained 10 minutes after the administration of the final dose.