

Advance Publication

The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science

Accepted Date: 29 Jun 2017

J-STAGE Advance Published Date: 14 Jul 2017

Incidence of anaphylactic reactions after propofol administration in dogs

Mamoru ONUMA^{1,2)}, Misao TERADA³⁾, Sadaharu ONO¹⁾, Akiyoshi MURAKAMI¹⁾,
Tomoko ISHIDA¹⁾ and Tadashi SANO^{4)*}

¹⁾Oosagami Animal Clinic, 1-33-3 Laketown, Koshigaya, Saitama 343-0828, Japan

²⁾Chiba Institute of Science Faculty of Risk and Crisis Management, 15-8 Shiomi-cho,
Choshi, Chiba 288-0025, Japan

³⁾Dokkyo Medical University Laboratory Animal Research Center, Kitakobayashi 880,
Shimotsugagun Mibumachi, Tochigi 321-0207 Japan

⁴⁾Rakuno Gakuen University School of Veterinary Medicine, 582,
Bunkyo-dai-Midorimachi, Ebetsu, Hokkaido 069-8501, Japan

***Correspondence to**

Tadashi SANO
Rakuno Gakuen University School of Veterinary Medicine, 582,
Bunkyo-dai-Midorimachi, Ebetsu, Hokkaido, 069-8501, Japan
tsano@rakuno.ac.jp

Running head: PROPOFOL-INDUCED ANAPHYLAXIS IN DOGS

ABSTRACT

Propofol is an anesthetic agent suspended in an emulsion system that includes egg yolk lecithin and soybean oil, because of which, there is concern about the use of propofol in patients allergic to these substances. We examined the association between propofol administration and incidence of adverse events in dogs with allergy to egg yolk lecithin and soybean oil. On the basis of the findings of an allergen-specific immunoglobulin E (IgE) test, 14 dogs with high levels (high-IgE group) and 7 dogs with low levels (normal-IgE group) of IgE were selected. Following intravenous administration of propofol, the incidence of anaphylactic reactions and plasma histamine concentrations under general anesthesia maintained with isoflurane throughout surgery were compared between the two groups. The frequency of anaphylactic reactions and plasma histamine concentrations were compared by the chi-square test and Student t-test, respectively. The statistical significance for both tests was set at $p < 0.05$. In the high- and normal-IgE groups, the average frequencies of anaphylactic reactions after propofol administration were 21.4% and 14.3%, and the mean plasma histamine concentrations were 167.9 ± 94.5 nM and 65.7 ± 40.3 nM, respectively. Animals of neither groups experienced shock-like symptoms. These results revealed that propofol might be relatively safe, although careful perioperative anesthesia monitoring and standby protocols are required when using propofol in dogs with a history of allergic diseases or high chicken- or soybean-specific IgE levels.

KEY WORDS: anesthesia, dogs, histamine, immunoglobulin-E, propofol-induced anaphylaxis

INTRODUCTION

Anaphylaxis is defined as “a potentially fatal hypersensitive reaction to the invasion of an allergen or other substance that causes systemic allergic symptoms in multiple organs” and anaphylactic shock as “hypotension or impaired consciousness associated with anaphylaxis” [24].

Propofol is widely used for canine anesthesia [19]. In human medicine, administration of propofol to patients allergic to eggs or soy protein is either contraindicated or requires careful handling by the anesthesiologist [3, 30]. In dogs, comprehensive precautions must also be taken against anaphylactic reaction as a form of toxicity during anesthesia, including during the use of injectable anesthetics. However, other than expressions of concern regarding the effect of allergic reaction during intradermal tests, there are no reports of propofol-induced anaphylaxis till date [6, 19].

The main allergens responsible for immunoglobulin (Ig) E-mediated food allergy in dogs are beef, chicken, cow milk, eggs, maize, soy, and rice, in that order [10]. Additionally, cross-reactions between chicken and eggs (yolk and white) are known to occur [11]. In addition to foods containing proteins as main ingredients, caution is also required with respect to those containing traces of protein as secondary ingredients;

soybean oil, described as being "allergen-free", has been found to contain residual protein [22], and severely soy-allergic human patients might experience a hypersensitive reaction to trace amounts of soy protein in soybean oil or soy lecithin [2, 7]. Therefore, in dogs, caution is required with respect to the development of perioperative anaphylaxis, which is classified as IgE-mediated allergic anaphylaxis [18] induced by purified egg phosphatide (lecithin) or soy protein in fatty excipients in propofol.

In a large-scale study of anesthesia-associated accidents in humans, including 1,284,957 cases, the Japanese Society of Anesthesiologists observed a low incidence of perioperative anaphylaxis — 1 in 40,000 (approximately 0.0025%), including one case of cardiopulmonary arrest and three of severe hypotension [15]. Muscle relaxants (58.08%) are the most common causative substances of perioperative anaphylaxis, followed by latex (19.65%), antibiotics (12.85%), colloids (3.43%), sedatives (2.34%), and anesthetics (1.69%) [17]; propofol causes anaphylaxis so infrequently that it is not even mentioned among the common causal factors. For dogs, anaphylaxis is listed as an adverse reaction to injectable anesthetics, including, but not limited to, propofol [19]. To our knowledge, no study till date has investigated anaphylaxis following propofol administration in egg- or soy-allergic dogs.

In humans, allergen identification tests in case of anaphylaxis include allergen-specific IgE [14], prick, and intradermal tests [5, 14]. Allergen-specific IgE tests, which enable IgE quantification in an equivalent manner to intradermal tests, are now available for dogs as well [21]. In the present study, we drew on this to investigate anaphylaxis after propofol administration in dogs that exhibited high allergen-specific IgE levels against egg white and yolk, chicken cross-reacting with egg white and yolk, and soy protein IgE. We also included a control group of dogs that did not exhibit high IgE levels. Inflammatory mediators released from mast cells and basophils at onset of anaphylaxis are useful for diagnosis of anaphylaxis [15]. Histamines have been shown to be released in IgE-mediated hypersensitivity reactions to food antigens in dogs [8] and a few other animals. Adverse events following propofol administration in dogs could possibly be propofol-induced anaphylactic reactions. Therefore, we evaluated the safety of propofol administration and its association with anaphylactic reactions in dogs by allergen-specific IgE and blood histamine concentration tests.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective study included dogs presented at the Onuma Animal Hospital (Saitama) and Oosagami Animal Clinic (Saitama) between October 2010 and March

2015, that tested positive for allergen-specific IgE (Animal Allergy Clinical Laboratories, Kanagawa, Japan) against egg (yolk or white) and chicken cross-reacting with egg yolk or white [21] as well as dogs that exhibited high levels of soy IgE (> 100 ng/ml). In 14 of these dogs (high-IgE group), anesthesia was induced with propofol as an ultra-short-acting injectable anesthetic (2.2- 6.6 mg/kg; intravenous administration) [19] prior to surgery. In humans with a history of drug-induced allergy, particular caution is required during the initial few minutes after drug administration, when anaphylaxis is most likely to occur [14, 30]. Therefore, adverse events during the 15 min immediately after propofol administration were monitored. History of allergy was recorded for each animal. When we obtained consent for anesthesia from the owners of animals included in this study, we also obtained consent for performing additional examinations in case of adverse events and for the use of test results for this study. The high IgE group (n = 14; male, 5; female, 9; mean age, 4.23 years; age range, 0.5- 11.8 years) included 6 animals with allergy to egg or chicken and 10 with soy allergy; 2 dogs (cases 1 and 4) in each subgroup exhibited high IgE levels against the specific antigen. All 14 of these dogs were purebreds, and there was no bias in terms of breed (Table 1). A group of 7 dogs (male, 3; female, 4; mean age, 3.42 years; age range, 0.58–9.0 years) with low egg/chicken and soy IgE concentrations and no bias in terms of breed was

included as the negative control group (normal-IgE group; Table 2) and subjected to the same tests as the high-IgE group.

The mean allergen-specific IgE concentrations of dogs that exhibited high IgE concentrations against egg / chicken (n = 7; egg white, 7; yolk, 0; multiple allergens, 1) and soy (n = 10) were compared with those of dogs that exhibited normal IgE concentrations against egg / chicken (n = 15; yolk, 0) and soy (n = 11).

In the high-IgE group, plasma histamine concentrations were measured in 4 of the 14 dogs (male and female, 2 each, with no bias in breed; mean age, 0.92 years; age range, 0.5- 1.67 years), of which 3 developed adverse drug reactions and 1 exhibited no obvious adverse reaction. In contrast, all 7 of the dogs in the normal-IgE group were evaluated for plasma histamine concentration.

Venous blood samples for evaluation of plasma histamine concentration were collected in tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid after propofol administration. On the basis of previous reports that blood histamine concentrations peak approximately 5 min after the onset of anaphylaxis and return to reference levels after 30- 60 min [26], we intended to sample venous blood 5 min after propofol administration. However, in practice, sampling was delayed in a few cases (2 dogs each in the high and normal-IgE groups) because of the work involved in securing the airway.

FULL PAPER *Surgery*

Plasma was separated by centrifugation at 1, 500 rpm for 10 min and stored at -20°C until measurement of histamine concentrations using a histamine enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (Histamine EIA Kit, Oxford Biomedical Research, Inc., Oxford, MI, U.K.) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

In fur-covered animals, cutaneous signs are even more likely to be missed. Accordingly, flushing of the skin/mucosa, respiratory depression, bradycardia, hypotension, and tachycardia were evaluated as adverse events suggestive of anaphylaxis. Ventilation was managed by spontaneous respiration without the use of a ventilator. Respiratory care involved monitoring of the respiratory rate and carbon dioxide concentration.

Treatment during anaphylaxis included fluid infusion and administration of high-flow oxygen and adrenaline (0.0025- 0.005 mg/kg, intravenous). Adjuvant therapy involved administration of glucocorticoids for prevention of late-onset anaphylaxis, antihistamines for treatment of cutaneous symptoms such as itching, rashes, hives, and vascular edema, and H₂ blockers, which are considered to be effective in combination with antihistamines [4, 27, 28, 31].

Comparison of frequency of anaphylaxis was performed by the chi-square test.

Comparison of plasma histamine concentrations was performed by Student's *t*-test.

Significant differences between the high- and normal-IgE groups were determined at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Adverse drug reactions were observed in 3 of the 14 dogs in the high-IgE group (cases 11, 12, and 14; 21.4%). Adverse drug reactions considered as representing anaphylaxis included flushing ($n = 1$), hypotension ($n = 2$), and respiratory depression ($n = 1$; respiratory arrest and high end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration), some of which were observed in the same animals (Tables 3). However, all adverse reactions were transient and mild, and since they improved with symptomatic treatment alone and did not result in anaphylactic shock, no treatment for anaphylaxis was administered. Incidences of flushing and hypertension in the normal-IgE group (14.29%; 1 of 7 dogs) were lower than those in the high-IgE group (21.4%; 3 of 14 dogs; Table 3). The incidences of anamnesis of allergy in the high- and normal-IgE groups were 92.9% (13 of 14 dogs) and 14.29% (1 of 7 dogs), respectively (Tables 1 and 2). Comparison of mean IgE concentrations between the normal- (Table 5) and high- (Table 6) IgE groups revealed that the latter group exhibited higher IgE concentrations for each of the evaluated allergens (egg white, 4.88-fold; chicken, 3.29-fold; and soy, 5.48-fold higher

than the corresponding values in the normal-IgE group; Table 7). There were no cases of egg-yolk allergy in the high-IgE group.

The mean serum histamine concentration of the high-IgE group (167.93 nM; range, 95.1- 298.3 nM) was significantly higher than that of the normal-Ig-E group (mean, 65.73 nM; 18.18–139.8 nM; $p < 0.05$; Figure 1). All dogs with adverse drug reactions in the present study exhibited high blood histamine concentrations ($p < 0.05$; Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Although anaphylaxis may develop at any time during general anesthesia, in 90% of cases in humans, anaphylaxis occurs upon induction of anesthesia [13]. In dogs, the use of propofol as an injectable drug for anesthesia should be considered as posing the greatest risk of anaphylaxis. However, in the present study, dogs with high-IgE levels and anamnesis of allergy did not exhibit severe anaphylactic reactions—such as cardiopulmonary arrest or cardiovascular collapse—to propofol administration. This result suggests that propofol might be a relatively safe drug in the canine patient with high-IgE level.

In humans and dogs, IgE and histamine release is involved in the pathology of anaphylactic shock, promoting hypovolemia and distributive shock—as a result of

187 decreased venous return because of peripheral venous dilation and extravasation of
188 blood components—as well as mixed shock associated with depressed cardiac function
189 and severe hypotension caused by reduced left-atrial filling volume due to bronchial
190 spasm [1, 18, 26]. Humans are particularly prone to anaphylaxis induced by muscle
191 relaxants (12–30%), and caution is required since bradycardia is more frequent than
192 previously thought [18]. Adverse events suggestive of anaphylaxis in humans include
193 mucocutaneous (systemic rashes, pruritis, flushing, edema, and wheals), respiratory
194 (dyspnea, airway stenosis/spasm, wheezing, and hypoxemia), and circulatory
195 (hypotension, impaired consciousness, and symptoms associated with peripheral organ
196 failure due to hypotension) symptoms, with hypotension being defined as less than 70%
197 of normal blood pressure (particularly systolic pressure) [23, 27, 28]. Cutaneous signs
198 such as hives, pruritus, or flushing, and perioral edema are evident in over 80% of cases
199 of human anaphylaxis. Additionally, in over 50% of patients, circulatory symptoms
200 (hypotension, circulatory collapse, or cardiopulmonary arrest) are the initial symptoms
201 on the basis of which anaphylaxis is confirmed [15, 16, 24]. In animals, should
202 bronchial spasm leading to unexplained circulatory collapse or respiratory arrest occur
203 during surgery, the surgical covering on the animal must be removed and the fur parted
204 to check the skin for cutaneous signs. In case of anaphylaxis caused by muscle relaxants

or other agents in humans, cutaneous signs are often transient and frequently overlooked [5]. In case of more acute, serious anaphylactic reactions, circulatory collapse or respiratory arrest might occur prior to the appearance of cutaneous symptoms. Therefore, caution is required since the absence of mucocutaneous symptoms does not necessarily rule out the possibility of anaphylaxis completely [18]. According to Mitsuata [18], tachycardia is a frequent occurrence during anaphylaxis in humans. However, given that bradycardia is more common than previously thought, we evaluated bradycardia in addition to hypotension and tachycardia in the present study.

Flushing was one of the adverse drug reactions observed in this study. However, definitive diagnosis of anaphylaxis on the basis of flushing alone is challenging in the absence of more easily determined cutaneous manifestations such as edema or wheals. Use of ventilators might delay the identification of anaphylaxis [23, 25, 27, 28]. In the present study, ventilation was managed by spontaneous respiration in all the animals, and, although respiratory depression is a known side effect of propofol in dogs [19], no instances of severe respiratory symptoms of anaphylaxis—such as dyspnea, airway stenosis/spasm, wheezing, or hypoxemia—were observed. As for flushing, respiratory depression alone might not necessarily indicate anaphylaxis, and the possibility that it may have been mistakenly attributed to anaphylaxis cannot be ruled out. Nevertheless,

the incidence of adverse drug reactions in the high-IgE group (21.4%) in the present study was higher than that in the normal-IgE group (14.3%).

Additionally, the mean plasma histamine concentration in the high-IgE group was significantly higher than that in the normal-IgE group. Tanaka et al. [29] reported mean blood histamine concentrations of 72.6 and 31.4 nM in dogs with mast cell tumors and control dogs, respectively; in comparison with the reference value of 72.6 nM, the blood histamine concentrations of all dogs with adverse drug reactions in the present study were high, with the mean histamine concentration of the high-IgE group being higher than that of the normal-IgE group, which makes it highly likely that the adverse drug reactions observed in the present study actually represented anaphylaxis.

Elevated plasma histamine concentrations indicate activation of mast cells and basophils, as demonstrated by the release of histamine into blood in IgE-mediated hypersensitive reactions to food antigens in dogs [8]. However, such activation is evident in both allergic and non-allergic mechanisms [18]. Even in the absence of elevated blood histamine concentrations, immune and non-immune mechanisms cannot be ruled out [18]. In humans, atopic dermatitis may occur without the involvement of IgE, through upregulation of cytokine production (interleukin-18 and interferon- γ) even in the absence of a Th2 immune response [12, 32]. Mast cells possess various receptors,

including those for complement factors (C3a and C5a), lectins, osmolality, and substance P, which serve to increase blood histamine concentrations through degranulation in a manner similar to that of IgE antigens [9]. In the present study, one of the dogs in the normal-IgE group exhibited a high plasma histamine concentration, which was attributed to a reaction not mediated by IgE, as described above. Thus, anaphylaxis cannot be definitively diagnosed on the basis of plasma histamine concentrations alone. However, in the present study, the overall plasma histamine concentrations differed significantly between the high- and normal-IgE groups, and, in most cases, a high value might be of supplementary value in the diagnosis of anaphylaxis.

However, since definitive diagnosis of anaphylaxis on the basis of plasma histamine concentrations alone is challenging, further tests must be considered. Other tests used in humans include quantitative analysis of tryptase, a neutral protease present in mast cell granules, and the leukocyte histamine-release test [5, 14]. Since securing of the airway took precedence over perioperative sampling in the present study, giving rise to issues related to the timing of blood sample collection, it is necessary to consider tests that can be performed over longer periods, such as urinalysis for N-methylhistamine, a histamine metabolite measurable for 24 hrs after the onset of anaphylaxis, or β -tryptase, which can

be measured using samples frozen for a year at -20°C [15]. In dogs, histamine-release measurements after calcium ionophore stimulation are positively correlated with mast cell count in peripheral blood. Therefore, we intend to investigate the possibility that peripheral blood mast cell count, which can be easily performed at the bedside, might also be useful for diagnosis of anaphylaxis [29].

In humans with a history of drug-induced allergy not limited to propofol, particular caution is required during the first 2- 3 min after propofol administration, when anaphylaxis is most likely to occur, and a posture of readiness must be adopted during this period [14, 30]. Among human patients who develop propofol-induced anaphylaxis, 64% have a history of some sort of allergy [14]. Therefore, preoperative testing, preparedness for the eventuality of anaphylaxis, and careful anesthetic administration are necessary during propofol administration in dogs with allergies or a history of anaphylaxis. However, in a study involving 28 children with IgE-type immediate allergy, including one with a history of egg-induced anaphylaxis, only one child with no history of anaphylaxis exhibited erythema and hives upon propofol administration; the authors, therefore, concluded that propofol is safe to use in children with egg allergy but without a history of anaphylaxis [20]. In this previous study, 43% of patients were allergic to peanuts, which cross-reacts with soy proteins; the authors concluded from

their results that propofol might be relatively safe even for patients with soy allergy [20].

Despite the fact that 92.9% of the dogs in the high-IgE group had a history of allergy, the incidence of adverse drug reactions in the present study was low (21.4%). All instances of adverse reactions were mild, and there was no case of severe anaphylaxis. These findings suggest that the use of propofol might be relatively safe even in dogs with a history of allergy as well as in those with high concentrations of egg white/chicken or soy IgE, provided that anesthesia is carefully monitored during the perioperative period and a posture of preparedness is adopted.

REFERENCES

1. Armitage-Chan E. 2010. Anaphylaxis and anesthesia. *Vet. Anaesth. Analg.* **37**: 306–310.
2. Awazuhara, H., Kawai, H., Baba, M., Matsui, T. and Komiyama, A. 1998. Antigenicity of the proteins in soy lecithin and soy oil in soybean allergy. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* **28**: 1559–1564.
3. Cochico, S. G. 2012. Propofol allergy: assessing for patient risks. *AORN. J.* **96**: 398–405.

- 295 4. Ebisawa, M. 2015. JSA anaphylaxis guideline -important basic management and
296 prevention. *Arerugi* **64**: 24–31 (in Japanese).
- 297 5. Fisher, M. M. and Baldo, B. A. 1993. The incidence and clinical features of
298 anaphylactic reactions during anesthesia in Australia. *Ann. Fr. Anesth. Reanim.* **12**:
299 97–104.
- 300 6. Graham, L. F., Torres, S. M., Jessen, C. R., Horne, K. L. and Hendrix, P. K. 2003.
301 Effects of propofol-induced sedation on intradermal test reactions in dogs with
302 atopic dermatitis. *Vet. Dermatol.* **14**: 167–176.
- 303 7. Gu, X., Beardslee, T., Zeece, M., Sarath, G. and Markwell, J. 2001. Identification
304 of IgE-binding proteins in soy lecithin. *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* **126**: 218–225.
- 305 8. Ishida, R., Masuda, K., Sakaguchi, M., Kurata, K., Ohno, K. and Tsujimoto, H.
306 2003. Antigen-specific histamine release in dogs with food hypersensitivity. *J. Vet.*
307 *Med. Sci.* **65**: 435–438.
- 308 9. Janeway Jr., C. A., Travers, P., Walport, M. and Shlomchik, M. J. 2005.
309 Immunobiology: The Immune System in Health and Disease. pp 461–657. In:
310 Immunobiology: The Immune System in Health and Disease, 6th ed., Garland
311 Science, New York/UK.
- 312 10. Jeffers, J. G., Meyer, E. K. and Sosis, E. J. 1996. Responses of dogs with food

- 313 allergies to single- ingredient dietary provocation. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* **209:**
314 608–611.
- 315 11. Kennis, R. A. 2006. Food allergies: update of pathogenesis, diagnoses, and
316 management. *Vet. Clin. North Am. Small Anim. Pract.* **36:** 175–184.
- 317 12. Konishi, H., Tsutsui, H., Murakami, T., Yumikura-Futatsugi, S., Yamanaka, K.,
318 Tanaka, M., Iwakura, Y., Suzuki, N., Takeda, K., Akira, S., Nakanishi, K. and
319 Mizutani, H. 2002. IL-18 contributes to the spontaneous development of atopic
320 dermatitis-like inflammatory skin lesion independently of IgE / stat6 under specific
321 pathogen-free conditions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **99:** 11340–11345.
- 322 13. Kroigaard, M., Garvey, L. H., Gillberg, L., Johansson, S. G., Mosbech, H.,
323 Florvaag, E., Harboe, T., Eriksson, L. I., Dahlgren, G., Seeman-Lodding, H.,
324 Takala, R., Wattwil, M., Hirlekar, G., Dahlén, B. and Guttormsen, A. B. 2007.
325 Scandinavian Clinical Practice Guidelines on the diagnosis, management and
326 follow-up of anaphylaxis during anaesthesia. *Acta Anaesthesiol. Scand.* **51:** 655–
327 670.
- 328 14. Laxenaire, M. C., Mata-Bermejo, E., Moneret-Vautrin, D. A. and Gueant, J. L.
329 1992. Life-threatening anaphylactoid reactions to propofol (Diprivan).
330 *Anesthesiology* **77:** 275–280.

- 331 15. Masuda, K. 2014. Urticaria and anaphylaxis during anesthesia. *Arerugi* **63**: 682–
332 685 (in Japanese).
- 333 16. Mertes, P. M. and Laxenaire, M. C. 2002. Allergic reactions occurring during
334 anaesthesia. *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.* **19**: 240–262.
- 335 17. Mertes, P. M., Alla, F., Tréchet, P., Auroy, Y. and Jouglu, E.; Groupe d'Etudes des
336 Réactions Anaphylactoïdes Peranesthésiques. 2011. Anaphylaxis during anesthesia
337 in France: an 8-year national survey. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **128**: 360–373.
- 338 18. Mitsuhashi, H. 2012. Anaphylaxis during general anesthesia. *JJSCA.* **32**: 479–487
339 (in Japanese).
- 340 19. Muir III, W. W., Hubbell, J. A. E., Bednarski, R. M. and Lerche, P. 2013. Propofol.
341 pp 151–154. In: Handbook of Veterinary Anesthesia, 5th ed., Elsevier, Missouri.
- 342 20. Murphy, A., Campbell, D. E., Baines, D. and Mehr, S. 2011. Allergic reactions to
343 propofol in egg-allergic children. *Anesth. Analg.* **113**: 140–144.
- 344 21. Okayama, T., Matsuno, Y., Yasuda, N., Tsukui, T., Suzuta, Y., Koyanagi, M.,
345 Sakaguchi, M., Ishii, Y., Olivry, T. and Masuda, K. 2011. Establishment of a
346 quantitative ELISA for the measurement of allergen-specific IgE in dogs using
347 anti-IgE antibody cross-reactive to mouse and dog IgE. *Vet. Immunol.*
348 *Immunopathol.* **139**: 99–106.

FULL PAPER *Surgery*

- 349 22. Porras, O., Carlsson, B., Fällström, S. P. and Hanson, L. A. 1985. Detection of soy
350 protein in soy lecithin, margarine and, occasionally, soy oil. *Int. Arch. Allergy Appl.*
351 *Immunol.* **78**: 30–32.
- 352 23. Sampson, H. A., Muñoz-Furlong, A., Bock, S. A., Schmitt, C., Bass, R.,
353 Chowdhury, B. A., Decker, W. W., Furlong, T. J., Galli, S. J., Golden, D. B.,
354 Gruchalla, R. S., Harlor, A. D. Jr., Hepner, D. L., Howarth, M., Kaplan, A. P., Levy,
355 J. H., Lewis, L. M., Lieberman, P. L., Metcalfe, D. D., Murphy, R., Pollart, S. M.,
356 Pumphrey, R. S., Rosenwasser, L. J., Simons, F. E., Wood, J. P. and Camargo, C. A.
357 Jr. 2005. Symposium on the definition and management of anaphylaxis: summary
358 report. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **115**: 584–591.
- 359 24. Sampson, H. A., Muñoz-Furlong, A., Campbell, R. L., Adkinson, N. F. Jr., Bock, S.
360 A., Branum, A., Brown, S. G., Camargo, C. A. Jr., Cydulka, R., Galli, S. J., Gidudu,
361 J., Gruchalla, R. S., Harlor, A. D. Jr., Hepner, D. L., Lewis, L. M., Lieberman, P. L.,
362 Metcalfe, D. D., O'Connor, R., Muraro, A., Rudman, A., Schmitt, C., Scherrer, D.,
363 Simons, F. E., Thomas, S., Wood, J. P. and Decker, W. W. 2006. Second
364 symposium on the definition and management of anaphylaxis: summary
365 report--Second National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease/ Food Allergy
366 and Anaphylaxis Network symposium. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **117**: 391–397.

- 367 25. Schwartz, L. B. 2006. Diagnostic value of tryptase in anaphylaxis and mastocytosis.
368 *Immunol. Allergy Clin. North Am.* **26**: 451–463.
- 369 26. Shmuel, D. L. and Cortes, Y. 2013. Anaphylaxis in dogs and cats. *J. Vet. Emerg.*
370 *Crit. Care (San Antonio)* **23**: 377–394.
- 371 27. Simons, F. E. 2010. Anaphylaxis. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **125**: 161–181.
- 372 28. Simons, F. E.; World Allergy Organization. 2010. World Allergy Organization
373 survey on global availability of essentials for the assessment and management of
374 anaphylaxis by allergy-immunology specialists in health care settings. *Ann. Allergy*
375 *Asthma Immunol.* **104**: 405–412.
- 376 29. Tanaka, K., Momoi, Y., Minegishi, M., Sekiguchi, M., Konno, K., Tanaka, A.,
377 Matsuda, H. and Iwasaki, T. 2003. Measurement of histamine concentrations and
378 histamine releases as a prognostic factor for dogs with mast cell tumor. *J. Anim.*
379 *Clin. Med.* **12**: 5–11 (in Japanese).
- 380 30. Tashkandi, J. 2010. My patient is allergic to eggs, can I use propofol? A case report
381 and review. *Saudi J. Anaesth.* **4**: 207–208.
- 382 31. Winbery, S. L. and Lieberman, P. L. 2002. Histamine and antihistamines in
383 anaphylaxis. *Clin. Allergy Immunol.* **17**: 287–317.
- 384 32. Yagi, R., Nagai, H., Iigo, Y., Akimoto, T., Arai, T. and Kubo, M. 2002.

FULL PAPER *Surgery*

385 Development of atopic dermatitis-like skin lesions in STAT6-deficient NC/Nga
386 mice. *J. Immunol.* **168**: 2020–2027.
387

TABLE LEGENDS

Table .1. The Characteristics profile of the high IgE group (Nn = 14)

Table .2. The Characteristics profile of the normal-IgE group (Nn = 7)

Table 3. Adverse events and plasma histamine concentrations in the high- and normal-IgE groups

^{a)}Respiratory arrest, hypercapnia

Table 4. Adverse events and plasma histamine concentrations in the adverse event and non-adverse event cases

^{a)}Respiratory arrest, hypercapnia

^{b)}Significant differences between the adverse and non-adverse event cases were determined at $p < 0.05$.

Table 5. Normal scores of the allergen-specific IgE test in the high- and normal-IgE groups

^{a)}Yolk obtained from birds

^{b)}—: Normal IgE score

^{a)}Yolk obtained from birds

^{b)}—: Normal IgE score

Table 7. Mean and multiple proportion of the allergen-specific IgE test in the high- and normal-IgE groups

^{a)}Mean value of the high-IgE group / mean value of the normal IgE-group

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Plasma histamine concentrations (*n*M) of the high- (*n* = 4) and normal- (*n* = 7) IgE concentration groups. **p* < 0.05, significant intergroup differences.

Table 1

Case	Sex	Age (Y)	Breed	Operation	Allergen-specific IgE Test				Anamnesis (allergy)
					egg white	yolk	chicken	soy	
1	Male	11.2	Welsh Corgi Pembroke	sterilization	0	17	108	148	+
2	Female	1	Yorkshire Terrier	sterilization	47	9	31	105	+
3	Female	7	Shih Tzu	sterilization	61	7	193	69	+
4	Male	7	Papillon	sterilization	212	71	170	102	+
5	Female	2.7	M.Dachshund	sterilization	6	8	69	163	+
6	Male	1.3	T. Poodle	sterilization	0	8	43	298	+
7	Male	9	M.Dachshund	sterilization	26	33	29	150	+
8	Male	11.8	Papillon	sterilization	81	23	66	111	+
9	Male	3.5	T. Poodle	sterilization	30	12	140	0	+
10	Male	1.1	Papillon	sterilization	0	17	45	182	+
11	Male	0.67	Jack Russell Terrier	sterilization	66	6	48	144	+
12	Male	0.83	Gutierrez	sterilization	0	0	102	0	—
13	Female	1.67	Cavalier King Charles Spaniel	sterilization	101	22	28	68	+
14	Female	0.5	Border Collie	sterilization	73	32	50	108	+
Mean		4.23			50.21	18.93	80.14	117.71	

Table 2

Case	Sex	Age (Y)	Breed	Operation	Allergen-specific IgE Test				Anamnesis (allergy)
					egg white	yolk	chicken	soy	
1	Male	0.67	Mix	sterilization	21	22	46	41	—
2	Male	8	M.Dachshund	perineocele	71	39	53	77	—
3	Female	0.58	Bernese Mountain Dog	sterilization	64	5	0	0	—
4	Female	9	A. Cocker Spaniel	sterilization	2	11	43	19	—
5	Female	1.67	Mix	sterilization	17	24	53	30	—
6	Male	3	Kerry Blue Terrier	tumor resection	21	7	20	0	—
7	Female	1	White Sals Shepherd Dog	sterilization	23	13	69	0	+
Mean		3.42			31.29	17.29	40.57	23.86	

Table 3

	Case	Abnormal symptom of perioperative			Histamine level
		skin/mucosa	respiratory	cardiovascular	
High-IgE Group	11	blush	—	hypotension	95.1
	12	—	depression ^{a)}	hypotension	177.1
	13	—	—	—	101.2
	14	—	depression ^{a)}	—	298.3
	Mean				167.93
Normal-IgE Group	1	—	—	—	51.9
	2	—	—	—	68.6
	3	blush	—	hypotension	139.8
	4	—	—	—	18.1
	5	—	—	—	89.2
	6	—	—	—	30.2
	7	—	—	—	62.3
	Mean				65.73

a) krespiratory arres,thypercapnia

Table 4

	Case	Abnormal symptom of perioperative			Histamine level
		skin/mucosa	respiratory	cardiovascular	
Adverse event cases	11	blush	—	hypotension	95.1
	12	—	depression ^{a)}	hypotension	177.1
	14	—	depression ^{a)}	—	298.3
	3	blush	—	hypotension	139.8
	Mean				177.57 ^{b)}
Non-Adverse event cases	1	—	—	—	51.9
	2	—	—	—	68.6
	4	—	—	—	18.1
	5	—	—	—	89.2
	6	—	—	—	30.2
	7	—	—	—	62.3
	13	—	—	—	101.2
	Mean				60.21 ^{b)}

a) krespiratory arres,thypercapnia

b) Significant differences between the adverse- and non-adverse event cases were determined at $p < 0.05$.

468 Table 5

Case	Allergen-specific IgE Test		
	egg white ^{a)}	chicken	soy
High IgE			
1	0	— b)	— b)
2	47	31	— b)
3	61	— b)	69
5	6	69	— b)
6	0	43	— b)
7	26	29	— b)
8	81	66	— b)
9	30	— b)	0
10	0	45	— b)
11	66	48	— b)
12	0	— b)	0
13	— b)	28	68
14	73	50	— b)
Normal IgE			
1	21	46	41
2	71	53	77
3	64	0	0
4	2	43	19
5	17	53	30
6	21	20	0
7	23	69	0
n	19	16	11
Min	0	0	0
Max	81	69	77

a) Yolk removed from bird group

b) —: High-IgE score

469

470

471

472

473

Table 6

Case	Allergen-specific IgE Test		
	egg white ^{a)}	chicken	soy
High IgE			
1	— b)	108	148
2	— b)	— b)	105
3	— b)	193	— b)
4	212	170	102
5	— b)	— b)	163
6	— b)	— b)	298
7	— b)	— b)	150
8	— b)	— b)	111
9	— b)	140	— b)
10	— b)	— b)	182
11	— b)	— b)	144
12	— b)	102	— b)
13	101	— b)	— b)
14	— b)	— b)	108
n	2	5	10
Min	101	102	102
Max	212	193	298

a) Yolk removed from bird group


b) — : Normal-IgE score

Table 7

	High-IgE group			Normal-IgE group		
	egg white	chicken	soy	egg white	chicken	soy
Mean	156.5	142.6	151.1	32.1	43.3	27.6
Multiple proportion ^{a)}	4.875	3.293	5.475	—	—	—

a) Mean of the high-IgE group / mean of the normal IgE-group

Figure 1



Significant differences between High-IgE group and Normal-IgE group are indicated: *P<0.05.